



Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020 Main Issues Report

Comments Form

Introduction

We are asking for your views on the big issues that the Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020 will need to address and the options for tackling them. The Main Issues Report sets out choices for the land allocations that could be made for development, and for policies that will be used to make decisions on applications for planning permission. This consultation is your chance to influence the new Local Development Plan to help make sure it:

- provides the homes, jobs and services that our communities need
- protects and enhances the Park's unique environment and cultural heritage for future generations

The consultation runs from 17 November 2017 to 2 March 2018.

- All documents are available to view at www.cairngorms.co.uk
- Comments can be emailed to planning@cairngorms.co.uk
- Or posted to:

Cairngorms National Park Authority FREEPOST NAT 21454 Grantown-on-Spey PH26 3BR

Please use extra sheets if required.

Alternatively, an online version is available to complete at **www.cairngorms.co.uk**

All comments must be received by 5pm on Friday 2 March 2018.

Your details	
Title MRS	
Name D. L. MUNDAM	
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	
Email	
Telephone	
Please tick if you are happy to receive correspondence via email	
Please tick to confirm you are happy for us to hold and use your personal data according to fair collection purposes	
Please note we will not store personal data for anyone aged 16 or under – please tick if you are aged 16 or under	

Data protection

Your details will only be used for purposes associated with the Main Issues Report consultation and Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020. You may request to see personal information held by the CNPA at any time.

Fair collection statement

As a registered Data Controller, the Caimgorms National Park Authority will collect, store and use your personal data for the purpose of informing the content of the Caimgorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020. We will not publish any address information, but may include your name against any comments, if you have confirmed that you are happy for us to do so in the 'Your Details' section.

Planning in the Cairngorms National Park

Q: We propose to use the vision and long-term outcomes set out in the National Park Partnership Plan as the 'vision statement' for the Local Development Plan.

Do you agree with this approach?

Select Y

Please explain your answer

As long as it is regularly reviewed

Progress in delivering the current Local Development Plan

- Q: Do you agree with our conclusions about the changes that need to be made to policies in the existing Local Development Plan? Select Y
- Q: Do you think any other changes are needed? Select Y

Please explain your answers
It does noted to be a living downert.
In the last few year the number of residents has dereased the to the number of homes that have been sold and become holiday homes | lets.

Main Issue I Over-arching development strategy

Q: Do you agree that the overall development strategy of the current Local Development Plan remains appropriate, and that we should use this as the basis for the next Local Development Plan?

Please explain your answer
Dalwhinie should be
reclassified as rural.

Main Issue 2 Designing great places

- Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a new policy requiring development proposals to show how they meet the six qualities of successful places?
- Q: Do you agree that we should include a clearer policy in the new Local Development Plan to set out when tools such as masterplans and development briefs will be used?

Please explain your answers
New developments should
comply with the six
analyties.
Making changes to existing
properties may not be
possible appropriate to
do this
The LDP should be
easy for areyone to understood.

Main Issue 3 Impacts and opportunities from the A9 and Highland Main Line upgrades

Q: Do you agree with our proposals to allocate new employment land to take advantage of the opportunities for inward investment associated with the A9 and rail upgrades?

Select \

Q: Do you agree that we should seek to support those communities that are at risk of being by-passed by the A9 dualling project?

Select Y

Please explain your answers New Places for employment are special as long the trace a lot of proporties (shops loffices) in Badenach tract are employ.

Any business needs oped traceport laiks, road rail is bases for staff to commute it is executed tracet their is the early marring commuter trains.

Due no 15 5 06:45 but no corresponding Main Issue 4 Housing return in the energy!

- A) How much new housing do we need and where should it be built?
- Q: Do you agree with our proposed Housing Supply Targets for the next Local Development Plan?

Q: Do you agree that the proposed Housing Land Requirements are sufficiently generous?

4

Q: Do you agree with our overall conclusions about the need for additional new housing sites in the new Local Development Plan?

Y

Please explain your answers There are for too many holiday homes.
Unless affordable have resmichens then they are sold off far a prohit and no longer affordable.
Truin View Dalwhinie's newest homes, all but one are holiday lets.
The proposed homes to be built in station Raid have been advertised but nothing has happened use still have the apport of the longer mand of spoil!

B) Housing growth around Aviemore

Q: Do you agree that we should include long-term development land in the Local Development Plan which could be released for development in the event that An Camas Mòr does not progress as envisaged?

Please explain your answer more residential homes (not holiday) are required Big not - Ital homes as they bring little to the area. They arrive with all their food and supplies for their stay so do not use support wall shops.

Main Issue 5 The affordability of housing

- Q: Do you agree that we should increase the affordable housing requirement to 35% in Ballater and Braemar, and to 45% in Aviemore and Blair Atholl?
- Q: Do you agree that we should include policies to require a greater mix of house types and sizes, including more smaller homes?

Please explain your answers
You do neld a mix of
homes, for a balanced
mixed community.
One becknoon homes
are needed for first
homes or those
retiring trying to down
size to live on a
persion:

Main Issue 6 Economic development

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should identify a limited number of new economic development sites?

Please explain your answer

There are too many empty office blocks on industrial sites. Proving that the site was unsuitable not needed.

Main Issue 7 Impacts on Natura designations

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a more co-ordinated approach towards delivering wider packages of capercaillie mitigation and conservation measures?

Please explain your answer The area is getting an increasing number of tourists wouting to see and photograph the scenery and wildlife. We need to protect all notine wildlife golder & see eagles, red equirels. Wildlife corridors need to be encouraged birting weas.

Main Issue 8 Planning obligations

4

- Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a revised and more rigorously justified policy on planning obligations?
- Q: Do you agree that this should be supported by more specific guidance in the plan about what planning obligations will be required in different settlements/locations?

Please explain your answers Developes need to be held to account. For two many decades they have been allowed to by their way in for them to make money without providing suitable amenties.

Main Issue 9 Flood risk and climate change resilience

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a stronger policy requirement for SUDS to be considered in all new development proposals?

Please explain your answer in Dalwhinnie the Truim floods and the fields either side of station Road which take the snow realt and rain Rom the fara from whether was not maintained it affects everyone further dawn. I sent photos tast thing of the fracted Main Issue 10 Land management in upland areas

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include an amended policy to reflect the

National Park Partnership Plan's presumption against new hill tracks in open moorland areas?

Please explain your answer There is a lot of bad feeling locally regarding the number of tracks collicitive been allowed to develop on the wills.

The renotatement around Dalwhine has been very foor in the case of the ex-Balkon beathy site the planning conductors have been allowed to be totally ignored the helipad, track green shed feeling, severage draws have not been remared trees replanted.

Settlements

Please tick which settlement you are commenting on. Comments for different settlements should be provided

on separate sheets.		
An Camas Mòr	Cromdale	Killiecrankie
Angus Glens	Dalwhinnie	Kincraig
Aviemore	Dinnet	Kingussie
Ballater	Dulnain Bridge	Laggan
Blair Atholl	Glenlivet	
Boat of Garten	Glenmore	Newtonmore
Braemar	Glenshee	Strathdon
Bruar & Pitagowan	Grantown-on-Spey	
Calvine	Insh	
Carr-Bridge	Inverdruie & Coylumbridge	
Q: Do you agree with the propobjectives?	osed settlement Select	
Q: Do you agree with the prefe (where relevant)?		
Q: Do you agree with the prot- (where relevant)?	ected open spaces Select	
Q: Do you agree with the prop boundary (where relevant)?	osed settlement Select	
Please explain your answers All THCOSG is most Marshy flood pla THCOIG has faile with previous pla where the trees been replaced as	Consultations of this to information control of this to information of the control of the contro	tion responses will be collated and a father the consultation published. We will use form the preparation of the Proposed ms National Park Local Development D. We expect to publish this for a further

period of public comment in late 2018.

We will regularly update on progress via www.cairngorms.co.uk and on Facebook and Twitter via @cairngormsnews and #BigParkBigQuestions.

Queries

14 The Square, Grantown-on-Spey, PH26 3HG Tel: 01479 873535 Email: planning@cairngorms.co.uk

needing parting area reinstated. It is an eyesore, and the helipal in the protected area has not been removed. The existing permission for homes in station Road on the ex-hotel site are not shown. with the number of nesting migratory birds that use the moush field, both fields either side of station Road should be protected. The settlement area should include Cairngoms National Park Authority, the distillences it is one big over and takes I Dalwhinie begg and Heather Lea. or

there should be three areas and Station Road area

separate how the garage area.