PLANNING COMMITTEE

AOB

PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK 2018-19

Minister for Local Government, Housing and Planning Kevin Stewart MSP



T: 0300 244 4000 E: scottish.ministers@gov.scot

Grant Moir Chief Executive Cairngorms National Park Authority

11 February 2020

Dear Grant,

PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FEEDBACK 2018-19

I am pleased to enclose feedback on your authority's 8th PPF Report for the period April 2018 to March 2019.

I believe that good progress continues to be made by authorities. Although there has been a small drop in the number of green ratings awarded this year and there remains some variation across some authorities and markers. I have been particularly impressed by the speed of determination of major applications in some authorities.

We are now pressing ahead with our programme of reform. In September 2019 we published "Transforming Planning in Practice" our work programme for implementing the provisions of the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019 and wider planning improvements. We have also just launched our <u>www.transformingplaning.scot</u> website where you can keep up to date and involved with Scotland's fourth National Planning Framework (NPF4), Digital Planning and the Planning Reform programme.

This is an exciting time for the planning system in Scotland with the preparation of NPF4 underway and the changes to the development planning and management systems to follow. We really value the input of your staff as expert users of the system and welcome their continued support in developing and implementing the planning system that we all want to see.



One of the first things I'm keen to address is planning resources, which is why we are consulting on increasing planning fees, moving them towards covering the full cost of determining applications and extending the range of services which authorities can charge for in exercising their planning functions. The consultation is due to close on 14th February and I hope that you will submit your views. I know applicants will expect to see continued improvement in performance and those increased fees invested in the planning service. This is why we are also consulting on how we measure and monitor the performance of the planning system at the same time.

If you would like to discuss any of the markings awarded below, please email <u>chief.planner@gov.scot</u> and a member of the team will be happy to discuss these with you.

Kind Regards

KEVIN STEWART

CC: Gavin Miles



PERFORMANCE MARKERS REPORT 2018-19

Name of planning authority: Cairngorms National Park Authority

The High Level Group on Performance agreed a set of performance markers. We have assessed your report against those markers to give an indication of priority areas for improvement action. The high level group will monitor and evaluate how the key markers have been reported and the value which they have added.

The Red, Amber, Green ratings are based on the evidence provided within the PPF reports. Where no information or insufficient evidence has been provided, a 'red' marking has been allocated.

| No. | Performance Marker | RAG | Comments |
|-----|--|---------------|---|
| 1 | Decision-making: continuous reduction of average timescales for all development categories [Q1 - Q4] | rating Red | Major ApplicationsYou determined no applications for major developments in 2018-19.RAG = n/aLocal (Non-Householder) Applications Your timescales of 18.6 weeks is slower than the previous year and is slower than the Scottish average of 10.7 weeks.RAG = RedHouseholder Applications You determined no applications for householder developments in 2018-19.RAG = n/aOverall RAG = Red |
| 2 | Processing agreements: offer to all prospective applicants for major development planning applications; and availability publicised on website | Green | Your report notes that processing agreements are offered on all applications called-in, and that the rate of uptake continues to increase. RAG = Green Processing agreement information is publicised on your website. RAG = Green Overall Rating = Green |
| 3 | Early collaboration with applicants and consultees availability and promotion of pre-application discussions for all prospective applications; and clear and proportionate requests for supporting information | Green | You provide a pre-application advice service which is promoted through an advice note on the. RAG = Green Your report states that your advice note helps to provide clarity on the service provided and is shared with the constituent authorities. Planning guidance also provides clarity on information required to support applications. RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green |
| 4 | Legal agreements: conclude (or reconsider) applications after resolving to grant permission reducing number of live applications more than 6 months | Green | No applications were subject to a legal agreement during the reporting year. |



| | after resolution to grant (from last reporting period) | | |
|----|---|-------|---|
| 5 | Enforcement charter updated / re- published within last 2 years | Green | Your enforcement charter was 9 months old at the time of reporting. |
| 6 | Continuous improvement: progress/improvement in relation to PPF National Headline Indicators; and progress ambitious and relevant service improvement commitments identified through PPF report | Green | Your LDP and enforcement charter are up to date. Clear timescales exist for adopting the next LDP and you have made progress on your legacy cases. Decision making timescales are now slower than last year and are slower than the Scottish average. RAG = Amber You have completed 3 out of 6 of your improvement commitments with the remaining to be continued over the next reporting year. You have identified a range of improvement commitments for the coming. RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green |
| 7 | Local development plan less than | Green | Your development plan was 4 years old at the time of |
| | 5 years since adoption | 0 | reporting. |
| 8 | Development plan scheme – next LDP: on course for adoption within 5 years of current plan(s) adoption; and project planned and expected to be delivered to planned timescale | Green | Your report states that your next LDP is on course for adoption within 5 years of the current plan's adoption. RAG = Green There has been no further slippage identified . RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green |
| 9 | Elected members engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation – <i>if plan has been at</i> <i>pre-MIR stage during reporting year</i> | N/A | |
| 10 | Cross sector stakeholders* engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation – if plan has been at pre-MIR stage during reporting year *including industry, agencies and Scottish Government | N/A | |
| 11 | Regular and proportionate policy advice produced on information required to support applications. | Green | Your report notes that guidance and policy produced are specifically designed to be proportionate, and a number of improvements have been made to policy to ensure this proportionality. |
| 12 | Corporate working across services to improve outputs and services for customer benefit (for example: protocols; joined-up services; single contact arrangements; joint pre-application advice) | Green | Your report highlights the broadened role of the Head of Planning which allows for closer links between the LDP and other strategies and plans such as the Economic Strategy and Community Action Plans which are produced by the authority. |
| 13 | Sharing good practice, skills and knowledge between authorities | Green | You share best practice with the North of Scotland development forum. Engagement with the HoPS development planning and development management subcommittees is discussed. |
| 14 | Stalled sites / legacy cases: conclusion or withdrawal of old planning applications and reducing | Green | You have cleared 2 cases during the reporting year, with 2 cases still awaiting conclusion. Based on this and last year's figures, only 1 reached legacy status during the reporting year. Despite the increase in cases remaining, you have |





| 15 Developer contributions: clear and proportionate expectations set out in development plan (and/or emerging plan); and in pre-application discussions 15 Developer contributions: clear and proportionate expectations set out in development plan (and/or emerging plan); and in pre-application discussions | | number of live applications more than one year old | | maintained low numbers of stalled sites in your area despite an increase in new cases. |
|--|----|--|--|--|
| Overall RAG = Green | 15 | Developer contributions: clear and proportionate expectations Gr • set out in development plan (and/or emerging plan); and in pre-application | | been updated this year to ensure its proportionality. RAG = Green You state that developer contributions are defined in pre- application discussion, where relevant. RAG = Green |



CAIRNGORMS NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY Performance against Key Markers

| Marker | | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
|--------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Decision making | | | | | | | |
| | timescales | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Processing agreements | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Early collaboration | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Legal agreements | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Enforcement charter | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Continuous improvement | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Local development plan | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Development plan | | | | | | | |
| | scheme | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Elected members engaged early | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| 10 | Stakeholders engaged early | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| 11 | Regular and proportionate advice to support applications | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Corporate working across services | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Sharing good practice, skills and knowledge | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Stalled sites/legacy cases | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Developer contributions | | | | | | | |

Overall Markings (total numbers for red, amber and green)

| 2012-13 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---|---|----|
| 2013-14 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 2014-15 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| 2015-16 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 2016-17 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 2017-18 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| 2018-19 | 1 | 0 | 12 |

Decision Making Timescales (weeks)

| | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2018-19 Scottish Average |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Major Development | 190.6 | 251.0 | 130.9 | - | - | - | - | 32.5 |
| Local (Non- Householder) Development | 18.5 | 19.4 | 22.2 | 14.6 | 70.8 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 10.7 |
| Householder Development | 9.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.2 |

