

Deirdre Straw

From: Kirsty Mackenzie
Sent: 14 February 2018 16:29
To: Katie Crerar; Dan Harris
Subject: FW: Insh settlement boundary

From: Willie Anderson [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 February 2018 16:22
To: Planning
Subject: Insh settlement boundary

Good day,

I have had a look at the proposed settlement boundary for Insh from the national park authority. I would like to propose a boundary change to include land which we own to the north and west west of Insh which is within the Insh village boundary. We have a small pocket of land which adjoins our property at the moment to the west of the Schoolhouse. Whilst we have no intentions of developing this land at present, it may be as we get older and less able this site could be of use for a small, energy efficient house on one level which would allow us to remain in our village. Really we would like to 'futureproof' this area should we be forced to leave our present house due to age or infirmity.

I would be happy to meet to discuss this further if required.

The rest of the plan for Insh seems very reasonable in our opinion.

Regards

Willie Anderson

[REDACTED]

Deirdre Straw

From: Willie Anderson [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 February 2018 17:30
To: Dan Harris
Subject: Insh Settlement Plan THC001

Dear Dan,

I would like to say that I would support the proposed development to Insh Settlement Plan THC001. The site has had a pylon recently removed and could be considered a 'brown filed' site in many ways. The natural boundary of the road through Insh and the forestry track which runs to the south of the proposed site would make a more natural settlement boundary in my opinion.

Regards

Willie Anderson



