

## Deirdre Straw

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 01 March 2018 11:44  
**To:** Dan Harris  
**Subject:** Insh boundary Extension

Dear Mr Harris,

MAIN ISSUES REPORT  
THC001, INSH, KINGUSSIE

I write in support of extending the boundary of Insh to include Waney Edge, Singita and site THC001.

As a former member of Kincaig Community Council we were asked by the Park to report back our thoughts in extending the boundary of Insh. Members agreed that the boundary should be extended to include the houses already in existence ie those mentioned above.

The owners of THC001, John and Julie, have lived and worked in Insh and Kingussie for the last 40 years. Julie is now carer for her 86 year old mother who has mobility problems and they are needing a home, with some urgency, adapted for her needs.

I have noted in the past that a great deal of emphasis has been on affordable houses for the young, looking forward it would be good to have an equally favourable attitude towards supporting homes for the elderly so that they may be encouraged to remain in the rural environment that they help support throughout their working lives.

A pylon on the site (THC001) has recently been decommissioned and I understand this could now be classed by some authorities as a brownfield site and therefore able to support any appropriate planning applications that may be lodged on it. In addition the site and access are clear of any trees and services of water and sewage run along the verge of the B970.

Insh is a lovely rural village and building a small, low level eco home on this site should not be detrimental in any way, and could be seen as positively contributing to the area by being able to increase the number of homes for local people and permanent residents in Insh, rather than holiday homes.

Angus Reid-Evans  
Director

