Mr. George Adams



25th January, 2018

Mr. Dan Harris Planning & Rural Development Cairngorm National Park Authority 14 The Square Grantown on Spey PH26 3HG

Dear Mr. Harris,

MAIN ISSUES REPORT NOVEMBER 2017 PROPOSAL THCOO1

I live to the north east of this proposal and have done for nearly 20 years. On paper, my property is outwith the boundary of Insh, although my address is Insh!

In practice, I think of our home and that of the other two houses outwith the boundary, Singitta and Snowberry House, as very much part of Insh Village.

This proposal is actually closer to the boundary that any of our 3 houses!

The Main Issues Report, Site Assessment Summary is incorrect. Absolutely no trees would need to be felled for the site itself or the access. SSE has been using the site and access for the last 70 years for an electricity pylon which has now been removed. Surely this site is now regarded as a brownfield site?

The owners of the proposal have held the site for over 20 years with the intention of retiring and building a single storey retirement property (not for holiday letting or resale). They have lived and worked in the valley for over 40 years and as local people want to stay in the area.

Myself and other residents of Insh feel this is a perfect infill site which will not be detrimental to Insh.

Yours sincerely,

GEORGE ADAMS