Consultation September 2011



Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan

Main Issues Report

Strategic Environmental Assessment Environmental Report



SEA Environmental Report - Cover Note PART I To: SEA.gateway@scotland.gsi.gov.uk or SEA Gateway Scottish Executive Area I H (Bridge) Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ PART 2 An SEA Scoping Report is attached for the plan, programme or strategy (PPS) entitled: Local Development Plan Main Issues Report The Responsible Authority is: The Cairngorms National Park Authority PART 3 Karen Major Contact name Job Title Development Plan Officer Cairngorms National Park Authority Contact address Albert Memorial Hall Station Square Ballater Aberdeenshire AB35 5QB Contact tel no 013397 53601 Contact email localplan@cairngorms.co.uk PART 4 **S**ignature 25 July 2011 **Date**

Contents

l	Non-Technical Summary	4
2	Introduction and Key Facts About the Main Issues Report	7
3	Context for the Main Issues Report	П
4	Assessment of Environmental Effects and Measures Envisaged for Prevention, Reduction and Offsetting any Significant Effects	31
5	Mitigation	36
6	Next Steps in Developing the Local Development Plan	37
٩p	pendix I - Other PPSs and Environmental Objectives	38
٩p	pendix 2 - Assessment Recording Forms	45
٩p	pendix 3 - Using the Ecosystems Approach in the SEA	94

I. Non-Technical Summary

- 1.1 This is a non-technical summary of the Environmental Report, part of a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Main Issues Report for the Cairngorms Local Development Plan. It explains:
 - What the SEA is;
 - How it has been carried out;
 - What effects the Main Issues Report is likely to have on the environment;
 - How the SEA has influenced the Main Issues Report;
 - Next steps.

1.2 What is the SEA?

SEA is a way of ensuring that the environmental implications of a proposed plan are carefully considered during its development. It is a formal part of the plan making process and a legal obligation. The purpose is to ensure that the plan minimises negative effects on the environment and maximise positive effects, and to ensure that information on the likely effects is available to inform public consultation.

1.3 How has the SEA been carried out?

The Main Issues Report seeks to help deliver the four aims of the National Park:

- To conserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage of the area;
- To promote sustainable use of the natural resources of the area;
- To promote understanding and enjoyment (including enjoyment in the form of recreation) of the special qualities of the area by the public;
- To promote sustainable economic and social development of the area's communities.

It is therefore clear that the Plan sets out to have an effect on the environment – a positive effect. Aspects of the Plan could also have a negative effect. An SEA is therefore required.

The assessment:

- Identifies the current state of the environment in the Park, key trends and issues;
- Considers how the environment might change without the Plan;
- Sets out environmental objectives relevant to the issues faced in the Park (associated with the topics SEA is required to consider);
- Frames questions derived from these objectives to assess the Plan;
- Tests the proposals in the Plan against these questions to predict its likely effects on these key aspects of the environment;
- Records the results of this assessment and identifies mitigation that would reduce negative effects or enhance positive effects;
- Identifies indicators against which the effects of implementing the Plan can be monitored.

We have used the framework of ecosystems to identify the key aspects of the environment that the assessment considers. This has helped to ensure that the assessment questions and criteria and relevant to the issues in the Park, and that the relationships between physical assets, their use, value and functions are considered. The methodology shows how this approach can be mapped on to the standard categories of SEA topics we are required to consider.

1.4 What effect is the Main Issues Report likely to have on the environment?

Overall the assessment records no clear negative effects, a small number of identifiable positive effects, and a significant number of uncertain effects – many of which be negative, or in some cases positive, depending on how and where the options are implemented.

The Main Issues Report sets out options to address the main issues the Plan should address, including allocations for housing and economic development. The Report does not make firm policy proposals or propose detailed policies. Those come in the next stage of the Proposed Local Development Plan. There is therefore a high degree of uncertainty in assessing likely effects of options being suggested, and the options are necessarily strategic, making it difficult to assess likely effects.

The options for issues one and two (special qualities and resources) show a likely positive effect as these options are intended to protect special qualities and use resources sustainably. The options for the remaining policy issues are assessed as having many uncertain effects, as the effects could be positive or negative in many cases depending on how and where they are implemented. Assessment of the sites helps to identify the more likely effects of the way these policies influence the settlement strategy.

The options for land allocations also identify a number of uncertain effects, but predominantly record no likely effect. Negative effects are not recorded due to a prior analysis of all the sites proposed in the call for sites, including their ecological, landscape and flooding effects. A Phase one habitat survey, landscape assessment and flood risk assessment of all potential sites was incorporated into the first sift of options for allocations. Only allocations that do not pose unacceptable risks to ecology or landscape were considered for inclusion in the Plan. The other sites were therefore screened out as unreasonable alternatives.

1.5 How has the SEA influenced the Main Issues Report?

Given the aims of the Park development of the Main Issues Report from the outset sought to deliver a positive effect on the environment, so many potential negative effects of individual policy options or land allocations are addressed through mitigation built into the options, or in the case of allocations, screened out as unreasonable alternatives.

The assessment has helped to test the integration of the Plan, ensuring that where elements of the Plan could have a negative effect, sufficient parameters are set within the options, or more likely required in the Proposed Development Plan, to ensure those elements of the Plan are delivered in such a way as to avoid negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.

The assessment has identified some specific mitigation which should be considered in developing the Proposed Development Plan and in delivery:

- Ensure strong policy direction and information provision to protect special qualities;
- Ensure potential effects are addressed through assessment of sites and in turn, specific proposals.

In proposing allocations, the assessment identified the following mitigation measures in particular if specific site proposals are included:

- Tree planting/screening/retention of existing woodland setting;
- Follow existing settlement pattern and layout;
- Use of design statements to ensure sensitive and appropriate design.

1.6 What are the next steps?

The public consultation on the Main Issues Report and this Environmental Report runs from 19 September to 9 December 2011. On completion of the consultation, the CNPA will use the responses to develop the Proposed Development Plan, setting out the proposed policies and allocations across the full range of topics the Plan should cover.

The Proposed Development Plan will be subject to public consultation and a further Environmental Report assessing the environmental effects of the proposals in it will be published alongside it for consultation. The Development Plan Scheme, published on www.cairngorms.co.uk, sets out the full process and timetable for preparing the Local Development Plan.

2 Introduction

Purpose of this Environmental Report

- 2.1 As part of the preparation of the Main Issues Report (the first formal stage in the preparation of the Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan) the Cairngorms National Park Authority is carrying out a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). SEA is a systematic method for considering the likely environmental effects of certain PPS. SEA aims to:
 - Integrate environmental factors into PPS preparation and decision-making;
 - Improve PPS and enhance environmental protection;
 - Increase public participation in decision making; and
 - Facilitate openness and transparency of decision-making.
- 2.2 SEA is required by the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005. The key SEA stages are:

Screening	determining whether the PPS is likely to have significant environmental effects and whether an SEA is required.
Scoping	deciding on the scope and level of detail of the Environmental Report, and the consultation period for the report – this is done in consultation with Scottish Natural Heritage, The Scottish Ministers (Historic Scotland) and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency.
Environmental Report	publishing an Environmental Report on the PPS and its environmental effects, and consulting on that report.
Adoption	providing information on: the adopted PPS; how consultation comments have been taken into account; and methods for monitoring the significant environmental effects of the implementation of the PPS.
Monitoring	monitoring significant environmental effects in such a manner so as to also enable the Responsible Authority to identify any unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and undertake appropriate remedial action.

- 2.3 The purpose of this Environmental Report is to
 - Provide information on the Main Issues Report;
 - Identify, describe and evaluate the likely significant effects of the PPS and its reasonable alternatives;
 - Provide an early and effective opportunity for the Consultation Authorities and the public to offer views on any aspect of this Environmental Report.

Key Facts about the Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan and its Main Issues Report

- 2.4 Planning in the Cairngorms National Park is unique. It involves the Cairngorms National Park Authority (CNPA) working alongside the five local authorities which operate in the Park Aberdeenshire, Angus, Highland, Moray and Perth & Kinross. The Local Development Plan together with any Supplementary Guidance sets the detailed policies and proposals for the whole of the Park. It is the document against which all planning applications will be judged.
- 2.5 Planning applications are submitted to the relevant local authority in the normal manner. The local authority ensures all the necessary information is supplied and registers receipt of the application. The CNPA is informed by the local authority and then decides whether to call-in the application. Only applications which are of general significance to the aims of the Park are called in and determined by CNPA. The local authority determines those applications not called-in. The Local Development Plan applies to all planning applications, regardless of whether they are called-in or not.
- 2.6 The Cairngorms National Park Authority (CNPA) is required to prepare a Local Development Plan for the Cairngorms National Park and to update it every five years under the Planning etc. (Scotland) Act 2006. The Act requires the Local Development Plan to set out where most new development will happen and include policies that will guide decision making on planning applications.
- 2.7 In the preparation of the Local Development Plan, the Park Authority must prepare a Main Issues Report Plan. The Main Issues Report must:
 - Set out the Authority's general proposals for development in the area and in particular proposals as to where development should and should not occur;
 - Be sufficiently clear to enable people to understand what is proposed and to make meaningful comments;
 - Set out one or more reasonable alternative sets of proposals;
 - Draw attention to the ways in which the favoured and alternative proposals differ from the spatial strategy of the existing adopted Local Plan.
- 2.8 The general purpose of the National Park Authority set out in the National Parks (Scotland) Act 2000 is to ensure that the National Park aims are collectively achieved in a co-ordinated way. The Park Authority is therefore an enabling organisation that must work with and through other bodies to bring added value to the management of the Park, to achieve the four aims:

The Aims of the National Park are

- To conserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage;
- To promote sustainable use of the natural resources;
- To promote understanding and enjoyment (including enjoyment in the form of recreation) of the special qualities of the area by the public;
- To promote sustainable economic and social development of the area's communities.

- 2.9 The existing Cairngorms National Park Local Plan was adopted in October 2010. This Plan did not include the latterly included area of Perth & Kinross into the National Park boundary. The adopted Local Plan does not therefore cover this part of the National Park, where the Perth & Kinross Council Highland Area Local Plan 2000 remains in force. The CNPA is reviewing the Local Plan to provide a park-wide Local Development Plan, and to link with ongoing work to review the National Park Plan which is to be submitted to the Minister for approval in 2012. The Main Issues Report and Draft Cairngorms National Park Plan 2012-2017 and their respective Environmental Reports are being consulted on between 19 September 2011 and 9 December 2011.
- 2.10 The Main Issues Report falls under the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005. The report, which will inform the Local Development Plan has potential to generate significant environmental effects and so a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is being undertaken.
- 2.11 The key facts relating to the Main Issues Report are set out in Table 1 below:

Table I. Key Fact	s about the Main Issues Report
Responsible Authority	Cairngorms National Park Authority
Title of PPS	Local Development Plan Main Issues Report
Purpose of PPS	To flush out solutions to the main issues affecting planning policy for the National Park.
What	Planning etc (Scotland) Act 2006, and review of the National Park Plan.
prompted the PPS	
Subject (e.g. transport)	Land Use Planning
Period covered by PPS	2013-2018
Frequency of updates	5 years
Area covered by PPS	The Cairngorms National Park
Summary of nature and content of PPS	The Main Issues Report (MIR) is the first formal stage in preparing a Local Development Plan (LDP). The role of the MIR is intended to stimulate debate on the issues and options for future development in the National Park. It looks at the main issues and key areas of change. It does not set out draft policies – these will be considered in the proposed LDP. The MIR includes a number of main issues and for each, a series of reasonable options to address that issue. The preferred option of the Authority is indicated. The issues and options are then subject to comprehensive public engagement to gauge opinion. This will then inform the proposed LDP.
Contact Point	Karen Major, Development Plan Officer Cairngorms National Park Authority, Albert Memorial Hall, Station Square, Ballater, Aberdeenshire, AB35 5QB

SEA Activities to Date

- 2.12 SEA has been undertaken at different stages and levels of detail throughout the development of the Main Issues Report. Many of the issues included in the Main Issues Report are intended to provide a framework for dealing with environmental problems which arise as a result of development as well as addressing the needs of communities and delivering the aims of the National Park.
- 2.13 Table 2 summarises the SEA activities to date in relation to the Main Issues Report.

Table 2. SEA activity to date		
SEA Action/Activity	When carried out	Notes
Scoping the consultation periods and the level of detail to be included in the Environmental Report	June - July 2011	Led to changes in assessment methodology
Outline and objectives of the PPS	2010	
Relationship with other PPS and environmental objectives	2010-2011	
Environmental baseline established	2010-2011	
Environmental problems identified	2010-2011	
Assessment of future of area without the PPS	2010-2011	
Alternatives considered	2010-2011	Alternatives have been considered throughout the process and as an integral part of the development of the Main Issues Report.
Environmental assessment methods established	Apr 2011	
Selection of PPS alternatives to be included in the environmental assessment		No reasonable alternatives have been identified for assessment.
Identification of environmental problems that may persist after implementation and measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and offset any significant adverse effects	Mar-June 2011	
Monitoring methods proposed	Mar-June 2011	
Consultation timescales • Timescale for Consultation Authorities • Timescale for public	June - July 2011 Sept - Dec2011	
Notification/publicity action	Sep-Dec 2011	

3. Context

Outline and Objectives of the Main Issues Report

- 3.1 The Cairngorms National Park Authority is the responsible authority for the preparation of the Local Development Plan. The Main Issues Report is the first formal stage in the work to review the adopted Local Plan and prepare a Local Development Plan. The Main Issues Report is intended to stimulate debate on the main issues facing the National Park in terms of development and planning for the future. It is not a document which sets out detailed planning policies in its own right but gives options which will inform the proposed Local Development Plan.
- 3.2 The Main Issues Report sets out a series of issues and for each issue, a number of reasonable options. These issues and options have been drawn up following engagement with partners and key stakeholders, and informed by work with communities who were asked to consider what long-term development will help to achieve their individual vision. The issues and options are intended to be engaging, clear and reasonable. The issues cannot be seen in isolation. Each has an impact on the others, and it is important to bear this in mind when considering the options for the future.
- 3.3 The Main Issues Report will inform the proposed Local Development Plan. Development Plans have a five-year timeframe, but must also set out where development might go for up to 20 years. Both the Main Issues Report and the Local Development Plan are also informed by the National Park Plan. This Plan gives the strategic direction for both issues and options, set out in three strategic objectives. It also sets the long-term vision for the National Park.
- 3.4 Tables 3 to 5 below outline the Main Issues Report issues, options and potential sites for development by settlement. All have been assessed through SEA (some assessed through the SEA of the adopted Local Plan) and have been informed by the environmental issues facing the Cairngorms National Park.

Table 3:	Issues	
Issue I	Special qualities of the Park	How can we protect the special qualities of the Cairngorms National Park and provide clarity on where
		development should and should not go?
Issue 2	Resources	How do we plan for the most effective use of the existing resources and respond to the effects of climate change such as water, energy, waste, carbon?
Issue 3	Supporting our	How and where can we make sure communities have
13346 3	communities	what they need – jobs, tourism options, facilities etc?
Issue 4	Affordable housing	How and where can we meet the housing need in our communities – open market, affordable, local needs?
Issue 5	Spatial Strategy	How and where should development happen in the Cairngorms National Park?
Issue 6	Support for rural areas	How do we plan for development that supports our rural areas – follow the existing patterns of development or take a different approach?
Issue 7	Connectivity and communications	How can we help people move around the Park – local access, tourists, people travelling through the Park?

Table 4:	The Options	
Issue I	Special Qualities of the Park	Protect the special qualities from inappropriate development through a policy-based approach.
		Protect the special qualities through a policy-based approach. Provide additional spatial guidance to conserve and enhance designated nature conservation sites, habitat connectivity, wildness and landscape character.
Issue 2	Resources	Protect those resources which are important to the National Park through a policy-based approach, and set out options for appropriate development opportunities.
		Provide an 'areas of search' analysis to direct developers to specific opportunities by providing spatial information looking at particular resources (water, energy sources, agricultural land, waste, forestry, etc).
		Combine a policy-based approach with clear spatial guidance identifying sensitivities and opportunities, building on the existing Sustainable Design Guide.
Issue 3	Supporting our communities	Highlight the general opportunities for economic development that fit in key settlements, and also support our rural communities.
		Highlight the different communities in the Park and support appropriate opportunities for economic development, services and facilities within them.

Issue 4	Affordable housing	Limit new housing development to provide only that identified as required in the housing assessments. This will take into account those sites with permission, focus new development opportunities on sites which are allocated in the existing adopted Local Plans. On those sites we would require a proportion of between 25-40 per cent affordable units. Focus all new development on the provision of affordable housing by only identifying sites for 100 per cent affordable housing (bearing in mind the existing consents). Support the needs of communities by ensuring all main and other settlements have some options for future development. Focus new housing on those sites already in
		adopted Local Plans. On these sites require a benchmark of 25 per cent affordable development (again, bearing in mind existing consents)
Issue 5	Spatial Strategy	Clarify the settlement hierarchy so communities are clear what they are likely to see in the future. This would include land for housing and economic investment. Clarify what is anticipated in rural communities outwith identified settlements.
Issue 6	Support for rural areas	Deal with development in an ad hoc way. Focus development on key settlements. Restrict development outside settlements to particular forms of development/use.
		Support rural communities by providing for growth which matches historic growth patterns. Use the landscape character assessment to protect these important rural areas from inappropriate development.
Issue 7	Connectivity and communications	Secure improvements to the transport and access routes throughout the Park by providing a clear framework for development.
		Identify weaknesses in the communications network, focus on the 'hot spots' of activity and key links/routes across the Park, including review of the core paths network to ensure it is sufficient to meet the needs of residents and visitors. Where key improvements are needed by requiring developments to make a contribution towards existing and new routes.

Table 5: Potential si	tes
An Camas Mòr	058a An Camas Mòr
	059 Land by River Spey – An Camas Mòr/Aviemore
Aviemore	012g Aviemore – North Dalfaber
Ballater	026 Ballater – Land NE of Monaltrie Park
Grantown-on-Spey	012f Grantown-on-Spey - North of Beachan Court
Newtonmore	043 Newtonmore HI
	044 Newtonmore H2
Blair Atholl	055 Blair Atholl H27
	057 Blair Atholl 17
Boat of Garten	012h Boat of Garten – South of Deshar Road
Braemar	031d Braemar – West Auchendryne.
	031g Braemar – Linn of Dee Place
Bruar & Pitagowan	005e Blair Atholl – Goods yard
	053 Bruar car park O7
Cromdale	029a Cromdale – land at Auchroisk
Dalwhinnie	027 Dalwhinnie
	046 Dalwhinnie HI
	047 Dalwhinnie H2
	048 Dalwhinnie H3
Dinnet	024a Dinnet – The Clarack
	024b Dinnet – Chalets/Caravan Site
	024c Dinnet – Housing Site H1
	024d Dinnet – Housing Site H2a
Dulnain Bridge	012a Dulnain Bridge – west of play area
	050 Dulnain Bridge H2 existing permission
Killiecrankie	052 Killiecrankie H31
Kincraig	051 Kincraig H1
Nethy Bridge	006b Nethy Bridge – Land at Craigmore
Tomintoul	037a Tomintoul i. I
	060 Tomintoul HI
	061 Tomintoul H2
	062 Tomintoul H3
	063 Tomintoul H4

Relationship with Other Plans, Programmes and Strategies and Environmental Objectives

- 3.5 Schedule 3 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 requires that the Environmental Report includes an outline of the PPS relationships with other relevant PPS, and how environmental protection objectives have been taken into account in the PPS preparation. This section covers these issues and describes the policy context within which the PPS operates.
- 3.6 The Main Issues Report must have appropriate regard to a wide range of national and international laws, policy and strategy. For example, the Habitats and Birds Directives, Water Framework Directive, Scottish Climate Change Strategy, Biodiversity Strategy and National Planning Framework all provide a context and direction for the Main Issues Report.
- 3.7 Local Strategies and policies are also influential, including Local Housing Strategies, Housing need and demand assessments, local transport strategies, and catchment management plans for rivers Dee, South Esk and Spey all provide direction.
- 3.8 The Main Issues Report must also take direction from policies and plans produced by the CNPA. It gets its strategic context and vision from the National Park Plan, and is influenced by other documents including the Core Paths Plan, Outdoor Access Strategy and Local Biodiversity Action Plan.
- 3.9 The full range of relevant environmental objectives is extensive and often duplicated between PPS at the same level or different levels. Appendix I to this Environmental report summarises the main PPS, environmental objectives and relationships with the Main Issues Report in more detail. Table 6, below, summarises the main points related to SEA issues.

Table 6. The Poir	nts for the Main Issues Report from Other PPSs
SEA Issues	Main points for the Main Issues Report
Biodiversity, flora, fauna	 Conserve and enhance biodiversity, particularly the nationally and internationally rare and threatened species and habitats. Help species and habitats adapt to the effects of climate change.
Population & Human Health	 Maintain and improve health (particularly through outdoor recreation and exercise). Adapt to the effects of climate change and avoid hazards as a result of extreme weather events.
Soil	 Maintain productive capacity of soils. Prevent erosion of soils. Maintain or improve carbon storage of soils and peat.
Water	 Maintain and improve water quality. Encourage natural processes, particularly natural flood management and catchment processes. Conserve water.

Air & Climatic Factors	 Reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. Adapt to the effects of climate change.
	Increase sequestration of carbon.
Material Assets	 Conserve landscapes of the Park (as one of the attractions for visitors). Help settlement adapt to the effects of climate change. Maintain and increase the supply of timber an woodfuel for local use. Minimise energy use and encourage development of renewable energy. Minimise waste.
Cultural Heritage	 Conserve, preserve and record architectural and archaeological heritage.
Landscape	 Conserve and enhance the special and distinct landscape character and qualities of the Park.
Inter- relationships between issues	 Maintain and improve the health of ecosystems and natural systems (which cut across all issues).

Relevant Aspects of the Current State of the Environment

- 3.10 Schedule 3 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 requires that the Environmental Report includes a description of 'the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan or programme', and 'the environmental characteristics of areas likely to be significantly affected'. This section aims to describe the environmental context within which the PPS operates and the constraints and targets that this context imposes on the PPS
- 3.11 The CNPA has adopted an ecosystems approach to the SEA of the Main Issues Report (and also the National Park Plan). The ecosystems approach should provide a clearer strategic context for the SEA by focusing on the services that ecosystems provide, their importance to the health of the ecosystem (in the National Park and beyond), and the products or benefits that people get from them. The approach will draw on the work of the National Ecosystems Assessment (NEA) http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Home/tabid/38/Default.aspx
- 3.12 The ecosystems approach is one that fits well with the Main Issues Report, and later the proposed Local Development Plan, as a tool for managing the National Park and for delivering the four National Park aims. It also has potential to improve future Plans, Programmes and Strategies (and their SEAs) in the Park by providing a common understanding of the important issues and interactions between systems.

Building the Ecosystems Approach into SEA

- 3.13 Ecosystem services are one way of defining the things in the natural environment that benefit people. They range from things like the ways soils are formed, clean water to drink, air to breath, plants and animals we eat, to the pleasure we take from skiing on hills or looking at landscapes and wildlife. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA) identifies four broad categories of ecosystem services that were also used for the NEA and have become an accepted way of identifying and categorizing them:
 - Provisioning Services the products we get from ecosystems such as food, fibre and water;
 - **Regulating Services** the benefits we get from the regulation of ecosystem process such as the regulation of pollination, the climate, noise and water;
 - **Cultural Services** the non-material benefits we get from ecosystems such as spiritual enrichment, inspiration for art, recreation, cultural heritage, tourism and simple aesthetic experience. The way that people value nature can also be a cultural service, for example, iconic or rare species may not be critical to an ecosystem, yet are protected because people would like them to be a self sustaining part of it;
 - **Supporting Services** functions of the ecosystem that are essential for the production of all other ecosystem services such as soil formation, the cycling of nutrients, water cycling, production of atmospheric oxygen and provision of habitat.
- 3.14 The ecosystem approach has been extended to place value on different ecosystems services and to estimate the economic value of different services to human society. The argument for placing economic value on ecosystems services is that it can help policy makers take account of the costs and benefits of policy options on the natural environment. Although placing economic value on ecosystems services from the Cairngorms National Park could be a useful extension of the concept, and may be explored through the life of the Main Issues Report and the subsequent Local Development Plan, it will not be done for the SEA. Instead, a simpler categorisation of the importance of different ecosystem services using a high to low scale will be used to indicate relative value.

Environmental Baseline

- 3.15 The NEA identifies eight broad habitats in the UK that can be associated with ecosystems:
 - Enclosed farmlands
 - Woodlands
 - Semi-natural grasslands
 - Open waters (rivers, lochs, wetlands and floodplains)
 - Mountains moor and heathland
 - Coastal margins
 - Marine
 - Urban

- 3.16 Six of those broad habitats are significant in the Cairngorms National Park:
 - Enclosed farmlands mainly confined to the straths of the Park. Although very little of the farmland of the Park is classed as prime quality (the highest productive capacity), they are a local source of food for the population of the Park. The farmlands are close to many of the rivers and tributaries, and in some cases are part of the functional floodplains of the Park. They can affect the water quality and function of those water bodies, and are an important habitat for wading birds both for breeding and feeding.
 - **Woodlands** the Cairngorms National Park has a wide variety of forests and woodland, including many rare or threatened woodland habitats and associated species. Many woodlands are designated for nature conservation. Many woodlands are important as a source of timber and woodfuel. Woodlands play an important role in the water cycling by slowing the discharge of water towards rivers, preventing erosion of soils and landslides. They are also important to local climates riparian woodland can slow or prevent water temperature increases by shading, and woodland provides shelter from strong winds.
 - Open waters (rivers, lochs, wetlands and floodplains) the Cairngorms National Park has the headwaters of three of Scotland's major rivers as well as many smaller ones. Many are designated for nature conservation. It also has an intricate network of high level and lower level wetlands and open water bodies, including valley flood plains. As well as providing water for the habitats and people in the National Park, rivers from the Park provide water to other parts of Scotland.
 - Mountains, moor and heathland much of the Cairngorms National Park falls into this broad habitat, and large areas are designated for habitat or species conservation. The Cairngorms National Park is internationally famous and valued for these habitats, and it would be appropriate to make a distinction between mountains and moorland as major habitats in their own rights in the Park. Moorlands in the Cairngorms National Park are also associated with moorlands tend to be managed for grouse shooting but overlap with areas of upland wetland and blanket bog. The peat deposits of moorlands are a significant store of carbon.
 - **Semi-natural grasslands**, mainly in the form of acid grassland are often associated with moorlands in the Park. Acid grasslands are not a dominant habitat in their own right in the Park and tend to occur where moorland is used for rough grazing by sheep or cattle, or are present where deer graze heavily. In some locations in the Park, both moorland and acid grassland habitats are used for grazing by sheep and cattle at the margins of farmland and as an integral part of upland farming the semi-natural grasslands form a transition between farmland in valleys and lower slopes to moorland on the upper slopes and hill tops.
 - **Urban** only a small part of the land area of the Cairngorms National Park is urban (around 13.5 square km or about a third of 1% of the total land area of the Park). However, it's in urban areas where most of the Park's 17,500 residents live, and in and between urban areas where most human activity takes place.

- 3.17 So, for the Cairngorms National Park, seven broad habitats can identified:
 - Enclosed farmlands
 - Woodlands
 - Open waters (rivers, lochs, wetlands and floodplains)
 - Mountains
 - Moor
 - Semi-natural grasslands
 - Urban
- 3.18 Each of those habitats has a range of ecosystems services. Appendix 3 of the Environmental Report provides a more detailed explanation of how and why ecosystems services are relevant to the National Park and to the SEA. It also provides a more detailed description of the environmental baseline by habitat types and ecosystems services.
- 3.19 A more conventional summary of the environmental baseline is shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Co	nventional Summary Description of Environmental Baseline
Biodiversity,	25% of UK's threatened species present and is the UK stronghold for many species
flora, fauna	• 51% of Park area designated for natural heritage conservation (48% of international
	importance and 26% of national importance). 74.5% of the designated features of
	these sites are in favourable condition (at December 2010).
Population	Population of c17,500.
&	• 25.8% of population over 60 (higher than Scottish average).
Human	Average health index in top 25% of Scotland (based on deprivation indices).
Health	Extensive core paths network.
	55 Munros including 5 summits over 4000 feet.
	3 ski centres.
	National Cycle Network Route 7.
	I Long Distance Route (Speyside Way).
Soil	8 SSSIs with soils of international importance.
	12 SSSIs with soils of national importance.
	High proportion of undisturbed soils (only 2% cultivated).
	Podzols form 50% of soil cover including internationally significant alpine podzols on
	the plateau.
	Peat forms 13% of soil cover.
	Significant Scottish carbon store in soils and peat.
Water	• 81% of streams classified as excellent (A1) or good (A2) (SEPA 2003).
	20 sq km standing waters.
	Catchments of 6 major rivers.
Air &	Relatively low atmospheric pollution.
Climatic	Annual precipitation over 2250mm on summits and under 900mm in straths.
Factors	 Average annual snow cover 200 days on summits and 50 days on low-ground.
	Prevailing winds from south-west.
Material	Outstanding geological heritage .
Assets	High quality timber from productive native woodlands.
	Local woodfuel sources.
	Potential for small scale micro renewable.
Cultural	II designated Historic Gardens & Designed Landscapes.
Heritage	110 Scheduled Ancient Monuments.
	• 741 listed buildings.
	3 Conservation Areas.
	Numerous records in National Monument Record of Scotland.
	Large number of historic landscapes.
	Potential for survival of many unknown remains in upland areas.
	• 3 Conservation Areas.
	Distinctive local vernacular architecture.
	Cultural landscapes and associations with landscapes and land uses.
Landscape	• 30 Geological Conservation Review (GCR) sites (of which some are part/all SSSI).
	Granite massif and plateau.
	Internationally important landform record.
	Coherent identity of landscape across park from landform and landcover.
	• Extensive areas where the special quality of wildness can be experienced.
	Understanding and appreciation of the special landscape qualities of the Park.

Environmental Problems

- 3.20 Schedule 3 paragraph 4 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 requires that the Environmental Report includes a description of existing environmental problems, in particular those relating to any areas of particular environmental importance. The purpose of this section is to explain how existing environmental problems will affect or be affected by the Main Issues Report and whether the PPS is likely to aggravate, reduce or otherwise affect existing environmental problems.
- 3.21 Table 8 below summarises the key trends and environmental issues associated with the broad habitats of the Park

Likely Evolution of the Environment without the Main Issues Report

- 3.22 The Main Issues Report will not resolve any of the environmental problems in the Park in its own right. Its purpose is to stimulate debate and set out options for future development in the National Park. Whilst it is the first formal stage in the preparation of the statutory Local Development Plan, it does not in itself lead to statutory requirements on people or organisations to do anything it proposes.
- 3.23 Without the Main Issues Report, it is likely that fewer environmental issues in the Cairngorms National Park would be tackled as affectively because there would not be an up to date and Parkwide plan to deal with them. However, many of the environmental problems would be tackled by other PPSs, and other statutory frameworks, including the adopted Local Plan. The Main Issues Report will not in itself improve the overall effectiveness of these mechanisms but will lead to the proposed Local Development Plan. This Plan should lead to improvement of the environmental issues raised as a result of the impact it will have on new development.

Table 8 Su	umm	Table 8 Summary of Main Environmental Issues	ronmental Is		in th	e Habitats of th	e C	in the Habitats of the Cairngorms National Park	
Habitat	Eco	E cosystems services	Main Drivers of		Thre	Threats/Problems	Op	Opportunities	Key Environmental
	or	or benefits that this	Change						Objectives for this Habitat
	im	important for							Park
Enclosed	•	Food	 Agricultural and 	and	•	Loss of productive	•	Protecting productive land from	To maintain or improve the
Farmlands	•	Soil quality	environmental	tal		land to other uses		other uses	productive capacity of
	•	Storage of carbon in	policy		•	Loss of edge habitats	•	Potential diversification of produce	farmland
		soils	 Economic 		•	Loss of iconic wild		in different climatic conditions	 To maintain or improve the
	•	Water quality	viability			bird species	•	Enhancement of habitat networks	carbon storage capacity
	•	Pollination of crops	 Climate change 	Jge	•	Effects of extreme	•	Build resilience to extreme weather	 Increase the resilience to
	•	Landscape	effects		_	weather events		events	climate change effects
	•	Patterns of	 Planting of 				•	Maximise carbon storage capacity	 Conserve or enhance the
		settlement	woodland						value for distinctive wild
	•	Sense of place,							species and habitats
		history and tradition							 Maintain or enhance special
	•	Living culture and							landscape qualities
		identity							 Maintain capacity for
		•							learning and enjoyment of
									history and culture
Woodlands	•	Timber as a material	 Forestry and 		•	Disease risks	•	Enhancement of woodland	 Maintain or increase timber
		and as fuel	environmental	tal	•	Loss to other land		networks including montane and	and woodfuel production
	•	Rich and diverse	policy		_	nses		riparian woodland	 Conserve or enhance the
		habitats and species	 Recreational 	_	•	Fragmentation of	•	Increased use of locally grown	value for distinctive wild
	•	Stability of soils	nses		_	native and ancient		timber for construction and fuel	species and habitats
	•	Storage of carbon	Economic			woodland sites	•	Woodland creation and	 To maintain or improve the
	•	Shelter	viability		•	Recreational		management to build resilience to	carbon storage capacity
	•	Soil & water quality	 Climate change 	nge	_	disturbance to key		extreme weather events	 Increase resilience to
	•	Pollination of	effects		_	iconic species	•	Increased recreational use of	climate change effects
		woodland species			•	Effects of extreme		woodland	 Maintain recreational value
	•	Ecological				weather events and	•	Management of recreational use to	 Maintain or enhance special
		knowledge			_	changes in climate		avoid disturbance to key species	landscape qualities
	•	Recreation			•	Disturbance of	•	Promoting responsible recreation	 Maintain capacity for
	•	Landscape				archaeological		and dog management	learning and enjoyment of
	•	Patterns of			_	remains in existing			history and culture
		settlement				or new woodland			
	1			1					

Table 8 Su	l able o Summary of Main Environmental		In the Habitats of the	Issues in the Habitats of the Cairngorms National Park	
Habitat	Ecosystems services or benefits that this habitat is most important for	Main Drivers of Change	Threats/Problems	Opportunities	Key Environmental Objectives for this Habitat in the Cairngorms National
	Sense of place,Tradition				- ark
	 Living culture and identity 				
Open	Fresh water	Environmental	Point source and	Enhancement of functioning	Conserve or enhance the
Water	 Important wild species and rich 	policyClimate change	diffuse pollutionWater abstraction	 wetlands and floodplains Adoption and extension of natural 	value for distinctive wild species and habitats
	habitats	effects	Erosion and	flood management techniques	Maintain or improve water
	Local climate	Hydro energy	sediment	Reduction in pollution sources Michigan Pollution sources	quality Minimize unpocessury use
	Regulation of	Invasive non-	weather events and	abstraction – reducing water loss	of water
	flooding	native species	changes in climate	following abstraction, more efficient	 Maintain or increase ability
	Water quality Ecological		to the physical	use of water	to store water Increase resilience to
	knowledge		and distinctive		climate change effects
	Recreation		habitats/species of		 Maintain recreational value
	 Landscape 		open water systems		 Maintain or enhance special
	 Patterns of 		 Invasive non-native 		landscape qualities
	settlement		sbecies		 Maintain capacity for
	 Sense of place 				learning and enjoyment of
	 Tradition 				history and culture
Mountains	 Rare and fragile 	Nature	Climate change	 Enhancing the sense of wildness 	 Conserve or enhance the
	species and habitats	conservation	effects on marginal	 Manage changes in habitats – eg 	value for distinctive wild
	 Climate regulation 	policy	arctic-alpine habitats	towards montane scrub	species and habitats
	Soil quality	 Climate change effects 	and species Inspecies	 Maintain patchwork of grazing domition for habitat recilioned 	 Increase resilience to climate change effects
	Seed dispersal and	Grazing	grazing by stock or	Promoting responsible recreation	Maintain recreational value
	pollination of	pressures and	wild mammals	and dog management	to maintain or improve the
	mountain plant	changes	 Erosion (natural 		carbon storage capacity
	species	 Disturbance to 	process and human		Maintain sense of wildness
		species and	induced) and		 Maintain or enhance special
		habitats from	potential changes brought about by		landscape qualities maintain

Table 8 Su	umr	Table 8 Summary of Main Environmental Issu	ron		in the	Habitats of the	ပိ	es in the Habitats of the Cairngorms National Park	
Habitat	Ec	E cosystems services	Ma		Threat	Threats/Problems	ldO	Opportunities	Key Environmental
	or	or benefits that this	บ	Change					Objectives for this Habitat
	ha ir	habitat is most important for							in the Cairngorms National Park
	•	Ecological and		recreation	ext	extreme weather			 capacity for learning and
		geological	•	The setting of	events	nts			enjoyment of history and
		knowledge		and views from	• Rec	Reduced sense of			culture
	•	Recreation		mountains due	wik	wildness as a result			
	•	Landscape		to renewable	of	of visual impact of			
	•	Sense of place,		energy or other	dev	development			
		history & tradition		large	• Rec	Recreational			
	•	Living culture and identity		developments	dist sen	disturbance to sensitive birds			
Moorland	•	Climate regulation	•	Nature	• Los	Loss to other uses	•	Protecting and enhancing carbon	 Conserve or enhance the
		as stores of carbon		conservation and	• Ina	Inappropriate		storage capacity	value for distinctive wild
	•	Soil quality		environmental	gra	grazing by stock or			species and habitats
	•	Water quality		policy	wik	wild mammals			 Conserve or enhance the
	•	Pollination of	•	Land ownership	• Dis	Disease and pest			distinctive wild species and
		moorland plant		and management	risk	risks to iconic			habitats
		species		objectives	sbe	species (heather and			 To maintain or improve the
	•	Ecological and	•	Climate change	gro	grouse)			carbon storage capacity
		geological		effects	• Los	Loss of stored			 Increase resilience to
		knowledge	•	Planting of	car	carbon			climate change effects
	•	Recreation		woodland	• Ille§	llegal killing of			 Maintain recreational value
	•	Landscape			pro	protected species			 Maintain or enhance special
	•	Sense of place,			esb	especially raptors			landscape qualities
		tradition and history							 Maintain sense of wildness
	•	Living culture and							 Maintain capacity for
		identity							learning and enjoyment of
									history and culture
Semi-	•	Provision of food	•	Grazing regimes	ن و • •	Loss to other uses	•	Identify most diverse semi-natural	Conserve or enhance the value for distribution wild
- Paclacent		in the state of th	•	מתרכבי	<u>.</u>	Cilaliges III glazilig			
grassialius		IIVESTOCK Brazing		moorland, scrub,			•	Identify areas for suitable for	species and nabitats
	•	Some distinctive		woodland,				woodland expansion	 Maintain productive
		wild species and		wetland			•	Use to promote cultural heritage of	capacity of soils
		habitats	•	Planting of				Park	To maintain or improve the
				WOODIAND					carbon storage capacity

Table 8 Su	ummary of Main I	Envi	ronmental Iss	ues ii	n th	e Habitats of the	Ü O	Table 8 Summary of Main Environmental Issues in the Habitats of the Cairngorms National Park	
Habitat	Ecosystems services	es	Main Drivers of		Thre	Threats/Problems	Ор	Opportunities	Key Environmental
	or benefits that this	is	Change						Objectives for this Habitat
	habitat is most								in the Cairngorms National
	important for								Park
	Soil quality and								 Maintain or enhance
	storage of carbon	ċ							landscape character
	Knowledge								 Maintain capacity for
	Recreation								learning and enjoyment of
	 Landscape 								history and culture
	Sense of place, tradition history								
Urban	Contribution to		Economic	+	-	Loss of urban green	•	Consolidate and enhance character	Conserve or enhance the
	climate change		changes		S	spaces		of settlements through design of	value for distinctive wild
	through release of	of	Population		•	Fragmentation of		new developments	species and habitats
	carbon		changes		500	green networks	•	Improve the energy efficiency of	Maximise energy efficiency
	Sources of noise and	and	Climate change	ge –	• •	within towns and		existing and new buildings	and minimise energy waste
	air pollution		the effects of it	۳	_	villages	•	Conserve and enhance urban green	Maintain or enhance
	Introduction of		and public policy	licy	•	Changes in		spaces and networks, linking with	landscape character
	invasive species		to minimise		J	character and		wider habitat networks	Maintain capacity for
	Recreation		carbon emissions	ions	S	setting of towns and	•	Use urban areas to increase local	learning and enjoyment of
	Patterns of				_	villages through new		food production	history and culture
	settlement, urban	_			J	development.	•	Support communities to develop	
	forms and landscape	ape			•	Dispersed rural		more efficient rural transport links	
	 Sense of place, 				v,	settlements rely	•	Improve communications and IT	
	tradition, history				_	heavily on transport		infrastructure to reduce need to	
	and identity					by private car		travel to work locations	
					•	Flooding due to			
					¥	extreme weather			
					J	events			

SEA Objectives

3.24 Table 9 sets out 9 objectives, phrased as questions that are proposed as a basis for the SEA. They build on the environmental objectives identified in table 8 and have been formulated for the purpose of the SEA of the Main Issues Report. They therefore necessarily cover a wide range of potential issues across all the habitats of the National Park. Because the Main Issues Report is a document intended to stimulate debate rather than provide detailed planning policy, which will come in the proposed Local Development Plan, it does not consider the detail of many issues. However, the future SEAs of other PPSs in the National Park, including the Local Development Plan, could relate to a smaller set of ecosystems services applying to fewer habitats.

Table 9. SEA Questi	ons	
SEA Question	Rationale for Question	Environmental Objective
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	Local food has potential to be of high quality and with a low carbon footprint from transport. The nutritional values of local fresh food are likely to be greater than from food stored and transported from far away. Management of farmland affects native species; the management of soils; release of greenhouse gases; the quality of the water environment; the material cultural heritage and non-material cultural heritage of tradition and history; the appearance of the landscape; as well as the material value of farmland as a natural resource.	To maintain or improve the productive capacity of farmland
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	Timber from woodland is an important material for with many uses. Local wood as a source of fuel can be a low carbon alternative to fossil fuels. While many woodlands in the Park are managed for the conservation of distinctive species and habitats, many are also managed to provide economic benefits.	To maintain or increase timber and woodfuel production
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	Water that falls in the Park makes its way through a range of habitats towards the streams and rivers that flow out of the Park. It is taken from ground water sources, rivers and lochs in the Park for use by the human population of the Park as well as providing an important habitat in its own right for a range of rare and distinctive species such as salmon, lamprey and fresh water pearl mussel. Waste water from humans is also returned to the main rivers of the Park, and other products such as fertilizers and pesticides, road salt and some industrial waste may enter water courses and affect water quality. The rivers that leave the Park provide water for other parts of Scotland and are a corridor for many species to use for travel.	To maintain or improve water quality To minimise unnecessary use of water To maintain or increase ability to store water
	Rivers and wetlands store water, helping river catchments to cope with extreme weather events such as storms, sudden snow melt and drought. The ability of rivers to flood naturally along their length allows them to avoid sudden and unexpected flooding in other areas. It is likely that we will experience more frequent extreme weather events as our climate changes, so the ability of river systems and wetlands to behave naturally will affect how severely humans experience the events. The natural cycle of flooding also provides diverse habitats that support many important species. Other habitats (particularly woodland) in a river catchment also help to store water, slow its movement downstream, and help prevent erosion from water.	To increase the resilience to climate change effects

Table 9. SEA Questi	ons	
		_
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	The Cairngorms National Park has 25% of the UK's rare and threatened species and large areas of habitat that is rare or infrequent. 51% of the Park is designated for nature conservation and 48% is designated as being of European importance for nature conservation. The distinctive species and habitats recognised in these designations, and others in the Cairngorms Local Biodiversity Action Plan, rely on both the designated sites as well as a wider network of habitats across the Park. The viability of many species is linked to the appropriate management of habitats and connections between them irrespective of whether the land is designated for them. As well as providing a range of habitats that are important in their own right, the diversity and extent of these habitats helps species adapt to changes or other pressures such as changes in climate.	To conserve or enhance the value for distinctive wild species and habitats To increase the resilience to climate change effects
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.	The National Park stores carbon in peat, in soils and in plants, particularly woodland. Disturbance of peatland and carbon-rich soils can release carbon to the atmosphere. Conservation of these area can secure can secure long-term storage of carbon.	To maintain or improve the carbon storage capacity
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	Living in or visiting a relatively remote part of Scotland requires more energy for day to day life, business and travel. Reducing the need to travel by car, improving the energy efficiency of buildings and processes will reduce the need for energy and the need to use fossil fuels.	To maximise energy efficiency and minimise energy waste To increase the resilience to climate change effects
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	There are many factors that contribute to a healthy lifestyle. The National Park provides particular opportunities for physical recreation that can benefit physical and mental health. It also provides less tangible opportunities to enjoy and appreciate the nature and landscapes of the Park that can help to contribute to mental health and wellbeing.	To maintain recreational value
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	The landscapes of the National Park are distinctive and are valued by the people who live in and visit the Park. This is partly reflected in the categorization of the Park as an IUCN Category V Protected Landscape. The landscapes of the Park will all change subtly over time, and can change suddenly in extreme events or with major changes in the use of land. Managing changes in the landscape to maintain and enhance the distinctive character and the ways that people experience it are important to the long-term management of the Park.	To maintain or enhance landscape character To maintain sense of wildness
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park.	The material cultural heritage of the Park – the buildings, archaeological remains, and landscapes, together with the knowledge they provide, are enhanced and enriched by the stories, history, traditions, and communities of the Park. Wherever possible, the built heritage and archaeological remains are preserved or recorded. However, they become a living part of our cultural heritage when they are linked to the lives of people today through shared stories, history and tradition.	To maintain capacity for learning and enjoyment of history and culture

3.25 Table 10 shows how each SEA question is relevant to a number of the SEA topics.

Table 10. SEA Questions and Relevant SEA topics	Biodiversity,	Population and	Soil	Climatic Factors	Water	Air	Cultural heritage	Landscape	Material Assets
Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?									
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?									
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?									
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?									
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.									
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?									
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?									
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?									
Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park.									

3.26 Table 11 shows the SEA questions with appropriate SEA assessment criteria and potential indicators for each SEA question. The indicators are based on the availability of data, have been chosen to be consistent with other monitoring of the National Park Plan, and are intended to be relatively easily understood by the public.

Table 11. SEA Quest	tions with Assessment Criteria and Potential Indicators	5
SEA Question	Assessment Criteria	Potential Indicators
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	 What effect will the Plan have on the productive capacity of agricultural land? What effect will the Plan have on soil function? What other effects will the Plan have on food production, eg support for allotments and community growing spaces? 	Area of land lost from agricultural use to development.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	 What effect will the Plan have on the take up of commercial timber sourced within the Park in the construction industry? Will the Plan affect the supply of any other timber products? 	Area of woodland.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	 What effect will the Plan have on abstraction of water? What effect will the Plan have on water quality as a result of waste waters or run-off? What effect will the Plan have on the flow of water downstream – will it slow water through woodland planting, floodplain management or sustainable urban orange systems (SUDS)? What effect will the Plan have on sediment loading? 	The ecological status of water bodies in the Park.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	 What effect will the Plan have on the features of designated sites? What effect will the Plan have on protected species? What effect will the Plan have on habitats and species in the Cairngorms LBAP? What effect will the Plan have on the resilience of habitats and species to climate change, including connectivity of habitats? 	The condition of the features of designated sites. The growth of the forest habitat network.

Table 11. SEA Quest	tions with Assessment Criteria and Potential Indicators	5
SEA Question	Assessment Criteria	Potential Indicators
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	 What effect will the Plan have on the ability of peatland to store carbon? What effect will the Plan have on carbon rich soils? What effect will the Plan have on the total carbon stored in the land and vegetation? What effect will the Plan have on the need for oil-based energy? What effect will the Plan have on the energy efficiency of new development and existing development? What effect will the Plan have on use of renewable energy sources? What effect will the Plan have on patterns of travel? What effect will the Plan have on opportunities to travel by a variety of modes of transport? What effect will the Plan have on the generation and management of waste? 	Number of applications consented affecting carbonrich soils. Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from the Park or sectors of activity in the Park.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	What effect will the Plan have on the flow of water downstream – will it slow water through woodland Planting, floodplain management or SUDS?	Area of land identified as at risk of flooding in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	 What effect will the Plan have on opportunities for physical exercise? What effect will the Plan have on provision of open space and green networks in and close to settlements? What effect will the Plan have on the availability of accommodation that meets their needs? What effect will the Plan have on people's understanding and interest in maintaining healthy lifestyles? 	Provision of open space and paths within new development.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	 What effect will the Plan have on changes in landscape character and the special landscape qualities of the Park? What effect will the Plan have on the qualities of wildness that people experience in the Park? What effect will the Plan have on the character and setting of towns and villages in the Park? What effect will the Plan have on people's understanding of the historical and current processes and management of the Park that give it its distinctive character? 	Area of land with multiple wildness qualities.

Consideration of Reasonable Alternatives

- 3.27 The Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 requires that reasonable alternatives to the Plan are considered as part of the SEA. The Main Issues Report is all about alternatives. Its role is to present reasonable alternatives to address the issues raised. Whilst not delivering change in itself it will inform the Local Development Plan and the options taken forward will come directly from the MIR.
- 3.28 The MIR sets out the alternatives where these exist. Where no alternatives are presented it is as a result of the existing position with extant planning consents. The MIR explains more fully, where necessary, why no alternatives are suggested.

4. Assessment of Environmental Effects and Measures Envisaged for Prevention, Reduction and Offsetting any Significant Adverse Effects

Assessment Methods

- 4.1 The Main Issues Report sets out a number of issues, each with a variety of options. It then looks at options for development sites. Each of these must therefore be assessed.
- 4.2 The assessment of the Main Issues Report has therefore been done by answering the nine questions identified in Tables 9-11 for each issue, option or site. Sites which have been assessed in previous SEA are not included. Nor are sites suggested but not considered reasonable options to be carried forward into the Local Development Plan. The assessment criteria shown in Table 11 were used as prompts in the assessment. The assessment methods, SEA objectives, questions and criteria were modified and simplified following the response of consultation authorities on the SEA scoping report.
- 4.3 The assessment was recorded in a similar form to the example shown in Table 12, using a simple visual 5-colour scale of effects will be used to provide a summary of effects. Where effects were predicted, the nature of those effects was explained in more detail and any mitigation measures required to avoid, reduce, or offset them were also recorded.

Table 12. Example of As	sessm	ent Reco	rding Form
Plan Objective/outcome	1		
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question I			
SEA Question2			
SEA Question3			
SEA Question4			
SEA Question5			
SEA Question6			
SEA Question7			
SEA Question8			
SEA Question9			
Mitigation measures: •			
Duration of effects: L=long-ter	m, M=n	nedium-terr	n, S=short-term
	ро	sitive effect	
no	effect	or negligible	e effect
	neg	gative effect	t en
uncertain effect/ effect canno	ot be pr	edicted/ or	both positive and negative effects
	no	t applicable	

Assessment of the Draft Cairngorms National Park Plan 2012-2017

4.4 The Plan was assessed using the framework described earlier. A summary of the assessment findings is shown in Table 13 and the full findings are shown in Appendix 2.

SEA Objectives:		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Cumulative Effects	•	_		•			•		· · ·
Synergistic Effects									
Issue Special				ML				ML	
Qualities Option I				ML				PIL	
Issue I Special				ML				ML	
Qualities Option 2				ME				FIL	
Issue 2 Resources	ML	ML	ML		L	ML			
Option I	ME	FIL	PIL		_	PIL			
Issue 2 Resources	ML	ML	ML		L	ML			
Option 2	ML	ML	MIL		_	ML			
Issue 2 Resources	ML	ML	ML		,	ML		ML	
Option 3	ML	ML	ML		L	ML		ML	
Issue 3 Support for									
communities Option I									
Issue 3 Support for									
communities Option 2									
Issue 4 Housing									
Option I									
Issue 4 Housing									
Option 2				ML				ML	
Issue 4 Housing									
Option 3				ML				ML	
Issue 4 Spatial Strategy									
Option I	SML	SML	SML						
Issue 6 Support for									
Rural areas Option 1	ML	ML		ML		ML		ML	
Issue 6 Support for									
Rural areas Option 2	ML	ML		ML				ML	
Issue 6 Support for									
Rural areas Option 3	ML	ML		ML				ML	
Issue 7 Connectivity									
Option I				ML		ML		SML	ML
Issue 7 Connectivity									
Option I				ML		ML	SML	ML	SML
Site 005e									
Site 006b									
Site 012a									
Site 012f				SML				SML	
Site 012g									
Site 012g				SML			G) (1	SML	
				SML			SML	SML	
Site 024a								SML	
Site 024b				SML					

Table 13. Sumi	mary matrix o	f potential e	effects				
Site 024c			SML				
Site 024d			SML			SML	
Site 026	SML					SML	
Site 027			SML				
Site 029a	SML					SML	
Site 031d			SML			SML	
Site 031g							
Site 037a						SML	
Site 043	SML					SML	
Site 044	SML						
Site 046							
Site 047							
Site 048							
Site 050					SML	SML	
Site 051	SML					SML	
Site 52						SML	
Site 053							
Site 055						SML	
Site 057						SML	
Site 058a		SML	SML	SML	SML	SML	
Site 059							
Site 60						SML	
Site 061						SML	
Site 062						SML	
Site 063						SML	
Duration of effects	: L=long-term, M=	medium-term,	S=short-term				
	o effect or negligil				ot applic		
unce	rtain effect/ effect	cannot be pre	dicted/or both	positive and ne	egative eff	ects	

- 4.5 In looking at the likely environmental effect the MIR will have, it can therefore be seen that it will have no effect/a negligible effect on the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food. There are a number of uncertain aspects, notably in connection with the spatial strategy and how we support our rural areas. This uncertainly will be tested in the ongoing assessment of the Plan as it becomes more detailed (the next formal stage).
- 4.6 The MIR will also have no effect/a negligible effect on the ability to maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park. The MIR deals with aspects of the development process which are affected by the planning system. Much development associated with commercial forestry and woodland is not directly affected by this Plan, and the predicted effects are therefore understandably.

- 4.7 The MIR will have a similarly negligible effect on the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water. In itself, it does not propose significantly increased levels of development, in addition to that contained in existing adopted local plans, or with extant planning consent. It does identify water as a key resource which must be planned for in a sustainable way. Further assessments will be required in the proposed Development Plan to assess the precise impacts of any detailed policy stance taken.
- 4.8 Regarding the conservation and enhancement of the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity, the picture is less clear. There are several uncertain effects, most notably associated with the spatial strategy, our support for rural areas, and connectivity. However these uncertainties arise as a result of uncertainly of the effects on actual sites. Detailed policy could offer appropriate protection, and this will be further developed in the proposed Development Plan, the next formal stage where detailed policies are introduced.
- 4.9 Overall the MIR will have no or a negligible effect on the ability of the Park as a whole to maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gasses in peat, soils and woodland. It is likely to have some limited positive effects particularly in association with the way resources are addressed.
- 4.10 In regard to increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy waste the MIR will have no or a negligible effect overall, but is likely to have some positive effect, particularly in relation to the way resources are addressed in the options analysis. Some uncertain effects are identified associated with the settlement strategy, how we support our rural areas, and how we deal with connectivity. While these uncertainties are addressed through site specific assessments, further policy development may be necessary in the proposed Development Plan.
- 4.11 On the MIR's ability to maintain opportunities for enjoyment and recreation, it has no or a negligible effect. At this point the Plan is insufficiently detailed to provide clear direction on this issue, although site specific assessments to provide some clarity. Some positive effects are identified in association with the issue of connectivity, where options seek to improve routes that provide the infrastructure for recreation and walking.
- 4.12 The effects of the MIR on the conservation and enhancement of the distinctive character and experience of the Park is less clear. Specific protection will be necessary as the next stage is completed to ensure adequate protection of the special qualities is secured.
- 4.13 Finally, on the ability of the MIR to maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park, the overall effects are predicted to be negligible. While some effects may exist at a site specific level, and are assessed accordingly, the approach proposed in the MIR could offer opportunities to enjoy and learn about the cultural heritage of the Park.
- 4.14 Looking at the effects of the site specific proposals, a variety of mitigation will be necessary. Screen planting and retention of existing planting, coupled with sensitive design will be key to development having an acceptable level of impact.

4.15 As an overarching conclusion it can be seen that the MIR in itself is predicted to have negligible effect on the environment. The balance of issues matched with site specific proposals serve to set out options for development in the right locations, which help conserve and enhance the special qualities of the Park. This is perhaps an expected overall conclusion for a Main Issues Report which is, by its very nature, a document intended to stimulate debate rather than set out detailed policies. Further assessments will be required as the proposed Development Plan is prepared to ensure that as more detail is introduced, the potential effects are assessed fully.

5. Mitigation

- 5.1 A number of mitigation measures have been built into the development of the options in the Main Issues Report as a result of assessment. These include:
 - Removal of land allocations within a flood risk area;
 - Stronger protection for special qualities built into preferred options for some issues, including use of spatially specific data;
 - A number of potential effects of policies can be assessed at a site level when considering individual allocations.

The following mitigation measures have been identified by the assessment as being required in further developing and implementing the Local Development Plan:

- Ensure strong policy direction and information provision to protect special qualities;
- Ensure potential effects are addressed through assessment of sites and in turn, specific proposals;

In proposing allocations, the assessment identified the following mitigation measures in particular if specific site proposals are included:

- Tree planting/screening/retention of existing woodland setting;
- Follow existing settlement pattern and layout
- Use of design statements to ensure sensitive and appropriate design.

These will be considered where relevant to individual allocations if they are incorporated into the Proposed Local Development Plan.

6. Next Steps in Developing the Local Development Plan

- 6.1 The Main Issues Report, together with this Environmental Report is subject to public consultation from 19 September to 9 December 2011.
- 6.2 On completion of the consultation the National Park Authority will work to develop the Proposed Local Development Plan, taking account of responses to the options raised in the Main Issues Report. An updated Environmental Report will be published to accompany public consultation on the Proposed Local Development Plan.

Appendix I

Other PPSs and Environmental Objectives

Relevant PPS	Relevant Objectives/Purpose	SEA Issue	Relationship between the policy and the Main Issues Report and subsequent Local Development Plan
International Direct	tives		
SEA Directive 2001/42/EC (European Union, 2001)	Requires Strategic Environmental Assessments to be undertaken for plans, programmes and strategies with significant environmental effects.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Enables significant environmental effects of the Main Issues Report to be identified and addressed.
Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance 1971	Requires conservation and wise use of wetlands.	Biodiversity Water Landscape	Main Issues and subsequent LDP requires the protection and enhancement of wetlands
Directive 79/409/EC: the Conservation of Wild Birds 1979	Requires member states to sustain populations of naturally occurring wild birds by sustaining areas of habitats to maintain ecologically and scientifically sounds levels.	Biodiversity Water Landscape Woodlands and forests	Main Issues Report should support protection and enhancement of bird habitat through policies and targets.
Directive 92/42EC: The Conservation of Natural Habitats of Wild Fauna and Flora 1992	Requires member states to sustain populations of naturally occurring flora and fauna by sustaining areas of habitats to maintain ecologically and scientifically sound levels.	Biodiversity Water Landscape Woodlands and forests	Main Issues Report must ensure protection and enhancement of Natura Sites.
EU Flood Risk Directive 2007/60/EC	Aims to reduce and manage the risks that floods pose to human health, the environment, cultural heritage and economic activity.	Water Climatic factors	Main Issues Report should reduce and manage flood risk encouraging natural flood management approaches.
Directive 2000/60 EC: The Water Framework Directive	Requires member states to achieve good ecological status of inland water bodies, and develop integrated catchment management and river basin management plans.	Water Biodiversity Landscape	Main Issues Report should support protection and enhancement of the water environment.
Directive 1996/62 EC: Ambient Air Quality and Management	Establishes standards for air quality and sets limits for various pollutants.	Air Human Health	Main Issues Report should support measures that would improve air quality.
EU Common Agricultural Policy	Sets policy for agricultural support with increased emphasis on rural development support.	Land Landscape Population	Main Issues Report should recognise and provide for rural diversification of economic activities.
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (the Rio Earth Summit) 1992	Treaty aimed at reducing global emissions of greenhouse gases to combat global warming.	Climatic factors Air	Main Issues Report should assist in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

Taking Sustainable Use of Resources Forward: A thematic Strategy on the prevention and recycling of waste (EU, 2005)	Protocol to the international Framework Convention on Climate Change Framework with the objective of reducing Greenhouse gases which cause climate change. A sector based strategy produced under the Environmental Action Programme.	Climatic factors Air Climatic factors Air	Main Issues Report should support measures that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Main Issues Report can minimise waste.
National Legislation			
Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Requires Strategic Environmental Assessments to be completed for plans, programmes and strategies likely to have significant environmental effects.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Enables significant environmental effects of the Main Issues Report to be identified and addressed.
Water Environment and Water Services (Scotland) Act 2003	Transposes the Water Framework Directive into Scots law.	Water Biodiversity Landscape	Main Issues Report should encourage improvements to the water environment and support measures for more efficient use of water.
Environmental Impact Assessment (Scotland) Regulations 1999	Requires environmental impact assessment of site specific projects and specifically requires consideration of Sensitive Areas including National Parks.	Climatic factors Soils Air Biodiversity Water Landscape Human health Cultural heritage	The Local Development Plan will require Environmental Impact Assessments where appropriate.
Environmental Impact Assessment (Forestry) (Scotland) Regulations 1999	Requires environmental impact assessments for certain forestry projects.	Climatic factors Soils Air Biodiversity Water Landscape Human health Cultural heritage	The Local Development Plan will require Environmental Impact Assessments where appropriate.
Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003	Establishes right of responsible access to land and water.	Biodiversity Water Land Human health	Main Issues Report can provide for and support responsible access.
Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981	Requires certain species to be protected.	Biodiversity	Main Issues Report should support protected species.

Nature	Act places duties on public bodies	Biodiversity	Main Issues Report should
Conservation Act	for conserving biodiversity,	Land	support conservation and
(Scotland) 2004	increases protection for Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), amends legislation on Nature Conservation Orders, provides for Land Management Orders for SSSIs and associated land,	Water	enhancement of biodiversity.
	strengthens wildlife enforcement legislation, and requires the preparation of a Scottish Fossil Code.		
National Parks (Scotland) Act 2000	Specifies what a Park Authority can do and how it should be	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the	Establishes the Aims of National Parks. Provides direction on the
(Scotiand) Act 2000	run, including a requirement to produce a National Park Plan.	Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	functions and role of the National Park Authority.
Flood Risk	Establishes roles,	Water	Main Issues Report should
Management Act (Scotland) Act 2009	responsibilities and requirements for sustainable flood management.	Climatic factors	support flood management, particularly natural flood management.
Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009	Outlines emission reduction targets, adaptation measures, and establishes duties on public bodies.	Climatic factors Soil Water Biodiversity Human health Population	Main Issues Report should support climate change adaptation and mitigation measures.
Wildlife and Natural Environment (Scotland) Bill 2011	Amends Wildlife Consultation Act 1981, and seeks to modernise game law; abolish the designation 'areas of special protection'; improve snaring practice; regulate invasive non- native species; change the licensing system for protected species; amend current arrangements for deer management and deer stalking; strengthen protection of badgers; change how muirburn can be practised; and make operational changes to the management of Sites of Scientific Interest; game law, use of shores, and invasive species legislation.	Climatic factors Soil Water Biodiversity	Main Issues Report should support provisions of the Act.
National Policy Scottish	The Scottish Government's	Air	The Main Issues Report should
Government	purpose is to secure sustainable	Soil	support the delivery of
Purpose	economic growth for Scotland. All the public sector should be working to the purpose.	Water Population Human health Biodiversity Climatic factors Material assets Cultural heritage Landscape	sustainable economic growth in the context of the Park and its special qualities and management needs.

Scottish	The Scottish Government has	Air	The Main Issues Penert should
		Soil	The Main Issues Report should
Government	15 National Outcomes that the		identify and contribute to
National Outcomes	public sector must collectively	Water	delivery of the outcomes that
	deliver.	Population	are most appropriate in the
		Human health	Park.
		Biodiversity	
		Climatic factors	
		Material assets	
		Cultural heritage	
		Landscape	
National Planning	National framework to guide	All SEA Issues listed	Provides strategic context for
Framework for	spatial development.	in Schedule 2 of the	future regional change around
Scotland until 2025		Environmental	the Park.
(2004)		Assessment	
,		(Scotland) Act 2005	
Scottish Planning	SPP covering an range of topics	All SEA Issues listed	Provides guidance for
Policy Guidance	relevant to the Local	in Schedule 2 of the	developing policies to address
Tolicy Guidance	Development Plan.	Environmental	specific issues in the Local
	Development rian.	Assessment	
			Development Plan.
Diamain - A I :	Control Control	(Scotland) Act 2005	Desides added 6
Planning Advice	Scottish Government good	All SEA Issues listed	Provides guidance for
Notes (including	practice advice.	in Schedule 2 of the	developing policies to address
PAN 42)		Environmental	specific issues in the Local
		Assessment	Development Plan.
		(Scotland) Act 2005	
Scotland River Basin	Fulfils a requirement under the	Water	Includes management objectives
Management Plan	EU Water Framework	Biodiversity	for water bodies in the National
	Directive.	Soil	Park which the Main Issues
			Report must take account of.
Land Use Strategy	Outlines strategy for achieving	Soil	Main Issues Report can provide
for Scotland	sustainable land use across	Water	more specific direction on the
	Scotland and getting the best	Biodiversity	National Land Use Strategy can
	from the land of Scotland.	Landscape	be implemented at a regional
		Population	level.
Scottish Forestry	Outlines strategic priorities for	Water	Provides strategic direction for
Strategy	forestry including management,	Soils	forestry policy.
Strategy		Biodiversity	lorestry policy.
	planting and environmental	1	
C .1 1D 1	stewardship.	Landscape	Milb
Scotland Rural	Sets goals for sustainable rural	Water	Main Issues Report can provide
Development	development and the types of	Biodiversity	more specific direction on how
Programme	support available.	Landscape	rural development and
		Soil	diversification should be
			supported in the Park.
Climate Change: The	Goal to reduce carbon	Climatic factors	Main Issues Report should
UK Programme	emissions in the UK by 60% by	Air	encourage reductions in
	2050.	Soil	emissions through a range of
			measures.
Changing Our Ways:	Demonstrates how Scotland	Climatic factors	Main Issues Report should
Scotland's Climate	will deliver carbon savings from	Air	encourage reductions in
Change Programme	devolved policy measures and	Soil	emissions through a range of
J	reduce its vulnerability to the		measures.
	changing climate.		cusur cs.
Air Quality Strategy	Sets out objectives for eight air	Air	Main Issues Report should
		Soil	encourage reductions in
for England,	pollutants.		
Scotland, Wales and		Climatic factors	emissions through a range of
Northern Ireland			measures.

UK Biodiversity Action Plan	Identifies UK priority species and habitats where action to conserve is required.	Biodiversity Water Soil	Main Issues Report should support delivery of the UKBAP and significant Park species through support for Cairngorms LBAP.
Scottish Biodiversity Strategy	Identifies Scottish biodiversity priorities and lead partners for taking action.	Biodiversity Water Soil	Main Issues Report should support delivery of the UKBAP and significant Park species through support for Cairngorms LBAP.
Choosing our future: Scotland's Sustainable Development Strategy	Outlines a strategic framework for the Scottish Government's strategies on climate change, transport, renewable energy, energy efficiency, green jobs and biodiversity.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Main Issues Report should help deliver sustainable development.
National Waste Strategy	Strategy for decreasing volume of waste land filled and increased recycling.	Soil Water Air Climatic factors Population	Main Issues Report should support reduction in waste.
Zero Waste Scotland	Provides context for waste planning in Scotland	Soil Water Air Climatic Factors Population	Directs the MIR and LDP to secure zero waste in new development through support for waste management and good design
A Policy Statement for Scotland – Designing Places	Provides the policy context for important areas of planning policy and design guidance.	Landscape Cultural heritage Population Human health	Main Issues Report should support good design.
A Policy on Architecture for Scotland (2001 updated in 2006)	Scottish Government Guidance 2001.	Landscape Cultural heritage Population Human health	Main Issues Report should support good design.
Scotland's National Transport Strategy 2006	Scottish Government - National Strategy for reducing transport emissions by 80%.	Population Human health Air Climatic factors	Main Issues Report should support reductions in emissions from transport.
Scottish Tourism: The Next Decade – a Tourism Framework for Change (2006)	Scottish Government's ambitions for growth in tourism revenues by 50% by 2015.	Population Land Human health	Main Issues Report should support development of sustainable tourism to contribute to national targets for tourism growth.
Scottish Historic Environment (SHEP)	Outlines Scottish Ministers' policies on the historic environment, and supersedes the policy elements in Passed to the Future.	Cultural heritage Landscape	Guidance for policy development on the management of the historic environment.
Managing Change in the Historic Environment Guidance Notes	Series of guidance notes which are designed to support the Scottish Historic Environment Policy (SHEP) and Scottish Planning Policy.	Cultural heritage Landscape	Guidance for policy development on the management of the historic environment.

Local Plans and Stra							
Cairngorms National Park Plan	Primary management plan for the National Park	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Provides the strategic guidance and vision for the MIR and LDP.				
Cairngorms National Park Local Plan 2010	Establishes development and settlement strategy for the Park, allocations specific development sites, and provides policies for managing development in the Park.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	The primary document to be reviewed through the Main Issues Report.				
Perth & Kinross Council Highland Area Local Plan 2000	Establishes development and settlement strategy for the Park, allocations specific development sites, and provides policies for managing development in the Park.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	The primary document to be reviewed through the Main Issues Report.				
Local Authority Single Outcome Agreements	Strategic documents outlining priorities across communities in the National Park.	All SEA issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2006	Main Issues Report can help deliver community priorities.				
Community Plans	Plans set out how public services will be planned and delivered, through consultation and co-operation.	All SEA Issues listed in Schedule 2 of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005	Main Issues Report can support parts of Community Plans.				
Community Visions and Local Community Action or development Plans	Statements from communities in the Park about how they would like to change or develop in future, sometimes with plans on how to get there.	Population Human health Biodiversity Cultural heritage	Main Issues Report can support communities in developing their own plans and capacity.				
Local Housing Strategies (prepared by local authorities as housing authorities for each council area)	Required by the Housing (Scotland) Act 2001. Sets out how housing authorities will provide for housing needs and demands in their area.	Population Human health	Main Issues Report must take account of LHS and use them to provide evidence to support the approach taken.				
Housing Need and Demand Assessments (prepared by local authorities as housing authorities for each council area)	Assess housing need and demand in each local authority area, and identify likely future need and demand to inform housing strategies and development plans.	Population Human health	HNDAs inform housing requirement of Local Development Plan.				
Regional and Local Transport Strategies	Set out how to maintain and improve infrastructure.	Air Climatic factors Human health Population	Main Issues Report should support sustainable transport solutions and encourage lower carbon forms of transport.				
Area Waste Plans	Strategies for waste management, minimisation and recycling for each local authority area.	Soil Water Air Material assets Population	Main Issues Report should support minimisation of waste.				

Economic Development Strategies	Priority areas for economic development.	Soil Material assets Population	Main Issues Report should encourage economic development that does not adversely affect the special qualities of the Park.
Strategy and Action Plan for Sustainable Tourism in the Cairngorms	Identifies measures to support and develop sustainable management of tourism in the Park in line with the Europarc Federation of Protected Areas Charter	Population Biodiversity Landscape Water Air Material assets	Main Issues Report supports the implementation of the Sustainable Tourism Strategy.
Cairngorms Local Biodiversity Action Plan	Priorities and actions for biodiversity in the National Park	Biodiversity Soil Water Material assets	Main Issues Report supports implementation and review of Cairngorms LBAP.
Cairngorms Outdoor Access Strategy	Provides a framework for managing outdoor access in the Park	Human health Biodiversity Landscape Air Climatic factors	Main Issues Report can support and promote responsible outdoor access.
Cairngorms National Park Core Paths Plan	Identifies a network of core paths throughout the Park.	Human health Biodiversity	Main Issues Report supports promotion and development of core paths.
Cairngorms Landscape Framework	A framework for managing landscape change in the Cairngorms to maintain and enhance the special landscape qualities and character.	Landscape	MIR and LDP will use this to guide appropriate development to the right location.
Catchment Management Plans for rivers Dee, South Esk and Spey	Catchment Management Plans bring together all the people and organisations who affect or are affected by the river catchment to manage in ways that maintain and improves the quality of water and overall health of the catchment.	Water Air Soils Biodiversity Climatic factors Human health Material assets	Main Issues Report supports integrated catchment management as a way of improving water quality and the health of natural systems.

Appendix 2

Assessment Recording Forms

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011				
Assessment recording form Plan Issue I Special qualities				
			orm inappropriate development through a policy-based	
approach.	₁ uaii	LICS I	orm mappropriate development through a policy-based	
прр. с шен			T	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?				
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?				
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Option seeks to protect the special qualities which include species and habitats.	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.				
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?				
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?				
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Option seeks to protect the special qualities which include landscape character.	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?				
Mitigation measures:				
KEY				
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term	
			Positive effect	
		N	o effect or negligible effect	
Negative effect				
Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects				
Not applicable				

Plan Issue

I Special qualities

Option: 2: protect the special qualities form inappropriate development through a policy-based approach. Provide additional spatial guidance to conserve and enhance designated nature conservation sites, habitat connectivity, wildness and landscape character

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Option seeks to protect special qualities, strengthened from option I by explicit reference to spatial data on designated sites and habitat connectivity.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Option seeks to protect special qualities, strengthened from option I by explicit reference to spatial data on wildness and landscape character.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:			

Mitigation measures:

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

Positive effect

No effect or negligible effect

Negative effect

Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

2 Resources/reducing our consumption

Option: I: Protect those resources which are important to the National Park through a policy-based approach and set options for appropriate development opportunities

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	M L		Option seeks to protect the agricultural capacity, small changes to the limited productive agricultural land may have a significant impact in the Park but are not significant at a Scottish scale.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	M L		Option seeks to protect timber as a resource. Changes in the woodland cover and productive timber could have a significant effect in the Park, but not at a Scottish scale.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	M L	M L	Options seeks to protect the water resource, of significance to communities beyond the Park as well as within the boundaries.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.	L	L	Options seeks to protect the carbon resource of soils, significant at a Scottish level.
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	M L		Options seeks to provide for improved energy efficiency which could significantly improve at a Park scale, but would not make a significant contribution to national targets.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures:

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

Positive effect

No effect or negligible effect

Negative effect

Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

2 Resources/reducing our consumption

Option: 2: Provide and 'areas of search' analysis to direct developers to specific opportunities by providing spatial information looking at particular resources

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotlan	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	M L		Option seeks to protect the agricultural capacity, small changes to the limited productive agricultural land may have a significant impact in the Park but are not significant at a Scottish scale.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	M L		Option seeks to protect timber as a resource. Changes in the woodland cover and productive timber could have a significant effect in the Park, but not at a Scottish scale.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	M L	M L	Option seeks to protect the water resource, of significance to communities beyond the Park as well as within the boundaries.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Risk of adverse impacts arising from definition as areas of development opportunity.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.	L	L	Option seeks to protect the carbon resource of soils, significant at a Scottish level.
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	M L		Option seeks to protect timber as a resource. Changes in the woodland cover and productive timber could have a significant effect in the Park, but not at a Scottish scale.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Risk of adverse impacts arising from definition as areas of development opportunity.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures: ensure brown			

Mitigation measures: ensure protection of special qualities

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

Positive effect

No effect or negligible effect

Negative effect

Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

2 Resources/reducing our consumption

Option: 3: Combine a policy-based approach with clear spatial guidance identifying sensitivities and opportunities, building on the existing sustainable design guide

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	M L		Option seeks to protect the agricultural capacity, small changes to the limited productive agricultural land may have a significant impact in the Park but are not significant at a Scottish scale. Spatial guidance strengthens protection.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	M L		Option seeks to protect timber as a resource. Changes in the woodland cover and productive timber could have a significant effect in the Park, but not at a Scottish scale. Spatial guidance strengthens protection.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	M	ML	Option seeks to protect the water resource, of significance to communities beyond the Park as well as within the boundaries.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.	L	L	Option seeks to protect the carbon resource of soils, significant at a Scottish level. Spatial guidance strengthens protection.
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	M L		Option seeks to protect timber as a resource. Changes in the woodland cover and productive timber could have a significant effect in the Park, but not at a Scottish scale.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures: this option builds in more protection of special qualities through use of spatial data to identify sensitivities and opportunities

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

Positive effect

No effect or negligible effect

Negative effect

Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form Plan Issue 3 Support for our communities Option I: Highlight the general opportunities for economic development that fit within the settlement hierarchy and also that support our rural communities Scotland Summary of effect at scale Commentary on assessment Park of: **SEA Question:** I. Will the Plan maintain or improve Option is about ways of classifying economic opportunities and the ability of farmland in the Park to settlements which do not have impacts on the environment. produce high quality local and seasonal food? 2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles? 8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park? 9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

Positive effect

No effect or negligible effect

Negative effect

Uncertain effect/effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

3 Support for our communities

Option 2: Highlight the different communities in the Park and support appropriate development opportunities for economic development, services and facilities within them.

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			Option is about ways of classifying economic opportunities and settlements which do not have impacts on the environment.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures:

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/ or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

4 Housing/affordable housing

Option 1: Limit new housing development to provide that identified as required in the housing assessments. This will take into account those sites with permission, focus new development on opportunities on sites which are allocated in the existing local plans. On those sites we would require a proportion of between 25-40% affordable units.

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			This option would not result in any new allocations or impacts that could be assessed. Sites with permission and existing allocations have already been assessed through the existing Local Plan.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:			

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

4 Housing/affordable housing

Option 2: Focus all new development on the provision of affordable housing only, by identifying sites only for 100% affordable (bearing in mind existing consents)

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Option raises the potential for new sites to be identified, therefore the effects of the policy option are uncertain, depending on which sites may be proposed. Realistic site options are assessed later in this report.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Option raises the potential for new sites to be identified, therefore the effects of the policy option are uncertain, depending on which sites may be proposed. Realistic site options are assessed later in this report.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

4 Housing/affordable housing

Option 3: Support the needs of communities by ensuring that all main and other settlements have some options for development for future. Focus those additional on those which are already in adopted local plans On these sites require a benchmark fo 25% affordable development (bear in mind existing consents)

Summary of effect at scale of:			
Summary of effect at scale on	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Option raises the potential for new sites to be identified, therefore the effects of the policy option are uncertain, depending on which sites may be proposed. Realistic site options are assessed later in this report.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Option raises the potential for new sites to be identified, therefore the effects of the policy option are uncertain, depending on which sites may be proposed. Realistic site options are assessed later in this report.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	es a c		o addrossed through assessment of sixes

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites

KFY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

5 Spatial Strategy

Option 1: Clarify the preferred settlement hierarchy so communities are clear what they are likely to see in the future. This would include land for housing and economic investment. Clarify what will be expected in rural communities outwith settlements

Summary of effect at scale of:			
-	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	S M L		This option indicates that sites will be identified for housing and economic development giving rise to potential f uncertain effects across a range of questions. Likely effects of realistic site options are assessed later in the Environmental Report.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	S M L		assessed later in the Little Officental Report.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	S M L	S M L	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.	∑ _	M L	
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	s E l		
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	SEL		
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	SML		

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/ or both positive and negative effects

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form 6 Support for our rural areas Plan Issue Option I: Deal with development in an ad-hoc way Summary of effect at scale of: Scotland Commentary on assessment Park **SEA Question:** I. Will the Plan maintain or improve Under this option it would be difficult to predict or actively guide the M the ability of farmland in the Park to location of development so there are considerable uncertain effects produce high quality local and that could only be ascertained when proposals come forward. seasonal food? 2. Will the Plan maintain or increase Under this option it would be difficult to predict or actively guide the M the production of timber and location of development so there are considerable uncertain effects woodfuel in the Park? that could only be ascertained when proposals come forward. 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and Under this option it would be difficult to predict or actively guide the M M enhance the viability and diversity of location of development so there are considerable uncertain effects distinctive species and habitats and L that could only be ascertained when proposals come forward. their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy Under this option it would be difficult to predict or actively guide the M efficiency and reduce energy waste? location of development so there are considerable uncertain effects that could only be ascertained when proposals come forward. 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles? 8. Will the Plan conserve and Under this option it would be difficult to predict or actively guide the M M enhance the distinctive character location of development so there are considerable uncertain effects and experience of the Park? that could only be ascertained when proposals come forward. 9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites, greater protection could be built into policy option KEY Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable

Plan Issue

6 Support for our rural areas

Option 2: Focus development on key settlements. Restrict development outside settlements to particular forms of development /use

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	M L		Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential loss of agricultural land.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	M L		Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential loss of woodland.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential loss of habitats or species.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential impacts on landscape character.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites, greater protection could be built into policy option

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/ or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

6 Support for our rural areas

Option 3: Support rural communities by providing for growth which matches historic growth patterns Use landscape character assessment to protect these important rural areas from inappropriate development

Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	M L		Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential loss of agricultural land.
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?	M L		Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential loss of woodland.
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Locations can be more clearly indentified than under option 1, but there remain uncertain effects including potential effects on habitats and species.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	This option explicitly seeks to protect rural areas against inappropriate development using landscape character assessment, and match historic growth patterns.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be addressed through assessment of sites, greater protection of landscape character built into this option

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/ or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

7 Connectivity and Communications

Option 1: Secure improvements to the transport and access routes throughout the Park by providing a clear framework for development.

6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		1	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	ML	Improvements to routes could affect habitats and species depending on location, scale and type of work and use.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	∑		Improvements to routes could have an effect on GHG emissions and fuel use if they result in fewer car journeys being required.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			Options seeks to improve routes that will provide the infrastructure for recreation and walking as a contributor to healthy lifestyles.
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Improvements to routes could affect the landscape character and experience depending on location, scale and nature of work.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	M L		Improvements to routes could offer new opportunities to enjoy and learn about the cultural heritage, or potentially impact on historic environment features, depending on location, nature of works and use.

Mitigation measures: potential effects to be assessed at site level, protection of special qualities

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Plan Issue

7 Connectivity and Communications

Option 2: Identify weaknesses in the communications network, focus on hot spots of activity and key links/routes across the Park, including review of core paths. Identify where key improvements are needed and require development to make a contribution towards existing and new routes.

Summary of effect at scale of:	1	1	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	M L	M L	Improvements to routes could affect habitats and species depending on location, scale and type of work and use.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	M L		Improvements to routes could have an effect on GHG emissions and fuel use if they result in fewer car journeys being required.
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	S M L	S M L	Options seeks to improve routes that will provide the infrastructure for recreation and walking as a contributor to healthy lifestyles.
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	M L	M L	Improvements to routes could affect the landscape character and experience depending on location, scale and nature of work.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	S M L		Improvements to routes could offer new opportunities to enjoy and learn about the cultural heritage, or potentially impact on historic environment features, depending on location, nature of works and use.

Mitigation measures: : potential effects to be assessed at site level, protection of special qualities

KEY

Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term

positive effect

no effect or negligible effect

negative effect

uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects

Assessment recording for	^~		
Potential site	005	oe Bl	air Atholl – Goods yard
Summary of effect at scale of:			
•		Pu	
	¥	L a	Commentary on assessment
	Park	Scotland	
SEA Question:	┢▔	-	
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to			
produce high quality local and			
seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase			
the production of timber and			
woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			Adjacent to flood risk area.
the Park's ability to provide a high			Adjacent to nood hisk area.
quality supply of fresh water in and			
from the Park, including the ability			
of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
enhance the viability and diversity of			G/ / G
distinctive species and habitats and			
their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
the storage of greenhouse gases in			
peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy			
efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy			
physical recreation and healthy			
lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and			No significant effect.
enhance the distinctive character			INO SIGNIFICANT ENECT.
and experience of the Park?			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
opportunities to experience, learn			
about and share the cultural			
heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures:			
KEY			
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect			
no effect or negligible effect			
negative effect			
			d/or both positive and negative effects

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording form					
Potential site	006	6b N	Nethy Bridge – Land at Craigmore		
Summary of effect at scale of:			,		
,	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Local views only, small scale development could be accommodated with sufficient tree planting, screening from road and good design.		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures: tree planting	ng/sc	reeni	ng		
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term		
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect		٠			
not applicable	e pre	aicte	d/or both positive and negative effects		

Assessment recording for			
Potential site	012	2a Di	ulnain Bridge – west of play area
Summary of effect at scale of:			
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			No significant effect. Peripheral planting would help maintain character.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures: peripheral	plan	ting	
KEY	A4		Cach and Assume
Duration of effects: L=long-term positive effect	, /VI=	medii	um-term, 3=snort-term
no effect or negligible effect			
negative effect			
uncertain effect/ effect cannot h	e bre	dicto	d/or both positive and negative effects

Assessment recording for Potential site		of C	rantown-on-Spey - North of Breachin Court	
Summary of effect at scale of:	UIZ	41 G	Tantown-on-spey - North of Breachin Court	
summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?				
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Waders are known to be a significant issue on this site and a comprehensive survey would need to be undertaken before consideration for development.	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.				
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the				
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?				
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Potential effects, structural planting should be retained and expanded, distinctive field boundaries should be maintained.	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?	-			
	plant	ing, Ł	ooundary marking, low height of development in key areas	
KEY				
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term				
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect				
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects				

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011				
Assessment recording form Potential site 012g Aviemore – North Dalfaber				
	UIZ	'ξ A	Viernore – North Danaber	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?				
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			Adjacent to flood risk area.	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	The majority of the site is semi-natural broadleaved woodland comprising birch. Upland birch is a UKBAP and Cairngorms LBAP priority habitat. The remaining site is comprised of semi-improved acid grassland and a section of bare ground. The woodland should be retained, leaving a small area that may potentially be developed.	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?				
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?				
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Maintenance of sufficient woodland and balance of woodland/development is key.	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?				
	t suf	icien	t woodland and planting where appropriate	
KEY Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term	
positive effect			•	
no effect or negligible effect				
negative effect				
	e pre	dicte	d/or both positive and negative effects	

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011				
Assessment recording for Potential site)h R	oat of Garten – South of Deshar Road	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? Will the Plan maintain or increase				
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?				
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Majority of area is mature Scot's pine woodland, part of which is listed on AWI. Capercailie issues are known to be a significant issue in this area. Comprehensive ecological surveys would need to be undertaken and extensive mitigation required for potential development of a small number of units.	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.				
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?				
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	S M L		Area is well used for recreation.	
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Small area of development could be accommodated to limit impact on woodland setting.	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:	-			
KEY				
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term				
positive effect				
no effect or negligible effect				
negative effect				
	e pre	dicte	d/or both positive and negative effects	
not applicable				

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording form					
Potential site	024	la Di	innet – The Clarack		
Summary of effect at scale of:		_			
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Prominent site from road, some screening may be required.		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures: screening and sensitive design					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term					
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable					

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording form					
Potential site		4h D	innet – Chalets/Caravan Site		
Summary of effect at scale of:	<u> </u>		Three Sharets/ Saravan orec		
Summary of effect at scale of.		ъ			
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Scot's pine mature plantation. Site borders Muir of Dinnet SSSI, which includes wetlands of River Dee SAC. Potential for low level of development in this area with suitable mitigation to prevent damage to SSSI site from increased disturbance from site users.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?					
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures:					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term					
positive effect no effect or negligible effect					
	uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects				
not applicable					

Potential site	024	lc D	innet – Housing Site H1	
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
	2	Š		
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and				
woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Site of Scot's pine woodland ranging from young to semi-mature woodland. Birch also present throughout the site. Small development may be possible after further ecological survey and suitable mitigation	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.				
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?				
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?				
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?				
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?				
Mitigation measures:				
KEY				
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term				
positive effect				
no effect or negligible effect negative effect				

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011						
Assessment recording form						
Potential site	024	1 d D	innet – Housing Site H2a			
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
SEA Question:						
1. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?						
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?						
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			Adjacent to flood risk area			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L		Scattered mature birch woodland, with limited understorey as grazed by cattle. May be possible for small development, however, detailed ecological survey required to ascertain true ecological value of site.			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?						
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L				
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?						
Mitigation measures:	Mitigation measures:					
KEY Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term						
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable						

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording for Potential site		S Ral	later – Land NE of Monaltrie Park		
	UZU	ומט כ	later — Land INE OF FIGHTAITHE FAIR		
Summary of effect at scale of:		_			
		Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
	Park	otl	Commentary on assessment		
	Pa	Š			
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve	S		Agricultural land.		
the ability of farmland in the Park to	_		Agriculturar land.		
produce high quality local and	M				
seasonal food?	L				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase	_				
the production of timber and					
woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			Adjacent to flood risk area. Part of former allocation within flood risk		
the Park's ability to provide a high			area removed from proposal.		
quality supply of fresh water in and			area removed from proposal.		
from the Park, including the ability					
of river catchments to store water?					
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
enhance the viability and diversity of					
distinctive species and habitats and					
their connectivity?					
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve					
the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy					
efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the					
opportunities for people to enjoy					
physical recreation and healthy					
lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and	S	S	Prominent site, structure planting required.		
enhance the distinctive character	M	M	'		
and experience of the Park?					
	L	L			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve					
opportunities to experience, learn					
about and share the cultural					
heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures: structure p	Iantii	ng, þ	art area within flood risk area removed from allocation proposal		
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term					
positive effect					
no effect or negligible effect					
negative effect					
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects					
not applicable					
not applicable					

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording form					
Potential site	027	7 Da	whinnie		
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Area is improved grassland and an impoverished area of wet grassland with some remaining areas of open water on the floodplain. Waders may potentially be an issue on this site so comprehensive surveys would be required to determine the status of waders to determine the scale of development possible.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Opportunity to enhance the built form of Dalwhinnie including framework of woodland planting.		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures: woodland planting					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term					
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect					
uncertain effect/ effect cannot b not applicable	uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable				

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form						
Potential site		a. C	romdale – land at Auchroisk			
	UZ		romade – land at Auchroisk			
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
SEA Question:						
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	S M L		Agricultural land.			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?						
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			Adjacent to flood risk area.			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.			
Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the						
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?						
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Opportunity to enhance the village form through good design.			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?						
Mitigation measures						
KEY Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term			
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects						
not applicable						

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form						
Potential site		ld B	raemar – West Auchendryne			
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
SEA Question:						
Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? Will the Plan maintain or increase						
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?						
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Area of ecologically rich mosaic of habitats. Expanse of marshy grassland, providing suitable habitat for waders. Two species of high conservation concern were recorded – round leaved wintergreen (nationally scarce and near threatened according to 2001 IUCN criteria) and fragrant orchid (least threatened according to IUCN criteria). Possibility of potential of small number of houses on site. Detailed ecological surveys would be required.			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?						
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?						
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Consistent with landscape capacity study, opportunity to enhance structure of village edge.			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:						
KEY						
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term positive effect no effect or negligible effect						
negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects						

not applicable

Assessment recording form					
Potential site	03	lg Br	raemar – Linn of Dee Place		
Summary of effect at scale of:					
•		Pu,			
	¥	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
	Park	Sco			
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve					
the ability of farmland in the Park to					
produce high quality local and					
seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase					
the production of timber and					
woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			No flood risk identified.		
the Park's ability to provide a high			 		
quality supply of fresh water in and					
from the Park, including the ability					
of river catchments to store water?					
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
enhance the viability and diversity of					
distinctive species and habitats and					
their connectivity?					
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in					
peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy					
efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the					
opportunities for people to enjoy					
physical recreation and healthy					
lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and					
enhance the distinctive character					
and experience of the Park?					
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve					
opportunities to experience, learn					
about and share the cultural					
heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures:					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term		
positive effect					
no effect or negligible effect					
negative effect					
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects					

Assessment resording form						
Assessment recording for		, -				
Potential site	03	a I	omintoul i.l			
Summary of effect at scale of:						
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
	Ъ	Š				
SEA Question:						
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve						
the ability of farmland in the Park to						
produce high quality local and						
seasonal food?						
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase						
the production of timber and						
woodfuel in the Park?			AL G. L. L. L. C. L.			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			No flood risk identified.			
the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and						
from the Park, including the ability						
of river catchments to store water?						
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.			
enhance the viability and diversity of			Leology survey round no significant issues.			
distinctive species and habitats and						
their connectivity?						
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve						
the storage of greenhouse gases in						
peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
6. Will the Plan increase energy						
efficiency and reduce energy waste?						
7. Will the Plan maintain the						
opportunities for people to enjoy						
physical recreation and healthy						
lifestyles?						
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character	S	S	Little impact if development reflects existing pattern (layout) of			
and experience of the Park?	M	М	development (ie not cul de sacs).			
and experience of the Fark:						
	L	_				
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve						
opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural						
heritage of the Park?			1 h - 11			
Mitigation measures: match exis	ung I	ayou	t pattern			
KEY						
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term			
positive effect						
no effect or negligible effect						
negative effect						
	e pre	dicte	d/or both positive and negative effects			
not applicable						

Assessment recording for	m	Assessment recording form				
Potential site		3 Ne	wtonmore HI			
Summary of effect at scale of:						
·	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
SEA Question:						
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	S M L		Agricultural land			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?						
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			Adjacent to flood risk area.			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?						
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?						
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character	S	S	Prominent site – planting required.			
and experience of the Park?	M L	M L				
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?						
Mitigation measures: structure p	lanti	ng				
KEY						
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term			
positive effect no effect or negligible effect						
negative effect		dies	dlan bath basisive and negative offices			
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable						

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011						
Assessment recording form						
Potential site	044	1 Ne	wtonmore H2			
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment			
SEA Question:						
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	S M L		Agricultural fields.			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?						
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.			
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.						
Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy						
physical recreation and healthy lifestyles? 8. Will the Plan conserve and						
enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?						
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park? Additional contents of the Park?						
Mitigation measures:						
	CEY Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=medium-term, S=short-term					
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect	no effect or negligible effect					
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable						

	m			
Potential site	046	Da	whinnie HI	
Summary of effect at scale of:				
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment	
SEA Question:				
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?				
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?				
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.	
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.	
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.				
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?				
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?				
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Within current settlement boundary.	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?				
Mitigation measures:				
KEY				
Duration of effects: L=long-term,	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term	
positive effect no effect or negligible effect				
negative effect	e bre	dicto	dor both positive and negative effects	
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable				

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording form					
Potential site	047	7 Da	lwhinnie H2		
Summary of effect at scale of:		ъ			
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the					
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Within current settlement boundary.		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures:					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term		
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects					
not applicable					

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording for					
Potential site	U 4 8	3 Da	lwhinnie H3		
Summary of effect at scale of:					
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?					
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?			Within current settlement boundary.		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures:					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term		
positive effect no effect or negligible effect					
negative effect					
	uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects				
not applicable					

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011					
Assessment recording for	Assessment recording form				
Potential site	050) Du	Inain Bridge H2 existing permission		
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment		
SEA Question:					
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?					
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?					
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.		
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.		
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.					
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?					
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	S M L	S M L			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?					
Mitigation measures:					
KEY					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term		
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects not applicable					

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form			
Assessifient recording for		Kin	ncraig HI
Summary of effect at scale of:	UJ	NII	iciaig mi
Juninary of effect at scale of.	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?	S M L		Agricultural land
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Locally prominent site, landscaping required.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures: landscaping	g		
KEY Duration of effects: L=long-term,	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects			
not applicable			

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011 Assessment recording form			
Potential site		2 Kill	iecrankie H31
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? Will the Plan maintain or increase			
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Distinctive 'big tree' character of setting. Sensitive design and maintaining sufficient woodland space would be key.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures: retain woo	dland	sett	ing
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect no effect or negligible effect			
negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predicted/or both positive and negative effects			
not applicable			

Summary of effect at scale of:	Scotland	Commentary on assessment No flood risk identified. Ecology survey found no significant issues.
SEA Question: 1. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? 2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy	Scotland	No flood risk identified.
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? 2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? 2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park? 3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water? 4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity? 5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		Ecology survey found no significant issues.
the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
efficiency and reduce energy waste? 7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy		
physical recreation and healthy		
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?		
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural		
heritage of the Park? Mitigation measures:		
KEY		
Duration of effects: L=long-term, M=me	edit	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect no effect or negligible effect		
negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be predic		Hard and the state of the state

Assessment recording form Potential site 055 Blair Atholl H27								
	055	o Bla	ir Atholi H2/					
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment					
SEA Question:								
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?								
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?								
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.					
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.					
 Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 								
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?								
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy ohysical recreation and healthy ifestyles?								
B. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Within settlement – need for design to complement character.					
 Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural neritage of the Park? 								
Mitigation measures: possible n	eed fo	r des	ign statement					
KEY		a d:	Company Service According					
Duration of effects: L=long-term	ı, /VI=	rnedi	um-term, s—snort-term					
bositive effect no effect or negligible effect								
negative effect								

Assessment recording for		7 DI-	· A.I. II.17
Potential site	05/	Bla	ir Atholl I7
Summary of effect at scale of:		₽	
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Within settlement - need for design to complement character.
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures: possible ne	ed fo	r des	ign statement
KEY			
Duration of effects: L=long-term,	M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect uncertain effect/ effect cannot be			

Assessment recording form										
Potential site	058	Ba Ai	n Camas Mòr							
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment							
SEA Question:										
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?										
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?										
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?	S M L	S M L	Adjacent to flood risk area. Potential negative effects on water quality.							
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?	S M L	S M L	Potentially significant effects on priority habitats and species. Could be addressed through on and off-site mitigation. Detailed survey and monitoring work required as part of application.							
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.										
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?	SML		Positive impact through focusing development on area with good existing communications. Opportunity to design settlement for low energy use.							
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?	S M L		Potential benefits through increased access by River Spey and proposed connections to Aviemore.							
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Prominent site which will change the character of open ground to wooded settlement. Potential adverse effects on visual impact. Mitigation could include screening and substantial woodland corridors as an integral part of settlement layout.							
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?										
	ure į	olanti	essed through an EIA accompanying application for planning ing, habitat enhancement and sensitive design um-term, S=short-term							
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect										

Assessment recording for			
Potential site	059	Lar	nd by River Spey – An Camas Mòr/Aviemore
Summary of effect at scale of:			
•		and	Commentary on assessment
	Park	Scotland	Commencary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve			Agricultural land.
the ability of farmland in the Park to			
produce high quality local and			
seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase			
the production of timber and			
woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			Adjacent to flood risk area.
the Park's ability to provide a high			
quality supply of fresh water in and			
from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Forth and the start from the start f
enhance the viability and diversity of			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
distinctive species and habitats and			
their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
the storage of greenhouse gases in			
peat, soils and woodland in the Park.			
6. Will the Plan increase energy			
efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the			Provision of greater access to attractive landscape focused on river
opportunities for people to enjoy			Spey.
physical recreation and healthy			Spey.
lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and			Landscape enhancement opportunities.
enhance the distinctive character			
and experience of the Park?			
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
opportunities to experience, learn			
about and share the cultural			
heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures:			
KEY			
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect			
no effect or negligible effect			
negative effect			
	o hro	dicto	d/or both positive and negative effects

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011									
Assessment recording form									
Potential site	060) To	mintoul HI						
Summary of effect at scale of:	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment						
SEA Question:									
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food? Will the Plan maintain or increase									
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?									
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.						
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.						
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.									
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?									
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?									
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Development should match existing layouts and pattern of development.						
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?									
Mitigation measures:									
KEY									
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term						
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect	e bre	dicto	d/or both positive and negative effects						
not applicable	c pre	Jiete	dioi both positive and negative effects						

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011									
Assessment recording for Potential site		To	mintoul H2						
	UOI	TOMINOUT 112							
Summary of effect at scale of:									
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment						
SEA Question:									
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?									
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?									
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve the Park's ability to provide a high quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability of river catchments to store water?			No flood risk identified.						
4. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the viability and diversity of distinctive species and habitats and their connectivity?			Ecology survey found no significant issues.						
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in peat, soils and woodland in the Park.									
6. Will the Plan increase energy efficiency and reduce energy waste?									
7. Will the Plan maintain the opportunities for people to enjoy physical recreation and healthy lifestyles?									
8. Will the Plan conserve and enhance the distinctive character and experience of the Park?	S M L	S M L	Development should match existing layouts and pattern of development.						
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural heritage of the Park?									
Mitigation measures:									
KEY									
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term						
positive effect no effect or negligible effect negative effect									
uncertain effect/ effect cannot be	e pre	dicte	d/or both positive and negative effects						
not applicable									

SEA of Cairngorms National Park LDP Main Issues Report 2011									
Assessment recording for	m								
Potential site	062	2 To	mintoul H3						
Summary of effect at scale of:									
•		٦							
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment						
SEA Question:									
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve									
the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?									
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase									
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?									
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			No flood risk identified.						
the Park's ability to provide a high			140 HOOG HISK IDEHUIIEG.						
quality supply of fresh water in and									
from the Park, including the ability									
of river catchments to store water?									
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.						
enhance the viability and diversity of									
distinctive species and habitats and									
their connectivity?									
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve the storage of greenhouse gases in									
peat, soils and woodland in the Park.									
6. Will the Plan increase energy									
efficiency and reduce energy waste?									
7. Will the Plan maintain the									
opportunities for people to enjoy									
physical recreation and healthy									
lifestyles?									
8. Will the Plan conserve and	S	S	Development should match existing layouts and pattern of						
enhance the distinctive character	М	М	development.						
and experience of the Park?			'						
	L	L							
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve									
opportunities to experience, learn									
about and share the cultural									
heritage of the Park?									
Mitigation measures:									
KEY									
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term						
positive effect									
no effect or negligible effect									
negative effect									
	e þre	dicte	d/or both positive and negative effects						
not applicable									

Potential site	063	3 То	mintoul H4
Summary of effect at scale of:			
Summary of effect at scale of.		and	C
	Park	Scotland	Commentary on assessment
SEA Question:			
I. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
the ability of farmland in the Park to produce high quality local and seasonal food?			
2. Will the Plan maintain or increase			
the production of timber and woodfuel in the Park?			
3. Will the Plan maintain or improve			No flood risk identified.
the Park's ability to provide a high			
quality supply of fresh water in and from the Park, including the ability			
of river catchments to store water?			
4. Will the Plan conserve and			Ecology survey found no significant issues.
enhance the viability and diversity of			Leology survey round no significant issues.
distinctive species and habitats and			
their connectivity?			
5. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
the storage of greenhouse gases in			
peat, soils and woodland in the Park. 6. Will the Plan increase energy			
efficiency and reduce energy waste?			
7. Will the Plan maintain the			
opportunities for people to enjoy			
physical recreation and healthy			
lifestyles?			
8. Will the Plan conserve and	S	S	Development should match existing layouts and pattern of
enhance the distinctive character	М	М	development.
and experience of the Park?	ï		
	_	_	
9. Will the Plan maintain or improve			
opportunities to experience, learn about and share the cultural			
heritage of the Park?			
Mitigation measures:			<u> </u>
•			
KEY			
Duration of effects: L=long-term	, M=	medi	um-term, S=short-term
positive effect			
no effect or negligible effect			
negative effect			
uncertain effect/ effect cannot b			

Appendix 3

Using the Ecosystems Approach in the SEA

Building the Ecosystems Approach into the SEA

- I. Ecosystems are a natural unit of living things and their physical environment. The living parts and non-living parts work together as an independent system. An impact on one part of the system can lead to impacts on other parts of the system. The earth is made up of many ecosystems at many scales and there is often overlap between ecosystems. The Cairngorms National Park contains and is part of many ecosystems. It therefore makes sense to consider the Park and how it is managed in terms of its ecosystems.
- 2. Table I below identifies the main broad ecosystems services that are likely to be important in the Cairngorms National Park. It draws on and extends the work of the National Ecosystems Assessment.

Table I - Ecosystems Services in the Cairngorms National Park

Provisioning services:

The products obtained from ecosystems. For example:

- food (crops & livestock)
- fibre (crops, trees, wool, etc)
- fuel
- fresh water
- distinctive wild species

Cultural services:

The non-material benefits people obtain from ecosystems.

- knowledge ecological and geological
- recreation enjoyment, physical and mental health
- patterns and forms of settlement
- aesthetic experience of landscape
- sense of place
- tradition
- awareness and appreciation of the historic environment
- spiritual and personal association or connection with place, history and tradition
- spiritual and personal association or connection with nature
- societal identity and pride

Regulating services:

The benefits obtained from the regulation of ecosystem processes. For example,:

- climate regulation(local temperature regulation, emission and storage of greenhouse gases)
- $\bullet \qquad \text{hazard regulation (eg flooding, landslides, wildfire)} \\$
- · disease and pest regulation
- soil quality
- water quality
- seed dispersal
- air quality and noise
- pollination

Supporting services:

Ecosystem services that are necessary for the production of all other ecosystem services.

- biodiversity
- biomass production
- atmospheric oxygen production
- natural weathering processes
- erosior
- soil formation and retention
- nutrient cycling
- water cycling
- river processes
- provisioning of habitat
- provision of rock/minerals & landforms
- photosynthesis
- evolutionary processes

- 3. Clearly, not all ecosystems services will be as important in all the broad habitats of the National Park. Nor will they all benefit the same people in the same way. Some will benefit people who live or work in the Park, some those who visit, and some will be important for people outside the Park. A scoping or sifting exercise can help tell us what ecosystems services are important in each habitat.
- 4. Table 2 (page 97) summarises the importance of different ecosystems services from different habitats in the Cairngorms National Park. It also shows that there are some differences in the services or benefits that we take or get from the different habitats of the National Park. There are two points about the table that are worth highlighting. Firstly, the supporting ecosystems services are all, by their nature important. Secondly, the habitats of the National Park are linked to and important for many cultural ecosystems services partly reflecting the value that society places on the National Park as a special place.
- 5. The ecosystems services identified can be linked to SEA topics. Table 3 (page 98) shows how SEA topics are relevant to the different ecosystems services. Because the ecosystems services are derived from complex and interacting systems, most services are linked to many of the formal SEA issues.
- 6. However, not all ecosystems services are things that are likely to be affected by the Main Issues Report. In particular, many supporting ecosystems services are linked to natural processes that are unlikely to be significantly influenced by humans.
- 7. For example, supporting services such as the provision of rocks and landforms rely on geological processes operating over thousands and millions of years. Humans have little influence over such processes. Similarly, photosynthesis is a process that's vital to most terrestrial life, yet its function or the potential for it to function will not be significantly influenced by the Main Issues Report.
- 8. The cultural ecosystems services provided by the Park are some of the most significant of all the ecosystems services provided by the Park's habitats. They reflect the way society experiences and values its special qualities, recognising that many of the benefits of the Park are nationally important.

Table 2 The habitats of		portance of eco Park.	syst	ems	servic	es in l	broad		Enclosed Farmland		s				
		High							ar		er		ral ,		
Importance		Medium- High							B	₽uŧ	Vai	pu	atu paga	ins	
the Ecosyste	ems	Medium-Low							ose	dla	>	rla	i-n;	<u>t</u> a	Urban
Service		Low	Enck	Woodland	Ope	Моо	Sem Gras	Semi-natural Grasslands Mountains							
Provisioning	food														
Ecosystems	fibre														
Services	fuel														
		water													
		nctive wild species													
Regulating		te regulation (local tempe	rature r	regulatio	on, emissio	on and sto	rage of								
Ecosystems		greenhouse gases) hazard regulation (eg flooding, landslides, wildfire)													
Services		disease and pest regulation													
		soil quality													
		vater quality													
		dispersal													
		uality and noise													
	pollir	nation													
Cultural	knov	vledge - ecological and geo	logical												
Ecosystems	recre	eation - enjoyment, physic	al and m	nental he	ealth										
Services	patte	patterns and forms of settlement													
		nesthetic experience of landscape													
		ense of place													
		radition													
		wareness and appreciation of the historic environment													
		piritual and personal association or connection with place, history and tradition													
		piritual and personal association or connection with nature ocietal identity and pride													
Supporting		iversity													
Ecosystems		ass production													
Services		ospheric oxygen productio	n,												
30. 1.003		ral weathering processes													
	erosi	osion													
	soil f	oil formation and retention													
		utrient cycling													
		iter cycling													
		r processes													
		isioning of habitat													
	_	ision of rock/minerals													
		ision of landform													
		osynthesis utionary processes													
	evolt	adonary processes													

Table 3Eco	systems services and SEA	-								
topics	,	Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	Population and Human Health							ets
topics		ť, Fa⊔	n a eal						4)	SS
		rsi	Ę,		υ			= e	ape	Ø 1
		ive 1 al	ılat Ian		iati ors	er		ura ag	sca	ria
		Biodiversity, Flora and Fa	ndc nu	Soil	Climatic Factors	/at	Air	C ultural heritage	Landscape	Material Assets
		<u>а</u> п	Y I	Š	O L	>	A	טַ בַּ	ت	Σ
Provisioning	food									
Ecosystems	fibre									
Services	fuel									
	fresh water									
	distinctive wild species									
Regulating	climate regulation (local temperature regulation,									
Ecosystems	emission and storage of greenhouse gases)									
Services	hazard regulation (eg flooding, landslides, wildfire)									
	disease and pest regulation									
	soil quality									
	water quality									
	seed dispersal									
	air quality and noise									
	pollination									
	1									
Cultural	knowledge - ecological and geological									
Ecosystems	recreation - enjoyment, physical and mental health									
Services	patterns and forms of settlement									
	aesthetic experience of landscape									
	sense of place									
	tradition									
	awareness and appreciation of the historic environment									
	spiritual and personal association or connection									
	with place, history and tradition									
	spiritual and personal association or connection									
	with nature									
	societal identity and pride									
	1									
Supporting	Biodiversity									
Ecosystems	biomass production									
Services	atmospheric oxygen production,									
	natural weathering processes									
	erosion									
	soil formation and retention									
	nutrient cycling									
	water cycling									
	river processes									
	provisioning of habitat									
	provision of rock/minerals									
	provision of landform									
	photosynthesis									
	evolutionary processes									

The Environmental Baseline

9. This section sets out the current state of the environment in the Cairngorms National Park. In using an ecosystems approach to inform the assessment, the information is presented by the seven broad habitats of the Park. The information supplements information in the State of the Park Report of 2006, and other publications of the CNPA. Table 2 summarises the ecosystems services that different habitats provide. We have made an assumption that with the exception of urban habitats, all the habitat types are important in their own right for providing supporting ecosystem services

Enclosed Farmlands

- 10. It is estimated that around 7% of the area of the Park is enclosed farmland, confined to the straths of the Park. Most of that is enclosed pasture, with less than 1% of the area of the Park used for crops. The Park has seen a steady reduction in the area of enclosed farmlands, partly because of the history of small scale and marginal upland farming that has been becoming steadily less economical. There has been a trend towards loss of the enclosed pasture to more marginal rough grazing as well as a growth in farm woodlands¹. The majority of agricultural production in the Park is linked to beef and lamb. The long-term trend in these sectors has been one of declining numbers of stock, again linked to the marginal economics of farming of farming in much of the Park.
- II. Historically, the farmlands of the straths of the Park have provided important habitat for wading birds. They continue to be important (the Strathspey area is one of Scotland's most significant areas for breeding waders) though populations have been in decline. The relationship of farmlands in the Park with water and wetlands is significant, partly because much farmland is within the functional or constrained floodplains of the main rivers. In some places the farmland has been drained and protected from flooding, but many areas continue to flood.
- 12. Farmland provides an important link to our cultural heritage, with historical remains and landscapes, active tradition and stories of the past. It is an integral part of the landscape in the valleys and straths of the Park.

- 13. Changes in farmland management have been for economic and policy reasons. The marginal nature of much farming in the Park means that some farm units are not viable businesses. It also means that most farming is reliant on subsidy in order to be economically viable, and the policy objectives of the subsidies drive farming practice.
- 14. Much agricultural land is managed for a range of public benefits including biodiversity, public access, and flood management as well as food. Climate change may increase the potential productivity of some farmland in the Park in the future. However, the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions as well as adapt to potential extreme weather events are becoming

¹ The Economic and Social Health of the Cairngorms National Park Report, 2010.

stronger drivers of public policy. It is likely that more management will be based on the management of carbon- rich soils and the improved function of floodplains in the future. The national target for increasing Scotland's trees cover to 25% may result in woodland replacing some areas of farmland.

Woodlands

- 15. Woodlands are the Park's richest and most diverse habitats. Woodland covers about 20% of the Park area, with around half being semi-natural woodland and half planted woodland². The semi-natural woodlands in particular are important with ancient pine woods, and important areas of birch woodland, aspen and oak. Woodland supports some of the Cairngorms most iconic and distinctive species such as capercaillie, pine marten, crossbill, crested tit and red squirrel. They are also important for a wide range of plant, fungi and lichen species that only survive in particular woodland habitats. Many areas of woodland are protected by Natura designations and SSSI designations, and there are a number of woodland National Nature reserves in the Park.
- 16. Woodland plays an important local role in the regulation of climate in the Park by providing shelter from wind and from sunlight. Woodland on floodplains and throughout catchments can improve their ability to store and slow the release of water, protect against erosion of slopes and the release of sediment into water courses. Woodland can also have the capacity to store atmospheric carbon. Woodlands in the Park are an important recreation resource providing many marked routes for people to follow and potential to absorb many people without obvious impact. Nevertheless some woodland habitats and some species are sensitive to disturbance by people and by dogs. Woodlands are an intrinsic part of the landscape of the Park, and provide strong links with historic environment and cultural heritage of the Park.
- 17. Woodland cover in the Park has been increasing for the past 50 years or so, initially through planting for commercial timber and latterly through planting and natural regeneration of native species. The Park has a significant industry based around the management of woodland for timber and timber products, for recreation, for biodiversity, and for woodfuel.
- 18. Semi-natural and native woodland is expanding in the Park, but there has been loss of some area of ancient semi-natural woodland to growth of settlements in Badenoch and Strathspey. Almost all settlement in Badenoch and Strathspey have at some point during the past 20 years expanded over areas of ancient semi-natural woodland. Although there remain contentious sites for housing development within the planning system (either as planning applications or sites zoned for potential future development), no significant new areas of ancient semi-natural woodland have been identified for development in development plans since the National Park was established.

² Cairngorms National Park Forest and Woodland Framework, 2008.

Drivers of Change

19. Most woodland management is influenced by public policy through designation and through financial support. A continued emphasis on management for biodiversity and for recreation as well as timber and woodfuel production and management of carbon is likely to remain. The effects of climate change on the species that inhabit woodland is not fully understood. The national target for increasing Scotland's trees cover to 25% may result in more woodland replacing other habitats.

Open Waters

- 20. The Cairngorms National Park has the headwaters of three of Scotland's major rivers as well as many smaller ones. Many of the rivers and their tributaries as well as lochs and wetlands are designated as Natura sites and SSSIs. The rivers in particular provide water for society in the National Park, and for people outside the Park as they flow downstream towards the sea.
- 21. The open waters cut across many of the habitats of the Park and receive water from them. Each habitat plays a role in the quality of the water, sometimes removing chemicals or materials and sometimes adding them to the water system. The open waters themselves provide further changes to the qualities of the water. As well as providing fresh drinking water, the rivers are used to remove waste. Treated sewage normally flows back to the river system, and waste from farmland and industries such as whisky distilling often re-enters the rivers. River processes of erosion and deposition, turbulence, flooding all contribute to the water quality and the function of the river systems. Changes in a river or water systems can affect it downstream and upstream.
- 22. Open waters play an important role in recreation for water sports and for angling and are an integral part of the landscape of the Park. Because of their importance to human society, they have long historical connections of use and change, providing an important link with the Park's cultural heritage.

- 23. Open waters are subject to a regulatory system to ensure their continued high quality, and this manages many human activities that could affect open waters. However, because of the connections with so many other habitats, open waters can be sensitive to a number of pressures. Climate change has already increased the temperature of many water bodies, so much so that some species such as Salmon, which rely on a specific temperature range to spawn successfully, may be affected by small increases in future. The temperature of water also effects the chemical composition and the ways that nutrients and chemical are processed. The pollutants that fall with rain can also change with changes in climate.
- 24. Extreme weather events such as rainstorms and sudden snow melt increase the runoff from other habitats to open waters. The runoff can contain large volumes of chemicals and materials that the open waters are not used to, and the extra volume of water is either stored through flooding or runs downstream faster, increasing the likelihood of destructive erosion and flooding downstream. Invasive non-native species of plant and animal can have a destructive effect on wetland habitats.

25. The development of small-scale hydro energy schemes has potential to change water courses. Water is abstracted, used and returned as waste water by humans for land management and business activities as well as domestic uses. With projected increases in households and new developments of housing, this has potential to change the demand for water and discharge of waste water.

Mountains

- 26. Mountains form a large and iconic part of the Cairngorms National Park. They are a backdrop to most views of the National Park; are a distinguishing part of the landscape character of the Park; have a range of iconic species, habitats and geological and geomorphological features; and significant resource for recreation. The height and mass of the Cairngorms themselves provide a range of habitats and associated species that are rare or unique in the British Isles. Large areas of the mountains of the Park are designated as Natura sites, SSSIs, and NNRs for their species, habitats and geological importance. The mountains provide a focus for precipitation and an important starting point for the buffering of pollutants in precipitation as they more towards open waters.
- 27. Mountains are amongst the least intensively managed parts of the Park, with deer stalking and management for a few other game species as well as recreation management and management for biodiversity being the main objectives. The habitats of the mountains can be very sensitive to the level of grazing by herbivore such as deer, sheep and hare. The mountains are particularly important as a recreation resource for hillwalking, rock climbing in summer and winter climbing as well as skiing. The mountains have a long cultural history of use and exploration that is well documented and shared. They contain material evidence of past ways of life that is well preserved, and have numerous associations with stories, songs and art.

- 28. Because so many species and habitats of the mountain occupy a particular niche of temperature range and precipitation that is not present elsewhere in the UK, they are particularly sensitive to changes in climate. Increases in temperature and changes in snowfall or the length of time snow remains have already changed the nature of habitats and the composition of species, and will continue to do so in future. The deposition of chemicals on the mountains is also slowly changing the chemical composition of soils, making them more fertile in some cases, but also allowing different plant species to grow in place of others. Soils and surfaces on mountains are often less stable than in other habitats and can be more likely to slip and slide during and after heavy rainfall or snow melt.
- 29. Different parts of the mountains are important for different habitats. Some species and habitats can cope with grazing by deer and sheep while others die back. Managing the numbers of deer and sheep and their grazing pressure to support a range of species and habitats is a driver of public policy on designated areas.
- 30. People enjoying the mountain for recreation can also effect the habitats and species. Human feet can cause erosion of vegetation and soils; people can disturb birds animals, and dogs can disturb and kill birds and animals even when people do not. However, work to maintain paths and reinstate damaged ground has proved effective in the past and is likely to be effective in the future. Few people who recreate in the mountains do so with the intention of disturbing wildlife, so improving peoples understanding of the sensitive species and habitats is likely to reduce disturbance.

Moorland

- 31. Moorland habitats in the Cairngorms run between the mountains and woodland and farmland. The moorlands of the Park are a distinctive and iconic habitat and landscape that is internationally famous. Moorlands tend to be managed for red grouse shooting but are also important for black grouse near woodland margins.
- 32. Moorland frequently overlaps areas of upland wetland and blanket bog and is also associated with a mosaic semi-natural acid grassland on drier ground, by water courses and where heavily grazed by sheep cattle or deer. The use of moorland for rough grazing by sheep and cattle is an integral part of upland farming. The peat deposits of moorlands are a significant store of carbon. They also play an important role in maintaining water quality by buffering some pollutants.
- 33. Moorland's role in recreation is significant, partly because the network of tracks and paths that provides easy access, and partly because most mountain habitats are accessed via moorland habitats. Moorland often preserves archaeological remains and evidence of past environments that tells us about historical life and culture of human society as well as what the land was like before humans managed it. In common with many other habitats of the Cairngorms, there is a recorded history, stories and tradition linked to moorland that enriches our cultural heritage.

Drivers of Change

- 34. Moorland management relies on muirburn or cutting to promote new heather growth and maintain a habitat that supports as many red grouse as possible. Without this active management, moorland would change as heather grows rank and scrub or woodland may succeed it. Similarly, the level of grazing by sheep, cattle and deer effects the habitat. The habitat is more sensitive to extreme events than some others. For example, periods of very low humidity and low temperature can kill heather, and infestations of the Heather Beetle will similarly effect the growth of heather.
- 35. Intensive management for grouse can reduce or remove populations of other species such as deer and mountain hare, and illegal persecution of raptors is often associated with moorland management..
- 36. The conditions for the formation of peat require a particular temperature and precipitation range that may be influenced by climate change. As an important store of carbon, it is likely that future public support for the management of moorland will seek to secure the long-term storage and management of the carbon in peat and soils. Changes in climate can lead to erosion of peat from sudden weather events and may also play a role in the success of species such as ticks and the diseases they can carry.
- 37. The national target for increasing Scotland's trees cover to 25% may result in woodland replacing some areas of moorland.

Semi-natural Grasslands

38. Semi-natural grassland habitats are mostly associated with the margins between farmland and moorland and an area of rough grazing, or in a mosaic of semi-natural acid grassland and moorland on drier ground, by water courses and where heavily grazed by sheep cattle or deer.

They are frequently on areas of ground that were previously farmed and have since been abandoned. This means they often provide clear physical remains of past uses, ways of life and communities.

Drivers of Change

39. Most grasslands are maintained by grazing. Changes to semi-natural grasslands are therefore mostly associated with changes in the grazing regime. This may occur through changes in management of livestock or deer by fencing or removal. Woodland planting will change a grassland over time and will also normally be accompanied by a reduction in grazing. Where semi-natural grasslands occur on abandoned farmland, heather moorland, scrub woodland and wetland areas may also develop. The national target for increasing Scotland's trees cover to 25% may result in woodland replacing some areas of semi-natural grassland.

Urban

- 40. About a third of 1% of the Cairngorms National Park's area is within a settlement boundary in the Cairngorms National Park Local Plan. Perhaps as much land is covered by other buildings, roads and human development. These areas are important because it is in them that most human activity takes place. Urban habitats are diverse, with a range of buildings, garden and open spaces and unique micro climates. They require energy to function, create waste energy, pollution to the air, water and soil, noise and light.
- 41. The urban areas of the Park are the established way of living for most of its human population. The quality of accommodation, services and resources available in urban areas play a vital role in the health and wellbeing of the population. They also have a long cultural history, with a distinctive built heritage and a focus for cultural celebrations. The streetscapes and views with urban areas are a distinctive part of their character, and views of the straths and valleys of the Park are linked by the settlements and their connecting routes.
- 42. All urban areas within the Park provide some opportunities for recreation within them, or are connected to a network of paths and tracks and open areas around them and linking to other habitats of the Park. They are a significant place for visitors to the Park, both as a place of shelter, food and drink, but also as a place to get information about opportunities to experience, enjoy and learn about the Park.
- 43. The design of our urban areas has changed over time. New developments should now incorporate sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDS) and other measures to minimise their impacts on natural systems.

- 44. Urban areas in the Park are linked to people's ability to live there and for most people therefore linked to economic opportunity or availability of money. Changes in the wider Scottish economy may affect the ability of people to live in the Park.
- 45. Migration to the Park has been slightly higher than migration from the Park since 2003, and this has led to a slow increase in the population. Allied to the increasing population, changes in the composition of households (a trend towards a greater number of smaller households) mean a requirement for more house units to hold the same population. Current allocations of land

for future housing development are expected to provide 20-25 years of housing land supply if the population continues to increase at its recent rates. However, constraints to the supply of new housing, such as the slow-down in bank lending to house builders of the past 2 years will also slow or stop increases in population. Nevertheless, new development can change the character and appearance of existing settlements and other areas.

46. Life in the National Park is currently heavily reliant on oil for energy. Much of the built fabric of the park is old and requires a lot of energy to heat. The remote location of the Park increases transport costs. Without action to improve the energy efficiency of buildings and ways of life, reduce energy consumption, and use lower carbon energy sources, life in the Park could become economically unviable for many of the working population.

Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan



For a large print version of this publication, please contact the Cairngorms National Park Authority at the Grantown-on-Spey office or telephone 01479 873535.

Cairngorms National Park Authority

I4The Square Grantown-on-Spey Moray PH26 3HG (t) 01479 873535 (f) 01479 873527 enquiries@cairngorms.co.uk

Planning and Development Management

Albert Memorial Hall Station Square Ballater, Aberdeenshire AB35 5QB (t) 013397 53601 (f) 013397 55334 localplan@cairngorms.co.uk

www.cairngorms.co.uk

Published by Cairngorms National Park Authority © CNPA 2011. All Rights Reserved. MIR/11/06 Printed on 100% virgin fibre from managed trees and totally chlorine free.



