

Kirsty Mackenzie

From: Andrew Kirk [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 February 2018 12:04
To: Planning
Subject: Main issues Report Consultation

I do know you would prefer the full consultation doc to be filled in , but it is really quite onerous and I have heard of many who have just been put off by it. However I know you will accept email comments.

My comments are regarding Carrbridge.

I see the • Redevelopment of the Struan House Hotel and Connecting cycle paths to Aviemore as vital .

I am very supportive of H2.

Regarding H1 (Carr Road) for 72 houses with an amended boundary removing the woodland- Carr road is completely inadequate to cope with the volume of traffic that would be created by 72 houses .

I do NOT support 72 houses on the reduced footprint of H1. Carr road cannot cope with additional traffic – it struggles at the moment. It is unsafe and even with a greatly reduced speed limit and some traffic calming measures is quite inadequate to cope with the volume of extra traffic .

The Reporter previously felt that 36 houses was more appropriate. I would prefer that a maximum of 24 were built and even then Carr Road will be unsafe.

Kind regards

Andrew Kirk

[REDACTED]