

Archived: 09 October 2018 13:15:42

From: [Alice Buttress](#)

Sent: Sun, 16 Sep 2018 13:14:06

To: Planning

Subject: LDP 2020 - POST MIR Consultation Document

Sensitivity: Normal

Re. Local /development Plan 2020 -Post MIR Consultation Document

Carrbridge - Landmark Extension - T1

I wish to lodge my objection to the extent of the above proposed extension.

Landmark have intimated that they only intend a modest extension to their facility and carpark, but would be required by the landowner to lease a larger area. However if this proposed much larger area is allowed to be entered into the Development Plan, despite Landmarks good intentions, there is no guarantee that any future owner of Landmark will respect these intentions - and due to the increasing are of the owner, there is a very good chance that ownership will change. Unfortunately there is every chance any future owner will be more interested in monetary gain than conserving the habitat of the area for wildlife and continued access by locals and visitors (several well used public footpaths traverse the proposed extension).

Further as a member of the CNPA Capercaillie Project Group, this extension is totally against the aims of the group in protecting Capercaillie - we were informed by a member of the project that one of several factors in the decline of the Caper is loss of habitat, so inserting this area in their development plan appears to be in direct conflict with their own project.

Regards

Alice Buttress

Carrbridge resident and business owner.

Member of the CNPA Capercaillie Project Group

Member of Carrbridge Community Council (While CCC had similar concerns with regards to the size of the extension, I consider allowing it to go ahead with assurances of non development an unfeasible option - so it was agreed I would submit a separate objection)