FOR INFORMATION

Title: REVIEW OF CNPA CORPORATE PLAN 2005/08

Prepared by: Jane Hope, Chief Executive, and Management Team

Purpose

This paper presents a review of the CNPA's first full corporate plan, covering the years 2005-2008, and considers how well the Plan's goals have been delivered; to what extent activities and projects will carry forward to the next corporate plan, and what lessons we can draw from this 3 year period of work.

Recommendations

To note. For possible further discussion at staff awayday, and Board discussion session.

Executive Summary

The 2005/08 Corporate Plan set 20 goals. These have been substantially delivered, with one exception. The period of this first Corporate Plan has been essentially one of becoming established, and setting strategic frameworks in place. The next Corporate Plan for 2008/11 takes the CNPA into a new phase focused on delivery of the agreed National Park Plan. The 2005/08 period has been characterised by a number of very successful projects, on which we can build and develop.

REVIEW OF CNPA CORPORATE PLAN 2005/08 – FOR INFORMATION

Introduction

- 1. The Cairngorms National Park Authority came into existence in March 2003. Its first corporate plan covered 2004/07, but was only current for a year because of the spending review cycle, and so the first "full" corporate plan covered the three year period 2005/08, setting out the CNPA's aims and objectives in its early years.
- 2. At the current point in time, exactly five years since the CNPA and the Cairngorms National Park were created, and at the end of the CNPA's first full corporate plan, it is opportune to look back at how well the Plan's goals have been delivered, to what extent activities and projects will carry forward to the next corporate plan, and what lessons we can draw from this 3 year period of work.
- 3. National Parks were introduced in Scotland to ensure a more integrated approach to the management of areas of outstanding heritage value, in support of sustainable development objectives. The role of the CNPA is to secure the long-term interests of the Cairngorms National Park by, in the words of the founding legislation, ensuring "the coordinated and collective achievement of the 4 aims of the Park".
- 4. A complex mosaic of public sector bodies already exists operating within the National Park, each with a specific and relatively narrow remit (whether in geographical, policy or operational terms). By contrast the CNPA has a very broad policy remit, covering the whole area of the National Park. The CNPA is not set up to duplicate the functions already being delivered by these other statutory bodies; its role is to add value and leadership by "joining up" the activities of the range of other organisations, bringing a degree of synergy by coordinating the overlapping responsibilities of other bodies, and delivering the objectives of sustainability.
- 5. The 2005/08 corporate plan made clear that CNPA would fulfil this role of integration by:
 - a) Providing for long term planning in cooperation with others, to ensure integration of, and synergy between, the activities of stakeholders;
 - b) Adding value in situations where our remit enables us to bring a broad perspective and overview;
 - c) Working with and through others, enabling and facilitating the activities of others who are better placed to deliver agreed outcomes; and
 - d) Providing leadership in situations which require coordination of others with diverse and differing interests
- 6. The 2005/08 corporate plan set out 5 strategic themes, delivered through 20 goals. In addition there were three cross cutting themes identified as running through all the organisation's activities, projects and plans. These themes and goals are set out in Annex 1.

Have We Delivered the 2005/08 Corporate Plan?

7. Annex 1 shows the 20 goals, the 3 year milestones and the difference expected in the long term from achieving those goals – those three columns are taken directly from the corporate plan, while the fourth column gives a very brief summary of the progress made with delivering the 3 year milestone. The first column in coloured to reflect the delivery of the 3 year milestone for the goal:

Green – substantially delivered (i.e. main/important elements delivered; Amber – partially delivered;

Red – substantially not delivered in respect of main/important elements

- 8. While there is an element of subjective judgement, the overview of Annex 2 is broadly of successful delivery of 20 goals, 15 are assessed green, 4 amber, and one red. In other words, we largely delivered those goals which we set at the start of the plan period. The single red-assessed goal was that of the local plan adoption by spring 2007. This proved overly optimistic in practice, and although considerable effort was devoted to this work, including a huge input to public consultations, the complexity of the issues (most notably affordable housing) coupled with the desire to develop a different approach, proved a much longer job than originally anticipated. Work on this continues, along with the accompanying Sustainable Design Guide; modifications to the deposit plan are expected in June 2008, and if a PLI follows, then adoption is anticipated in 2009.
- 9. This assessment of broadly successful delivery of goals is not a comment on how much impact these goals have had, and their relative importance in the eyes of others. That will require a public opinion survey, which is planned for the 2008/09 (to replicate the survey first done in 2004).

Analysis/Overview

- 10. Looking at the delivery under the 5 strategic themes and the 3 cross cutting themes, the main achievements have been as follows:
- 11. **Theme 1**: To enable current and future generations to understand and enjoy the special qualities of the Park in a way that fosters those qualities and supports the activities of others.
 - a) Draft Core Path plan ready for formal consultation,
 - b) Cairngorms Outdoor Access Forum established and operating effectively; systems operational for recording and dealing with access issues;
 - c) Outdoor access strategy for Park completed;
 - d) Funding support provided to important parts of the path network (Glenmore off-road route; various UDAT works, Speyside Way);
 - e) New Outdoor Access Trust established as partnership mechanism for long term management of path network across the Park and associated works;
 - f) Design and installation of high quality granite markers at the 25 road entry points to the Park and at railway stations, accompanied by upgrading of laybys and car parks.

Paper 5 20/03/08

- g) Selection of Park Branded visitor information leaflets supported; work on Park portal nearly completed;
- h) Range of events and activities supported/attended; Park newsletter implemented.
- i) Broad agreement in place to develop a more coordinated arrangement for management of ranger services grant -aided by CNPA.
- 12. **Theme 2**: To promote sustainable resource, land and water management; and to protect and enhance our wildlife and landscapes.
 - a) Range of projects supported through the Local Biodiversity Action Plan group. In 3 years, £0.5million has been invested in a range of projects, for example: a water vole conservation project; the Cairngorms is one of the last strongholds of the water vole left in the UK; the non-native fish project has trialled an innovative fish survey method for freshwater lochs, leading to methods for controlling/reducing non-native species which can damage populations of important native species.
 - b) Agri waste project: to assist farmers within the National Park achieve high standards of environmental care; in three years CNPA have assisted the disposal of sheep dip from over 30 farms within Badenoch and Strathspey.
 - c) Pilot study completed with local estate on identifying public benefits;
 - d) Input into SRDP priority setting at national and regional level.
 - e) Worked with several estates on local communications; supported establishment of Cairngorms Deer Advisory Group to bring about cooperative approach to deer issues.
 - f) Support to Dee catchment management officer.
 - g) Land Based Business Training scheme highly successful and well received in helping businesses develop skills of the workforce, and deliver public benefits for the Park. Developed into Cairngorms Awareness and Pride project to extend benefits to everyone in the Park (e.g. Leave No Trace; cultural heritage; ticks and lymes disease).
 - h) Two partnership schemes supported, "Planning to Succeed" and "Monitor Farm", designed to enable farmers and crofters to learn from each other and specialist advisers, with the aim of increasing business viability, and ability to adapt to change.
- 13. **Theme 3:** To support and stimulate economic and social development within the Park that sustains and is sustained by its special natural and cultural qualities.
 - a) Creation and roll-out of the Cairngorms Brand for businesses and organisations, enabling an integrated approach to the marketing and promotion of the area underpinned by quality criteria and the iconic landscapes and wildlife of the area.
 - b) An effective pan Park "small" grant scheme for communities, incorporating LEADER +, and monies from other partners.
 - c) Awarded the European charter for Sustainable Tourism (first UK National Park to do so), providing recognition of this Park's lead in putting Scotland on the map as a sustainable tourism destination.

Paper 5 20/03/08

- d) Support for national tourism targets through support for private sector led Destination Management Organisations.
- e) Better and more integrated transport, through the provision and funding of the Cairngorms Explorer (integrated transport timetable), and funding the Heather Hopper cross Park bus service.
- f) Ensuring a clear and collective voice for local business community through support for the Cairngorms Chamber of commerce, and Association of Cairngorms communities.
- g) Cairngorms Connections a training initiative for tourism businesses piloted and now web-based.
- h) Pilot projects carried out on ideas for helping to create housing at affordable prices.
- 14. **Theme 4:** To ensure our commitment to future generations we will look beyond the horizon and put in place plans to guide and co-ordinate the long-term integrated management of the Park.
 - a) National Park plan in place, with partner endorsement, and delivery mechanisms in place, focusing activity on agreed priorities for the Park, and creating a strategic basis for joined up government ahead of the SEARS initiative.
 - b) Progress made with Local Plan preparation;
 - c) Wide range of other supporting strategies prepared in partnership, as basis for future activity: e.g. forest and woodland framework; interpretive guidelines; outdoor access strategy;
- 15. **Theme 5:** To be an open, innovative, and professional organisation that engages effectively with the public and behaves with integrity.
 - a) Organisation established with appropriate internal controls; clean bill of health from auditors;
 - b) Monitoring and reporting arrangements established to ensure direct links between Corporate Plan, operational plan, individual staff targets and staff development.
 - c) Processes geared to openness: Board meetings in public; website used to make information publicly available; good record on dealing with FOI;
 - d) Range of thorough consultations undertaken; engagement standards in place.
 - e) Independent research project by Macaulay Institute monitoring National park Plan preparation and implementation on-going and providing useful (and broadly positive) feedback on effectiveness of processes.
 - f) Staff Consultative Forum established to ensure open internal consultation.
- 16. **Sustainability:** *ensuring that our actions do not compromise those of future generations.*
 - a) Office greening initiatives in place;
 - b) Sustainability fund set up
 - c) Training courses provided through LBBT and CAP
 - d) Joint project supported to establish Carbon footprint for Park

Paper 5 20/03/08

- e) A pilot Green Farms project is providing free carbon audits to 16 farms in the Park, as a precursor to finding ways to mitigate climate change and reduce carbon emissions.
- 17. **A Park for all:** creating a Park which is accessible to everyone, not just the fit and the few.
 - a) John Muir award 17% of the award winners have been from socially excluded backgrounds.
 - b) Big Issue initiative
 - c) Youth work youth website; highly acclaimed youth media project involving seven secondary schools.
 - d) Core Paths network established with range of objectives including providing for a wide range of abilities, and providing paths within, around and between communities and to public transport connections and places of local importance.
 - e) Equalities training for all staff and Board Members;
 - f) Piloted consultation method based on National Standards for Community engagement, aiming to widen representation and address barriers to participation.
 - g) Park Life available on tape.
 - h) Supported All Abilities Communications network.
- 18. **Delivering the 3 Es:** *ensuring economy, effectiveness, efficiency in our use of resources.*
 - a) Good reports from auditors
 - b) Operational plan monitoring shows milestones largely delivered on time and within budget
 - c) Best Value self assessment completed and approved
 - d) Not significant underspend or overspend in financial years
 - e) Progress made with shared/collaborative services with other public bodies.
 - f) We have levered in funding over and above the CNPA's grant in aid equivalent to around 20% of the GIA, which has contributed to "operational plan" spend (projects and initiatives).
 - g) Efficiencies through internal Greening programme (car pool etc)

Where Are We Now?

19. The period in question has been one of getting the organisation established – its culture, its operating systems and its working relationships - and of putting in place the foundations for the future. A wide range of strategic frameworks are now in place which provide the basis for "collective and coordinated" delivery of the Park's aims by a wide range of people and organisations, not just the CNPA. In particular the National Park Plan is in place and endorsed by partners; delivery and monitoring arrangements are also in place. Our statutory functions are bedded in. The Outdoor Access function is well in hand. The development management function with its unique call-in aspect is established.

"How" have we Delivered

- 20. To what extent have we been "Enabling"? This term has on occasions been misunderstood, but is used as a shorthand expression to encompass the idea that rather than just achieve things by "doing to" others, we should as much as possible be helping others in the Park to do for themselves, i.e. building capacity hence the concentration on facilitating and supporting with funds, advice and staff time; acting as a catalyst, especially for partnerships; and developing new approaches. Clearly we have not been able to apply this approach to all issues, but examples of how we have include the following:
 - a) By putting the NP Plan and other strategies in place we have focused public sector spend on agreed priorities for the area;
 - b) We have grant aided others to do things through the LEADER+ programme (which we host) and Integrated Grants Programme have put £1.7m (from a combination of sources) to local communities and organisations to enable them to deliver benefits for the Park;
 - c) We have supported the Cairngorms Chamber of Commerce as a strong voice for business in the Park; similarly we have supported the Association of Cairngorms Community Councils; and latterly, the Community Needs Initiative.
 - d) We have supported and encouraged the creation of two Destination Management Organisations, both business-led initiatives for managing and promoting the area for tourism.
 - e) We have created the Cairngorms brand as a marketing opportunity for use by businesses and organisations;
 - f) The highly successful Land Business Training Project, a brokering initiative for bespoke training, has helped businesses broaden their skills, as well as enhancing their business performance and delivering benefits for the Park. In each of the three years of the Plan, between 700 and 800 people were trained from between 100 and 200 businesses.
 - g) The John Muir project has enabled over 4,000 people to experience, understand, and contribute to the conservation of the National Park, and achieve the John Muir award this has been done by getting 58 organisations actively involved in providing the award.
 - h) Installation of high quality entry point markers, and brown directional signs, have helped create a sense of place.
 - i) We have continued to support and foster the 13 different ranger services through a working partnership between public sector and private and voluntary sectors;
- 21. Have we been innovative? Examples include the following (which in some cases are those cited above as "enabling" it is no coincidence that projects which are innovative tend to be the ones which are "enabling":
 - a) Cairngorms Brand a tool enable businesses and organisations to present themselves as part of the Park and raising quality and environmental standards.

Paper 5 20/03/08

- b) Ranger services partnership approach between public, private and voluntary sector. This breaks the usual mould of a NPA employing and operating the ranger service in a National Park.
- c) LBBT project as a brokering scheme to provide training in the right place in response to demand, and change attitudes to training; and the development of the cairngorms Awareness and Pride project involving the general public in helping to contribute to the Park;
- d) Developed innovative relationship with national NGO (John Muir Trust) to boost uptake of JMA within Park, using relevance of Park as the driver. Partnership with the Big Issue, using the Park as a means to widen the horizons of socially excluded and disadvantaged;
- e) Securing the European charter for Sustainable Tourism (first NPA in UK to do so).

Implications and Lessons for the Future

- 22. Some work is unfinished and will carry forward into the next Corporate Plan. In particular:
 - a) Completion of the Local Plan and sustainable design guide. Both are crucially important, and given that we "share" the planning function with Local authorities, an effective working relationship needs continual attention, not least because the local authorities will in time be making decisions based on these two documents prepared by the CNPA. Further, during the course of preparing drafts of the local plan, it has become clear that
 - b) Completion of the funding and management arrangements for ranger services;
 - c) Continue to work with CDAG to ensure good understanding and cooperation between all sectors in deer management, with the aim of CDAG becoming self sustaining;
 - d) Completion of landscape strategy/plan (including wild land);
 - e) Complete web portal for Park.
- 23. Other work will continue because the statutory function remains, but we need to challenge the way we deliver these, in light of experience and given that circumstances will have changed:
 - a) Planning and development management. After 5 years of delivering a unique call-in planning function, we have established a modus operandi. Our ability to deliver a responsive planning service has been demonstrated, but consistently delivering to short timescales has been hampered by the impact of the Beauly-Denny inquiry, and by our philosophy of working for a positive outcome rather than a quick one (reflected in the statistic of over 70% of called-in applications being approved). Public understanding of the call-in system also not very high. A review of our planning operation would seem sensible after 5 years, including a consideration of what can be done to assist applicants in making the system more accessible.
 - b) Outdoor Access function. We now nearly have the Core Path plan in place, the CLOAF in place, and a system for dealing with access complaints; we also

Paper 5 20/03/08

- have the Outdoor Access Trust in place as a mechanism for managing the path network. So the emphasis of our work in this area will change.
- c) National Park Plan. The plan is approved and in place; delivery arrangements are in place, and work in the next 3 years will need to concentrate on ensuring these are effective, and on the results of the monitoring framework, feeding this back into the delivery arrangements. By the end of the next Corporate Plan period we will need to consider the review of the current Park Plan which covers 2007-2012.
- d) Adoption of Core Paths Plan and implementation on the ground.
- 24. Many other projects and initiatives have been very successful in the 2005/08 Corporate Plan period. The challenge in the next corporate plan period will be to build on those successes. We must look for ways of:
 - a) developing the successful projects in innovative ways to deliver the new corporate plan priorities;
 - b) generating or responding to new ideas for projects and initiatives which "enable" and engage others, and create opportunities for communities (of interest and locale) to take forward;
 - c) continuing to lever in funding;
 - d) looking to hand over projects we have piloted, for others to take forward and develop, freeing us up to develop new ideas.
 - e) continuing to work with partners, and ensure that other public sector organisations recognise the importance of the National Park.

25. Conclusions

- a) We have achieved a considerable amount in the last 5 years, and have been largely successful in delivering what we promised in the 2005/08 corporate plan: 19 out of 20 goals delivered or partially delivered.
- b) However, some lines of activity have proved more difficult than anticipated, the Local Plan being the most obvious. This must be a priority for 2008/11.
- c) The 2005/08 corporate plan was very much a phase of becoming established as an organisation, and establishing a strategic direction for the Park as a whole with partners; in that we have been reasonably successful.
- d) That phase is now over, and the next Corporate Plan will clearly require us to move into a "delivery" phase. But we must continue to set ourselves the challenge of enabling others to do rather than necessarily doing "to" people; and being innovative, not afraid to try new ideas.
- e) We have had successes in the 2005/08 plan, but we cannot be complacent and must be prepared to challenge our operations and approach as we move into the very different 2008/11 Plan. This will be the subject of staff awaydays in the near future, and it may be helpful to have a Board discussion session.

Annex 1

5 Strategic Themes

Theme 1: To enable current and future generations to understand and enjoy the special qualities of the Park in a way that fosters those qualities and supports the activities of others.

Theme 2: To promote sustainable resource, land and water management; and to protect and enhance our wildlife and landscapes.

Theme 3: To support and stimulate economic and social development within the Park that sustains and is sustained by its special natural and cultural qualities.

Theme 4: To ensure our commitment to future generations we will look beyond the horizon and put in place plans to guide and co-ordinate the long-term integrated management of the Park.

Theme 5: To be an open, innovative, and professional organisation that engages effectively with the public and behaves with integrity.

20 Goals

(Shading shows the 7 priority goals)

- 1. Duties as the outdoor access authority effectively and efficiently delivered.
- 2. National Park Plan in place by end of 2006, agreed by stakeholders, setting out achievable targets and indicators of measuring progress over next 10 years.
- 3. Local Plan for CNP adopted by spring 2007
- 4. Increased access to all forms of housing tenure for Park residents or people who are meeting economic or social needs within the Park
- 5. Plans, policies and actions developed through transparent and robust processes that engage with stakeholders.
- 6. Justly perceived as an open, accountable and trusted organisation
- 7. Effective and efficient development control service, blending planning policy and Park aims
- 8. A professional, respected and motivated staffing complement
- 9. Good quality outdoor access infrastructure in place and maintained.
- 10. Arrangements in place to meet needs of visitors, land managers and communities for information and management of access (equivalent of ranger svc)
- 11. Wide range of opportunities to experience the special qualities of the Park in a sustainable manner is available to all
- 12. Public benefits which can be produced by land managers defined and supported through publicly funded integrated schemes, advice and training.
- 13. Communication and consultation by land managers on objective setting for public benefits
- 14. Residents, businesses, organisations and visitors contribute to protection and enhancement of wildlife, landscapes, cultural heritage and natural resources.
- 15. Stronger and more diverse economic opportunities within the CNP area (including for land managers)
- 16. An increase in value of sustainable tourism spend.

- 17. Improved Community capacity to help deliver 4 aims of the Park
- 18. High levels of understanding and appreciation of the Park, and of the role, of the CNPA and partners.
- 19. Improved accessibility and quality of public transport within the Park
- 20. Good understanding and appreciation by young people of the CNP, and its importance.

In addition to the 5 main themes, **Three Cross Cutting themes** were identified:

Sustainability: ensuring that our actions do not compromise those of future generations. **A Park for all:** creating a Park which is accessible to everyone, not just the fit and the few. **Delivering the 3 Es:** ensuring economy, effectiveness, efficiency in our use of resources.

Annex 2 – Overview of Delivery of Milestones in Corporate Plan 2005/08

| GOAL | 3 YEAR MILESTONE | DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG TERM | PROGRESS AT END OF PLAN PERIOD |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1. Duties as the outdoor | Cairngorms LOAF up and running; | Everyone exercising their access | Substantially completed. CLOAF up and |
| access authority | Core path plan identified; and outdoor | rights and duties and responsibly | running; active cases and number of cases to |
| effectively and efficiently | access strategy in place. | | CLOAF relatively low. |
| delivered. | | | Core path plan in final consultation phase |
| | | | spring 2008. Outdoor access strategy in place. |
| | | | Thorough consultation on CP Plan, raised |
| | | | awareness. |
| 2. National Park Plan in | National Park Plan agreed by | All those active in managing the Park | Completed. Plan agreed and endorsed by |
| place by end of 2006. | stakeholders and approved by Scottish | will have an agreed long-term | partners; approved by Scottish Minister 2008. |
| | Ministers. | strategy in place to guide their | Delivery teams in place; monitoring |
| | | actions. | framework in place Q1 2008. |
| | | With an agreed strategic plan in place, | First Annual report on NP Plan due mid 2008. |
| | | public money directed more | |
| | | effectively and efficiently to caring for | |
| | | the special qualities of the area. | |
| 3. Local Plan for CNP | Local Plan adopted. | Consistent approach to development | Process very thorough, but has taken a lot |
| adopted by spring 2007 | | which delivers aims of the Park, | longer than original optimistic estimates. |
| | | including key objectives of affordable | Deposit phase in late 2007, with Board |
| | | housing, and protecting and | approval of modifications likely Q2 2008. PLI |
| | | enhancing special qualities of the | could follow in late 2008, so adoption not |
| | | area. | before 2009. |
| | D. I I. D | | |
| 4. Increased access to all | Policies in Local Plan which address | Thriving local communities in which | Cairngorms Housing strategy updated (Heriot |
| forms of housing tenure | need for affordable housing and | everyone, especially young people | Watt study); economic modelling completed. |
| for Park residents or | supporting actions agreed within | who wish to stay in the area, and | Ambitious housing policies in draft local plan, |
| people who are meeting | refreshed Cairngorms Housing | those who have a job in the area, are | still under debate. Pilot projects carried out: |
| economic or social needs | Strategy. | able to secure a house to live in. | Community Needs Initiative; Rural empty |
| within the Park | | | Property project; Sustainable Housing |

| GOAL | 3 YEAR MILESTONE | DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG TERM | PROGRESS AT END OF PLAN PERIOD |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | (Milehouse); Rural Housing Enabler. |
| | | | Too early to judge meaningful impacts. |
| 5. Plans, policies and | Methodology in place for decision- | Everyone clear how decisions have | SEA methodology established through NP |
| actions developed | making, incorporating SEA and s9 | been reached/ policies made, and able | Plan and Local Plan; structure of all Board |
| through transparent and | duty. | to contribute to the process. | decision papers shows decision criteria. |
| robust process that | Permanent infrastructure and | | Several major consultations during the |
| engages with | operating principles in place for | | period – community engagement |
| stakeholders. | consultation. | | standards tested, and in place by end of |
| | | | period. Macaulay research quite positive |
| | | | about NP Plan consultation, but some |
| | | | improvements on feedback from |
| | | | consultation suggested. |
| 6. Justly perceived as an | Internal control systems in place to | Public confidence that queries will be | FOI system in place; risk register embedded; |
| open, accountable and | ensure we are meeting FOI | dealt with properly, and that public | website used to make Board and other papers |
| trusted organisation | requirements; dealing with risk; | money is being used properly. | public; regular reporting to Board, audit and |
| | communicating effectively with public; | | finance committees. |
| | and general efficiency, effectiveness | | Operational plans delivered each year to |
| | and economy. | | budget. |
| | | | Clean bill of health from auditors. |
| | | | Public opinion to be judged through repeat of |
| | | | 2005 opinion poll in 2008/09. 4 complaints to official bodies – none upheld. |
| | | | Office greening initiatives underway, |
| | | | following early green audit. |
| 7. Effective and efficient | Set of performance targets agreed with | Public confidence that planning | Have not consistently met Scottish |
| development control | Scottish Executive, and met. | applications will be dealt with in a | Government targets – but that does not reflect |
| service, blending | | fair and timely fashion, in line with | unique caseload, and particular challenges of |
| planning policy and Park | | the local plan. | Beauly Denny enquiry. Good progress made |
| aims | | | in dealing with case backlog. Positive |
| | | | engagement adopted with over 70% of cases |

| GOAL | 3 YEAR MILESTONE | DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG TERM | PROGRESS AT END OF PLAN PERIOD |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | approved. |
| | | | Some notable successes in responsiveness, |
| | | | reflected in media coverage e.g. AHR in |
| | | | December 07. |
| 8. A professional, | Systems in place for performance | Well developed range of skills across | Systems in place for appraisal, PRP, training |
| respected and motivated | appraisal and pay, effective training | the organisation, enabling it to deal | appraisal. Staff consultative forum in place; |
| staffing complement | programme, internal communication | professionally with difficult issues, | internal communication systems include |
| | and consultation. | and changing priorities over the long | intranet. Internal staff survey overall positive. |
| | | term. | IIP sought Q1 2008. |
| 9. Good quality outdoor | Effective partnership mechanisms in | Public can easily find and use | Considerable funding support given to |
| access infrastructure in | place for developing and managing the | network of paths to enjoy accessing | network. |
| place and maintained. | path network. | the outdoors. | Park wide Outdoor Access trust established |
| | | | 2008, building on good work of UDAT. |
| 10. Arrangements in | Agreed management arrangements in | Effective partnership of private and | Complex situation – progress slowed by |
| place to meet needs of | place for use of existing ranger services | public resources to deliver range of | ranger review by SNH. Now at point of |
| visitors, land mngrs and | to deliver agreed elements of Park | needs of Park such as habitat | agreeing transfer of discretionary grant from |
| communities for | aims as well as private objectives. | management, path maintenance, | SNH to CNPA; Board agreement to retaining |
| information and | | interpretation, etc. | existing private public partnership approach. |
| management of access | | | Partnership agreement itself still to be put in |
| (equivalent of ranger svc) | | | place. |
| 11. Wide range of | Interpretation strategy in place for | Consistent and joined-up approach by | Interpretive guidance in place Q1 2008. Point |
| opportunities to | whole Park as a basis for signage, | all interpretation providers which | of entry markers in place; brown directional |
| experience the special | information provision, etc. Park | enhances enjoyment of the area and | signage started. Start made on placing Park |
| qualities of the Park in a | signage in place. | ensures appreciation of the Park's | branding in information points. |
| sustainable manner is | | special qualities. | Public awareness to be monitored through |
| available to all | | | visitor survey (2009 repeat of 2003 survey). |
| 12. Public benefits which | Range of public benefits which are/can | Public support for land management | Pilot study completed SRDP now brings more |
| can be produced by land | be provided by land managers is | more focused on needs of Park, and | integrated approach. Didn't succeed in getting |
| managers defined and | agreed and more integrated ways of | less confusing and complex. | CNP a single area for SRDP purposes, but |
| supported through | supporting these through publicly | | have had considerable input into SRDP |
| publicly funded | funded schemes, advice and training | MAINING CA Description and Sattle on Mari | priority setting. |

 $MAINPC \ C: \ Documents \ and \ Settings \setminus Mark \setminus My \ Documents \setminus Sabato \setminus CNPA \setminus PAPERS \ TO \ PUBLISH \setminus Board \ Paper \ 5 \ Corporate \ Plan \ 0508. doc \ 13/03/08$

| GOAL | 3 YEAR MILESTONE | DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG TERM | PROGRESS AT END OF PLAN PERIOD |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| integrated schemes. | have been developed and piloted. | | Advice sessions on SRDP provided. LBBT |
| | | | successfully used to support this goal. |
| 13. Good communication | Awareness by all of benefits of | Public input into objective setting for | Progress made in wild deer sector, with |
| and consultation by land | effective communication; support | public benefits. Confidence in | CDAG. Some successful work with a number |
| managers on objective | given to projects to develop this. | stewardship of land in the Park, and | of estates on local communication. |
| setting for public | Objective setting a part of land | acceptance of need to balance public | |
| benefits | management schemes | interests with private objectives. | |
| 14. Residents, businesses, | Support in place for range of projects | Everyone has a stake in the natural | LBAP project and small grants programme |
| organisations and visitors | for enhancing and protecting natural | and cultural heritage, and takes some | supported involvement. LBBT and CAP |
| contribute to protection | and cultural resources through | responsibility for it. | projects highly commended and successful in |
| and enhancement of | communities and other organisations. | | training and engaging businesses and |
| wildlife, landscapes, | Developed policy on landscape | | individuals Landscape protection strategy in |
| cultural and natural | protection. | | hand, not completed. |
| resources. | | | |
| 15. Stronger and more | Sustainable business development | Youth Apprenticeship scheme and | Good progress made with Cairngorms Brand. |
| diverse economic | strategy for the Park in place. New | other training opportunities which are | Tourism training supported. DMOs in place |
| opportunities within the | market opportunities, training and | related to special qualities of Park are | and supported. Youth training trialled. |
| CNP area | demonstration projects trialled and | available. Range of new marketing | Supported development of creative |
| | developed appropriate to the Park. | opportunities developed (e.g. food) | Cairngorms and Cairngorms Farmers Market. |
| | Park Brand in place. | based on special qualities of the Park. | |
| 16. An increase in value | European Charter for Sustainable | Tourism industry which with | European Charter awarded. Uptake of GTBS |
| of sustainable tourism | Tourism awarded for the Park. Visitor | reputation for environmental quality, | supported. Progress made with visitor |
| spend. | marketing and information delivered | operating on sustainable principles, | information on pan Park basis (e.g. visitor |
| | on a pan-Park basis. | based on special qualities of the Park. | guide). Cairngorms connections (training) |
| | | | developed and available on web. |
| 17. Improved Community | Community investment programme in | Communities helping to deliver | ACC supported. Community projects funded |
| capacity to deliver the 4 | place. | significant number of Park Plan | via LEADER+ & Community Investment |
| Park aims. | | objectives of environmental, social | Programme. Actively engaging in community |
| | | and economic development. | planning partnerships and supporting linked |
| | | | projects such as the Badenoch & Strathspey |
| | | | community support officers. Community |

| GOAL | 3 YEAR MILESTONE | DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG TERM | PROGRESS AT END OF PLAN PERIOD |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | action planning piloted in Grantown, |
| | | | Cromdale & Dulnain Bridge. |
| 18. High levels of | Range of publications about the Park | Residents and visitors are aware of | CNPA website in place – Park web portal in |
| understanding and | and CNPA available; effective website; | the Park and the role of the CNPA. | progress. Levels of awareness of Park to be |
| appreciation of the Park | and range of events and activities | | assessed in opinion poll – probably low but |
| and the role of the CNPA | completed each year for raising | | growing. Supporting DMOs who are |
| and partners. | awareness. | | marketing the area and attracting events. |
| 19. Improved | Audit completed of transport | Park-wide timetable and better | Integrated timetable published; Heather |
| accessibility and quality | provision across Park, and how this | integration, mean visitors able to | Hopper in place, which has stimulated |
| of public transport | could be better integrated. | easily move around Park by public | connecting service. Transport audit completed |
| within the Park | | transport and visit attractions. | and used as input for transport strategies |
| | | Visitors and residents not dependent | developed by RTPs and LAs. |
| | | on cars for getting around the Park. | |
| 20. Good understanding | Youth strategy and programme of | High levels of involvement and | Agreed as part of NP Plan process to avoid |
| and appreciation by | educational work in place. | understanding of the Park as a special | plethora of strategies, so this milestone |
| young people of the | | place by young people. | superceded by NP Plan approval. Some |
| CNP, and its importance | | | progress with involvement of youth (e.g. films; |
| | | | website). John Muir Award successful. |
| | | | Incorporation into curriculum a longer term |
| | | | aim. Educational website completed with |
| | | | SNH. Events held for secondary schools |
| | | | around Park. |