



## Annex 1

### Consultation response summary

#### Level of response

1. The online survey received a total of 109 responses with a breakdown of the main respondent types as shown in the table below. Note that due to rounding and as people could select multiple options eg Local resident and Land manager or worker, responses do not total 100%. Written responses were received from a further 10 individuals or organisations and direct discussions were held with Police Scotland, the Scottish Fire and Rescue Service and the Scottish Wildfire Forum.

What is your connection with the Cairngorms National Park?		
Respondent type	No.	%
Local Resident	51	47
Visitor	47	43
Land Manager or worker	16	15
Volunteer	10	9
Partner Organisation	6	6
Community Group	6	6
Others / prefer not to say	18	17

#### Respondent Type

2. Although not all respondents chose to answer those questions, demographic information was gathered to provide an indication as to whether or not a reasonable cross section of society took part in the consultation. The table below includes a number of characteristics that suggest that while there were more responses from those with certain characteristics there was also a spread of respondent types.

Respondent type	%
Age 16 - 24	3
Age 25 - 34	2
Age 35 - 44	16
Age 45 - 54	29
Age 55 - 64	18



Age 65 - 74	26
Age 75+	6
Working – employed full time / employed part time / self employed	62
Retired	32
Student	6
Identify sex as Male	58
Identify sex as Female	42
Identify gender as Male	60
Identify gender as Female	38
Identify gender in another way	2

### Level of support for the proposed wording

3. A majority of respondents indicated that they agreed with the draft wording although some respondents also disagreed with elements or did not indicate a view. Levels of support are indicated in the table below.

Do you agree with the wording of the byelaw as set out in the fire byelaw consultation document?		
	No.	%
Yes	63	58
No	19	17
Don't know	8	7
No response given to this question	19	17

### Level of response to questions relating to the wording

4. 47 respondents answered the question “Do you propose any changes to the wording as set out in the fire byelaw consultation document?”. However, many of those gave responses such as “No” while 24 respondents (22%) suggested specific changes to the draft wording. 37 respondents (34%) made a comment in response to the question “Do you have any other comments on the proposed byelaw?”. Some respondents took this opportunity to indicate support for, or opposition to the principle of a byelaw or indicated they had no further comments” but some also made points that were relevant to the draft wording and as such these were also included in the analysis.