

For decision

Title: Cairngorms 2030 Communities Fund – risk register

Prepared by: Oliver Davies, Head of Communications and

Engagement

Background

- At the board business session on 26 September 2025 at the Highland Wildlife
 Park, the Head of Communications and Engagement and Kelly McBride (Director at
 the Involve Foundation) outlined our approach to the creation of a £1 million
 Cairngorms 2030 Communities Fund.
- 2. The Communities Fund will link with other Cairngorm 2030 projects to give communities the power to define, design, fund and deliver projects that help achieve the aims of Cairngorms 2030, ie:
 - a) Transforming the way land is managed and used to benefit nature.
 - b) Empowering communities to shape the future of their local area.
 - c) Making getting around the Cairngorms easier, safer and greener.
 - d) Fostering healthier, happier communities with wellbeing at their heart.
- 3. Community groups and communities of interest (both within and outside the National Park) will be able to apply to a fund of £1 million from its launch in summer 2026 until the end of the programme in 2028. The fund will be designed by a panel of 18 representatives from the local community, with recruitment beginning in early January 2026 and lasting for six weeks. Our aim is for the panel to reflect a diverse range of audiences from residents in general to farmers, local businesses to young people and under-represented groups and for members to be drawn from a wide geographical area.
- 4. To ensure the Cairngorms 2030 Communities Fund delivers on our core objectives and to appropriately mitigate against potential risks we have pulled together the below risk register for the project. Members are asked to review the below table, identify any gaps and approve our overall approach to this piece of work.



Risk register for the Cairngorms 2030 Communities Fund

5. The following risk register has been developed by Park Authority officers, in collaboration with our appointed consultants the Involve Foundation. It is designed to capture the main risks facing the Park Authority from a recruitment, delivery and reputation standpoint, plus relevant mitigation measures. This will be reviewed on a regular basis as the project progresses. Unless otherwise stated, the risk owner is the Head of Communications and Engagement.

#	Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation	Comments
1.	Insufficient interest in	Low	High	Targeted recruitment efforts lasting several	Significant learning
	the project leads to a			months, identifying specific partners and	(and contacts) gained
	smaller / less			individuals within each of our six target	from previous large-
	representative group			audiences across the whole National Park	scale engagement
	than anticipated.	nticipated. geography.	activity, eg fire		
				 Sense-checking applications on at least a 	byelaws, Partnership
				fortnightly basis to quickly identify gaps	Plan, LDP.
				and target future comms / engagement	
				effort.	
				 Working with subject area experts (Involve 	
				Foundation) who have significant	
				experience in delivering similar projects at	
				scale.	
				 Advisory group established made up of c. 	
				eight representatives from organisations	



2.	Difficulty recruiting 'less	Low	High	whose work directly overlaps with the fund (eg community councils, interest groups, chamber of commerce etc). • All participants to be remunerated for their	As part of Cairngorms
	heard' voices, leading to a lack of balance on the co-design panel.			 time at roughly 1.5 x Real Living Wage, recognising the significant time commitment people will need to make. Travel expenses and childcare / caring costs also reimbursed to encourage those 	2030 we have developed a network of contacts in organisations across the National Park —
				 who otherwise would not be able to attend. Specific strand of our comms and engagement activity will target underrepresented communities and those who are typically 'time poor' (eg by going to the spaces they regularly frequent, rather than expecting them to come to us). 	these networks will be essential in helping spread the word about both the fund and the co-design opportunity.
3.	Final co-design panel does not represent the varied geography and interests of the National Park.	Low	High	 Specific, published criteria – including participant profiles – explaining how the 18 panellists will be selected and what we are looking for. Soft 'targets' for each target audience (community groups, land managers, 	 Census data for the National Park will give us an initial steer for geography, with a small number (roughly 10%) of participants



			 businesses, young people etc) and overall geographical spread. Sizeable recruitment effort to try and secure hundreds of applications, giving us the largest possible pool of candidates to select from. Element of random / blind selection in the process to limit subjective decision-making. 	coming from outside the National Park but with significant connection to it.
4. Difficulty in balancing multiple different priorities identified by co-design participants.	Medium	Medium	 Sizeable funds available (£1 million), with plenty of opportunities for a wide variety of projects to be funded. Training and development provided by Involve Foundation for all participants in how co-design works. Clear facilitation of all sessions from industry experts (Involve Foundation). Participants encouraged to share ideas and perspectives in a 'safe space', where all viewpoints are welcome. They will also hear from third-party experts as required to help shape their decisions. 	 This is a risk inherent in any co-design process, which is why we have appointed expert consultants to help guide us (and the panel) through this process. The panel are principally tasked with designing what the fund looks like at first, rather than what specific projects it will



			Transparent code of conduct agreed from the outset for all participants.	fund. This should help reduce direct conflicts between different participants / priorities.
5. Potential conflict of interest for co-design panellists who may wish to apply for funding themselves.	Low	Medium	 Potential conflicts of interest captured at an early stage and reported transparently. Clear process developed by the panel – published in full on the Park Authority's website – which sets out how conflicts of interest will be handled within the process they design (eg panellists absent themselves from discussions about projects they are involved in). Learning from similar projects – through Involve, Park Authority-led funds and via partners such as the Cairngorms Trust – applied and fed back to co-design panellists. 	• As the Cairngorms National Park has a largely remote, rural geography, we believe it would be unrealistic (and likely unhelpful) to bar co-design panellists from potentially applying for funding in future. What we will do instead is establish clear and transparent parameters for this to take place.



6.	Confusion between this	Medium	Medium	New dedicated <u>funding opportunities</u>	How the fund sits
.	fund and a range of	.vicaiaiii	TVI CGI GI III	section within the National Park website,	alongside others in the
	other community funds			bringing all funds together in one place.	landscape – and
	(including those run by			 Single, streamlined process for all Park 	whether we will
	the Park Authority /			Authority and Cairngorms Trust-led funds,	accept these as match
	Cairngorms Trust).				'
				with the same grants team helping fulfil all of them.	funding for
					Cairngorms 2030
				We will work closely with the co-design	Communities Fund
				panel to ensure the fund wording (and	projects – will be a key
				accompanying comms / engagement	consideration for the
				activity) is written in plain English and that	co-design panel to
				what is in / out of scope is as clear as	discuss and agree a
				possible to potential applicants.	position on.
				 Park Authority / Cairngorms Trust's 	 We will also review
				established network of contacts will be	timeframes / criteria
				advantageous here in terms of coordinating	for overlapping funds
				timings etc with other funds.	led by the Park
					Authority / Cairngorms
					Trust, eg Climate
					Adaptation Fund,
					Community Led Local
					Vision Fund.



_	In		1		
7.	Potential for panel to be	Medium	High	At the initial stage, the co-design group will	 We will be clear in all
	criticised for the			be responsible for what the fund looks like/	communications
	decisions they make / if			what it would potentially fund; they will not	around the fund
	funded projects do not			be making decisions on exactly which	exactly where the
	go according to plan.			projects are funded.	panel's decision-
				This latter decision is something that will be	making remit begins
				discussed with the co-design panel as part	and ends. We will also
				of their deliberations, including the pros and	field any questions
				cons of various decision-making methods.	about the funding
				Park Authority to act as the accountable	process on behalf of
				body for the fund, providing level of legal /	(but in discussion
				governance assurance to process.	with) the panellists.
8.	Innovative nature and	Low	Medium	Park Authority and partners including the	We will make clear to
	impact of fund is lost			Cairngorms Trust are committed to taking	panel participants
	when the Cairngorms			the learnings from this process and	from the outset that
	2030 programme ends.			applying them to future funds, beyond the	we are keen to
				scope of the Cairngorms 2030 programme.	capture the
				Key outcome of Cairngorms 2030 – and The	overarching 'story' of
				National Lottery Heritage Fund (NLHF) – is	the process as it
				to share our learnings with local and	develops, from the
				national partners / equivalent projects.	earliest training
				These will be captured and published in full	sessions to shaping



		Т	1	1	1
				on our website at the end of the	the fund, all the way
				programme.	through to projects
				 Alongside this, we are committed to 	being awarded
				capturing the story of the fund (plus those	funding and work
				who helped shape it and benefitted from it)	happening on the
				as it progresses via our comms and	ground.
				evaluation activity.	
				Depending on panel availability, interest	
				and future funding, we are open to	
				exploring additional opportunities for the	
				co-design panel to be involved in decision-	
				making, eg helping feed into the	
				development of the next National Park	
				Partnership Plan (NPPP).	
9.	Less than two years to	Low	Medium	The Park Authority / Cairngorms Trust have	This topic will form
	decide on a funding			significant experience in distributing large	part of discussions
	approach and distribute			sums (eg £450,000 per annum through	with the co-design
	£1 million to community			Cairngorms Community Led Vision (CCLV) /	panel around how to
	projects.			LEADER) to community projects within the	balance ambition
				National Park.	(funding projects that
				Establishing a clear and transparent	deliver real impact)
				framework for funding decisions (what is in	with pragmatism



			/ out of scope, how large or small funding	(funding projects that
			awards can be, how funds will be	can deliver those
			distributed, how many rounds we have etc)	impacts in good time).
			will be the first priority for the co-design	The time constraints
			panel.	here are not
			 Recruitment process for the co-design 	particularly significant
			panel will be a good opportunity to raise	compared with
			awareness amongst potential applicants	equivalent funds,
			about the fund and timings involved.	particularly those that
			 Multi-year funding approach gives greater 	are only available for
			clarity to potential applicants and helps us	a single year.
			plan over a longer-term funding cycle (vs	
			typical year-to-year funding).	
The Park Authority itself Me	edium l	Medium	Decision-making mechanisms are yet to be	The Park Authority
suffers reputational			resolved by the co-design group, but this	has long-term
damage as a			risk will be factored into their discussions at	experience of
•			the earliest opportunity.	devolving funding
· ·			 Park Authority to act as the accountable 	decision-making
_		body for the fund, providing opportunities	through eg the	
ucusions.			for high level scrutiny and assurance of	Cairngorms Trust.
			potential funding decisions.	
	suffers reputational	suffers reputational damage as a consequence of unpopular / unsuccessful funding	The Park Authority itself Medium Medium suffers reputational damage as a consequence of unpopular / unsuccessful funding	awards can be, how funds will be distributed, how many rounds we have etc) will be the first priority for the co-design panel. Recruitment process for the co-design panel will be a good opportunity to raise awareness amongst potential applicants about the fund and timings involved. Multi-year funding approach gives greater clarity to potential applicants and helps us plan over a longer-term funding cycle (vs typical year-to-year funding). The Park Authority itself suffers reputational damage as a consequence of unpopular / unsuccessful funding decisions. Medium objects of the co-design group, but this risk will be factored into their discussions at the earliest opportunity. Park Authority to act as the accountable body for the fund, providing opportunities for high level scrutiny and assurance of



Oliver Davies
01 November 2025
Oliverdavies@cairngorms.co.uk