



## Post Main issues Report – New Sites Consultation

I am writing to respond to the post main issues report – New sites consultation T1 Carrbridge Landmark Extension.

### **Procedural Grounds -Objection**

I do not believe that the CNPA have properly consulted on this revision to the main issues report. I was only made aware of this, because I had objected to H1 Carrbridge in the MIR. There will be many local residents who are unaware of the post main issues report, new sites consultation.

To ensure validity of this report it I would have expected these sites to have had the same consultation and scrutiny given to those in the MIR consultation. I would want all local residents being made aware of this. I would therefore ask the CNPA to clarify broaden the scope of this consultation for this secondary report.

### **Comments on New site consultation - Objection**

Objection to the following site

#### **Carrbridge Landmark Extension T1**

I wish to object to the above proposal based on the following grounds

The size of the area being proposed is approximately 2.5 times the existing size of Landmark and is therefore disproportionate to existing facilities and surrounding area. On what grounds does Landmark require such a large area. This size of area feels more like a “Highland Disneyland” and not a forest park

The area proposed is a popular with local residents and visitors for recreation purposes, including dog walking, and would force users to seek other recreation areas with the possibility of increased disturbance in more sensitive areas, this is particularly pertinent given that Carrbridge has been highlighted as Capercaillie stronghold.

The proposed area contains two core paths LBS 56 and LBS 57 and gives links to further core paths LBS 53, 58 &59. The loss of these paths and connectivity to the others directly competes with the physical and activity and outdoor access strategy of the National Park. The removal or restriction of the use of core paths is counter to the goal of this strategy.

Yours faithfully

David Henderson