

APPENDIX 2

Paper 2

*(Originally Paper 3 which was issued with papers for 4 February Planning Meeting but
withdrawn from Agenda)*

Updated / Additional Representations received as part of
Renotification

Mrs Audrey MacKenzie,
Tamsdickus,
10 Delfaber Road,
Aviemore,
PH22 1PU

16th February, 2011

Don McKee Esq.,
Head of Planning,
Cairngorms National Park Authority,
Albert Memorial Hall,
Station Square,
Ballater, AB 35 5QB

Dear Don,

Travelodge - Amended Plan 10/204/CP

With reference to the above application I wish to comment that I would much prefer the original design, 0030, and do not understand why it has been suggested that the developer adopts the amended design.

Personally I feel an alpine style frontage to an otherwise unattractive, cold, featureless, somewhat frightening accommodation building, would be an exhilarating, welcoming experience on arriving at AVIEMORE, Scotland's most famous village.

Yours sincerely,



Audrey MacKenzie (Mrs)

Cairngorms National Park Authority
17 Feb 2011
RECEIVED

Cairngorms National Park Authority
Planning Application No. 10/204/CP
REPRESENTATION
ACKNOWLEDGED 18 2 11

Cairngorms National Park Authority
Planning Application No. 10/204/CP
REPRESENTATION
ACKNOWLEDGED 01.02.11

Pine Bank Chalets
Dalfaber Road
Aviemore
PH22 1PX

Cairngorms National Park Authority
Albert Memorial Hall
Station Square
Ballater
AB35 5QB

Cairngorms National Park Authority
- 1 FEB 2011
PWS RECEIVED JM

Dear Sir or Madam

RE: Planning Application 10/204/CP

We write with reference to the above application for planning permission. As a longstanding neighbouring business we have great concerns as to the impact of this development both on our site and business, both visually and in terms of noise disturbance during the development process.

We would like to make a representation regarding the application and would therefore request further information and plans in order to properly assess its potentially negative impact upon our business.

Our concerns are as follows:

- That the visual impact of the rear of what sounds like a substantial new building would ruin the rural feel we have on site, that our customers have come to expect. This could result in a decline in business for us.
- That the noise disturbance to our site could result in complaints and loss of custom to our business with a long-term impact to repeat business. Two cabins close to the development site may have to be closed completely if substantial noise pollution were to be created.
- That a large building on the opposite side of the rail-track to us would reflect more noise from passing trains on to our site.
- There are several mature trees on the edge of the proposed site that I would presume have tree protection orders on? If these were to be removed or damaged there would again be a negative visual impact to our site and rural feel.
- The noise and negative visual impact would also substantially affect the listed private house on the site and could therefore have a negative impact on value.
- The building would also greatly overlook the private garden to the side and rear of the listed property.

We look forward to receiving the related documents.

Yours sincerely

Pine Bank Chalets

Calngorms National
Park Authority

31 JAN 2011

RECEIVED PWR

Pip Mackie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 January 2011 19:16
To: Planning
Subject: A/T Don Mckee. ref: 10/204/CP

Dear Mr McKee,

Looking at the circular regarding Fifty three bedroom travelodge.

Several thoughts.

1. Car parking would have to be quite large for that many bed rooms.
2. Shouldn't be too high a building probably max 2 story for that location.
3. Heating system should be at least rated 96% efficient.
4. Mr Cameron seems to build very cheaply and un-attractive with drainage features on front walls and buildings finished with harling. Its high time the CNP laid down some serious regulations with regard to appearance and highten the spec of new and renovated buildings.
5. This building is situated at the entrance to Aviemore so it needs to be a show piece attractive building and not like many of the other buildings that have been going up in the past.
6. How about 25% of the outside of the building using local stone.
7. Interior would have to have lounge areas suitable for a full house.
8. I think the main objective is the looks of this building.

Ian Bishop
Slochd
Carrbridge
Inverness-shire
PH23 3AY
[REDACTED]

Calngorms National Park Authority

Planning Application No. 10/204/CP

REPRESENTATION

ACKNOWLEDGED 31 1 11

aviemore & vicinity
community council

"Stand fast, Craigellachie"



'Colonsay'
12 Morlich Place,
Aviemore,
Inverness-shire.
PH22 1TH

[REDACTED]

18th February 2011

Mr Robert Grant,
CNPA Planning & Development,
Albert Memorial Hall,
Station Square
Ballater

Dear Robert,

PLANNING APPLICATION - 52 BEDROOM TRAVELODGE, AVIEMORE

I refer to the above application and wish to re-iterate that Aviemore and Vicinity Community Council do not object to the principle of a travelodge type building on the site but would like to ensure it is quality building which does not detract from the surrounding properties and from the aspirations of the community for an improving Aviemore.

The building design still gives us cause for concern. There is no getting away from the fact that it will be an imposing building at the entrance to Aviemore and more effort should be made to make it blend in with the surrounding area. More use could be made of stone facing to break up the outline. We note that there is 'painted' wood cladding but no indication of the colour. We request that any colours should not reflect the colours at the Robertson development at the north end of Aviemore. That development is often referred to in Aviemore as 'Balamory'.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Robert Grant

From: John Grierson [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 February 2011 19:09
To: Robert Grant
Cc: Bill Lobban; Duncan Cameron; Duncan Ferguson; John Grierson; Karen Lawrie; Lorna McGibbon; Mark Duncan; Myra Francis; Neil MacNair; Ray Sefton; Ron Whyte
Subject: 52 Bedroom Hotel - Aviemore and Vicinity Community Council

Hi Robert,

Further to our correspondence of 18th February 2011 regarding the above application. Aviemore and Vicinity Community Council are unhappy at the proposed community provision. We do not agree that payment to COAT, a trust that operates on a Park wide basis, is an apt provision. We feel that, as has happened in the past, the wishes of the community through the community council should be taken into consideration. It is unfortunate that the community would again appear to have been shut out.

There are local projects which will benefit the community more, including a countryside park, a wildlife water feature, allotments, public noticeboard. The projects have the backing, and encouragement, of the CNPA. It is unfortunate that when the opportunity arises for the CNPA to further assist in these projects financially it does not.

The community council will be progressing the projects through Aviemore and Cambusmore Enhancement Co Ltd which is a community company and charity. As we have already indicated Aviemore and Vicinity Community Council is firmly of the opinion that any monetary community provision should be channelled into the community company where it will be used for local projects which, although of a local nature, will benefit the whole Park.

Cheers,

John