#### STPR2 Consultation

#### Overview

This consultation is on the draft second Strategic Transport Projects Review (STPR2), which sets out draft transport recommendations for the next 20 years. STPR2 is one of the mechanisms for delivering the Vision, Priorities and Outcomes of the second National Transport Strategy (NTS2). It is an important tool for achieving the Government's commitment to 20% reduction in vehicle kilometres by 2030 and contributing to Scotland's net zero greenhouse gas emissions target by 2045. Also, addressing inequalities, improving health and wellbeing and contributing to inclusive economic growth.

#### **Questionnaire:**

☐ Don't Know / No Opinion

ST	ΓPR2 Process
Q1	1. Were you aware of STPR2 prior to this consultation?
	Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$ Don't Know / No Opinion $oxtimes$
Q2	2. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the STPR2 process reflects the NTS2 Priorities and Outcomes?
	<ul> <li>□ Strongly agree</li> <li>□ Agree</li> <li>□ Neither agree nor disagree</li> <li>□ Disagree</li> </ul>
	☐ Strongly Disagree

**Q3.** Please provide any further comments you have in relation to the STPR2 process:

The STPR2 process of following themes that align with NTS2 makes it easy to see where each of the proprieties and targets align. In particular the key objectives of climate action, addressing inequalities and accessibility, improving health and wellbeing, all tie into the NTS priorities for reducing the need to travel unsustainably, enhancing choice and access to active travel and public transport and priority to walking, wheeling and cycling. This is welcome.

The 45 recommended projects, which are being considered for the next 20-year plan are split into regions. The focus on active travel connections between towns and villages, long distance active travel provision and changing road behaviours are welcome but sit within the 3 regions which form part of the Cairngorms National Park. Further detail will be required to indicate the level of investment, how future funds will be prioritised, or disseminated. Likewise, the focus on 20-minute neighbourhoods or 20mph zones needs further consideration to ensure they are suitable for rural areas, rural towns and villages. There needs to be more specific guidance or agreement on what a 20 min neighbourhood is within this context, with a focus also on integrated transport. This is especially hard to deliver within CNPA where the 5 local authorities are involved in the development and provision of bus contracts with key providers, in ensuring joined up practical, timely and cost-effective journeys are achieved. Integrated smart ticketing and aligning transport interchanges at a local level are crucial to see a move away from single car usage.

### **Key Themes**

STPR2 recommendations are grouped under six key themes:

- 1. Improving active travel infrastructure
- 2. Influencing travel choices and behaviours
- 3. Enhancing access to affordable public transport
- 4. Decarbonising transport
- 5. Increasing safety and resilience on the strategic transport network
- 6. Strengthening strategic connections

Q8.	Wh	nich of the overall key themes is your / your organisation's <b>top priority</b>	<b>/</b> ?
		<ol> <li>Improving active travel infrastructure</li> <li>Influencing travel choices and behaviours</li> <li>Enhancing access to affordable public transport</li> <li>Decarbonising transport</li> <li>Increasing safety and resilience on the strategic transport network</li> <li>Strengthening strategic connections</li> <li>Don't Know / No Opinion</li> </ol>	
Q9.	Wh	nich of the overall key themes is your / your organisation's lowest pric	ority?
		<ol> <li>Improving active travel infrastructure</li> <li>Influencing travel choices and behaviours</li> <li>Enhancing access to affordable public transport</li> </ol>	
No	one		□ 4.

Decarbonising transport

6. Strengthening strategic connections
Don't Know / No Opinion

### **STPR2 Key Themes and Recommendations**

#### A. Improving Active Travel Infrastructure

**Q10.** To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme will contribute to Improving Active Travel Infrastructure?

Recommendations (1-5):	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No Opinion
Connected neighbourhoods	Х					•
2. Active freeways			Х			
3. Village-town active travel connections	Х					
4. Connecting towns by active travel	Х					
5. Long distance active travel network	Х					

# **Q11.** Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Improving Active Travel Infrastructure?

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Do not support this recommendation	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (1-5):				rocommendation	Opinion
Connected neighbourhoods		Χ			
2. Active freeways			Х		
3. Village-town active travel connections	Х				
4. Connecting towns by active travel	Х				
5. Long distance active travel network	Х				

		endations under this theme address the transport needs of your or the people your organisation represents?
Yes ⊠	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion □

**Q13.** Please provide any additional comments you have on the theme Improving Active Travel Infrastructure and the recommendations within it.

For active travel to work within the Cairngorms National Park, there must be key provision between main settlements and villages. This is likely to be longer than '20-minute neighbourhoods' due to the rural geography and tie into key amenities. A partnership approach to funding is crucial, based on transport partnerships with the 5 LA's and Transport Scotland to ensure a connected network of active travel routes is established. Recognition of the varied geography of Cairngorms NP needs taken into account. Active travel must integrate with affordable, trustworthy public transport connections, especially for those within the community who cannot travel actively, or do not have sufficient time to travel actively if the commute is longer than 20-30 minutes.

Rural standards for 20 min neighbourhoods would be welcomed.

#### 2. Influencing Travel Choices and Behaviours

**Q14**. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme contribute to Influencing Travel Choices and Behaviours?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (6-10):			Disagree			Opinion
6. Behaviour change initiatives	X					
7. Changing road user behaviour	Х					
8. Increasing active travel to school	Х					
9. Improving access to bikes	Х					
10. Expansion of 20mph limits and zones	Х					

**Q15.** Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Influencing Travel Choices and Behaviours?

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Do not support this recommendation	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (6-10):					Opinion
6. Behavioural change initiatives	X				
7. Changing road user behaviour	Х				
Increasing active travel to school	Х				
9. Improving access to bikes	Х				
10. Expansion of 20mph limits and zones	Х				

Q16. Do the recommendations under this theme address the transport needs of your ocal or regional area or the people your organisation represents?								
Yes ⊠	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion □						

**Q17.** Please provide any additional comments you have on the theme Influencing Travel Choices and Behaviours and the recommendations within it.

For behaviour change to work across Scotland, and to ensure understanding there should be a national campaign to support active travel and sustainable transport options.

20mph schemes should be rolled out across Scotland in towns and villages, and main urban centres. This would require significant funding from SG but would ensure that all drivers have clear understanding of where 20mph would apply. For rural areas, where communities are adjacent to NSL roads, then additional road safety/traffic calming would be required and should be funded appropriately.

Collaborative business-led approaches and community-led and demand responsive solutions should be encouraged.

## 3. Enhancing Access to Affordable Public Transport

**Q18.** To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme contribute to Enhancing Access to Affordable Public Transport

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (11-23):			Disagree			Opinion
11. Clyde Metro			Х			
12. Edinburgh & South East Scotland Mass Transit			X			
13. Aberdeen Rapid Transit			X			
14. Provision of strategic bus priority measures	Х					
15. Highland Mainline rail corridor enhancements	X					
16. Perth-Dundee-Aberdeen rail corridor enhancement			X			
17. Edinburgh/Glasgow – Perth/Dundee rail corridor enhancement			X			
18. Supporting integrated journeys at ferry terminals			X			
19. Infrastructure to provide access for all at railway stations	X					
20. Investment in DRT and MaaS	Х					
21. Improved public transport passenger interchange facilities	Х					
22. Framework for delivery of mobility hubs	Х					
23. Smart, integrated public transport ticketing	Х					

# **Q19.** Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Enhancing Access to Affordable Public Transport?

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Do not support this recommendation	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (11-23):					Opinion
11. Clyde Metro					Х
12. Edinburgh & South East Scotland Mass Transit					Х
13. Aberdeen Rapid Transit					Х
14. Provision of strategic bus priority measures			Х		
15. Highland Mainline rail corridor enhancements	X				
16. Perth-Dundee-Aberdeen rail corridor enhancement					Х
17. Edinburgh/Glasgow – Perth/Dundee rail corridor enhancement					X
18. Supporting integrated journeys at ferry terminals					Х
19. Infrastructure to provide access for all at railway stations	Х				
20. Investment in DRT and MaaS	Х				
21. Improved public transport passenger interchange facilities	Х				
22. Framework for delivery of mobility hubs	Х				
23. Smart, integrated public transport ticketing	Х				

<b>Q20.</b> Do the recommendations under this theme address the transport needs of you local or regional area or the people your organisation represents?							
Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ Don't Know / No Opinion $\square$							
<b>Q21.</b> Please provide any additional comments you have on the theme Enhancing Access to Affordable Public Transport and the recommendations within it							
A lot of the key deliverables within this section focus on urban centres and cities.  The integration of public transport, smart ticketing, demand responsive transport and Mobility as a Service are crucial for rural areas and should be given greater attention.							
Cairngorms NP is complex, as the bus operations are managed by each Local Authority for subsidised routes, and then rely on private bus companies across the region to try and work together to align services. Smart ticketing, which is affordable and allows provision between services, and crucially investment in providing reliable frequent bus services in rural areas will enable people to move away from private car use.							
The NP would be an ideal location to pilot further MaaS schemes or DRT within Scotland. The HITRANS Go-Hi app is already applicable in part of the geographical area.							

#### 4. Decarbonising Transport

**Q22.** To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme contribute to Decarbonising Transport ?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (24-28):			Disagree			Opinion
24. Ferry vessel renewal and replacement and decarbonisation			X			
25. Rail decarbonisation	x					
26. Decarbonisation of bus network	Х					
27. Behaviour change and modal shift for freight	Х					
28. Zero emissions vehicles and infrastructure transition	Х					

# **Q23.** Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Decarbonising Transport?

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Do not support this recommendation	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (24-28):					Opinion
24. Ferry vessel renewal and replacement and decarbonisation			Х		
25. Rail decarbonisation	Х				
26. Decarbonisation of bus network	Х				
27. Behaviour change and modal shift for freight	Х				
28. Zero emissions vehicles and infrastructure transition	Х				

		endations under this theme address the transport needs of you or the people your organisation represents?
Yes ⊠	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion □

**Q25**. Please provide any additional comments you have on the theme Decarbonising Transport and the recommendations within it

The Cairngorms National Park and neighbouring areas (esp. in NE) have a large amount of freight traffic from the whisky and strategic timber transport schemes.

Previously there was a pilot scheme to remove freight from the road, and utilise the sidings in the railway stations in Moray, in particular in Forres/Elgin. This pilot, through HITRANS resulted in substantial reduction in freight vehicles on the trunk road network in the region. Likewise the use of the HML for Tesco and other key supermarkets as seen a significant reduction in freight on the road network. This should be funded further to enable the whisky industry to reduce its carbon emissions, with a move towards net zero.

Likewise, the bus and railway transportation should be decarbonised to utilise EV or hydrogen technology at a national level to reduce the impact nationwide.

# 5. Increasing Safety and Resilience on the Strategic Transport Network

**Q26.** To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme contribute to Increasing Safety and Resilience on the Strategic Transport Network?

Recommendations (29-38):	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No Opinion
29. Access to Argyll A83						Х
30. Trunk road and motorway safety Improvements		Х				
31. Trunk road and motorway network climate change adaption and resilience	Х					
32. Trunk road and motorway network renewal for reliability, resilience and safety		Х				
33, 34, 35 Enhancing Intelligent Transport Systems		Х				
36. Strategy for improving rest and welfare facilities for hauliers	Х					
37. Improving active travel on trunk roads through communities	Х					
38. Speed management plan		Х				

# **Q27**. Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Increasing Safety and Resilience on the Strategic Transport Network?

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Do not support this recommendation	Don't Know / No
Recommendations (29-38):					Opinion
29. Access to Argyll A83					Х
30. Trunk road and motorway safety Improvements		Х			
31. Trunk road and motorway network climate change adaption and resilience	X				
32. Trunk road and motorway network renewal for reliability, resilience and safety			Х		
33, 34, 35			Х		
Enhancing Intelligent Transport Systems					
36. Strategy for improving rest and welfare facilities for hauliers		Х			
37. Improving active travel on trunk roads through communities	Х				
38. Speed management plan		Х			

<b>Q28</b> . Do the recommendations under this theme address the transport ne local or regional area or the people your organisation represents?	eds of your

Don't Know / No Opinion □

Yes ⊠

No □

	nd Resilienc endations wi	trategic Tra	ansport Ne	twork and	the
None					

Q29. Please provide any additional comments you have on the theme Increasing

### 6. Strengthening Strategic Connections

**Q30.** To what extent do you agree or disagree that the recommendations under this theme contribute to Strengthening Strategic Connections?

Reco	ommendations (39-45):	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No Opinion
	Sustainable access to Grangemouth Investment Zone						X
40.	Access to Stranraer and ports at Cairnryan						Х
41.	Potential fixed links in Outer Hebrides and Mull						х
42.	Investment in port infrastructure						х
43.	Major station masterplans		Х				
44.	Rail freight terminals	Х					
45.	High speed and cross Border rail enhancements						Х

**Q31.** Which of these recommendations would you prioritise to contribute to Strengthening Strategic Connections?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know / No
Reco	ommendations (39-45):			Disagree			Opinion
39.	Sustainable access to Grangemouth Investment Zone						Х
40.	Access to Stranraer and ports at Cairnryan						x
41.	Potential fixed links in Outer Hebrides and Mull						Х
42.	Investment in port infrastructure						х
43.	Major station masterplans		Х				
44.	Rail freight terminals	Х					
45.	High speed and cross Border rail enhancements						Х

		ndations under this theme address the transport needs of your or the people your organisation represents?
Yes ⊠	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion □
	•	ny additional comments you have on the theme Strengthening and the recommendations within it

The recommendations within this section focus primarily on areas outwith Cairngorms National Park but can if implemented help address Park issues. For example, it would be good to see development of rail freight terminals or sidings as mentioned earlier to enable the large number of freight vehicles off the trunk road network.

#### STPR2 RECOMMENDATIONS AND OTHER SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT POLICY

STPR2 recommendations aim to contribute to five key objectives that are consistent across Scottish Government Policy. These are:

- takes climate action
- addressing inequalities & accessibility
- improving health & wellbeing
- supporting sustainable and inclusive economic growth
- improving safety & resilience

This ensures that STPR2 recommendations:

- align with relevant Scottish Government policy, delivery and investment plans in order to help deliver their priorities
- help to deliver the priorities set out in the National Transport Strategy (NTS2) and its Delivery Plan
- meets the transport planning objectives and stated purpose of STPR2 (as identified by the STPR2 development process)

**Q34.** Prior to this consultation were you aware of the list of Scottish Government policies below, which STPR2 aligns with and supports?

	Yes	No	Don't Know / No opinion
Take action against climate change	Х		
Decarbonising transport	Х		
Reducing car use	Х		
Encouraging greater walking, wheeling and cycling	Х		
Addressing inequalities, such as:			
Child poverty	Х		
Affordability of transport	Х		
Access to transport	Х		
Transport as an enabler of inclusive economic growth	Х		
Providing a safe transport system	Х		
Providing a reliable and resilient transport system	Х		

**Q35**. Prior to this consultation were you aware of the Scottish Government policy documents to which STPR2 aligns with and supports?

	Yes	No	Don't Know / No opinion
National Transport Strategy 2 (NTS2)	Х		
National Planning Framework (NPF4)	Х		
Climate Change Plan Update & Route Map	Х		
Infrastructure Investment Plan	х		
Just Transition	х		
Cleaner Air for Scotland 2 & Delivery Plan	Х		
National Performance Framework	Х		

<b>Q36.</b> To what extent do you agree or disagree that the STPR2 recommendations reflect and will contribute to the aims of government policy?
<ul> <li>Strongly agree</li> <li>□ Agree</li> <li>□ Neither agree nor disagree</li> <li>□ Disagree</li> <li>□ Strongly Disagree</li> <li>□ Don't Know / No Opinion</li> </ul>
Q37. Please provide any additional comments you have on the STPR2 recommendations' contribution to Government policy?
We are supportive overall but request that more attention and higher profile needs to be given to rural issues and rural solutions.

#### Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) & Other Impact Assessments

A statutory Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) ensures the potential impact of transport projects on the environment are considered by STPR2. Other impact assessments, which have been undertaken to review how STPR2 can have a positive impact on groups in society as part of STPR2, are listed below:

- The Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)
- Equality Impact Assessment
- Island Communities Impact Assessment
- Fairer Scotland Duty Assessment
- Child Rights and Wellbeing Impact Assessment

#### The Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

<b>Q38.</b> To wh	at extent o	lo you agree or disagree with the overall findings of the SEA?
<ul><li>□ Agre</li><li>□ Neith</li><li>□ Disage</li><li>□ Stron</li></ul>	ner agree r	
		viewed plans, policies and programmes relevant to STPR2. Are should be considered?
Yes □	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion ⊠
If Yes is seled	cted, please	provide details here:
		ut the current national and regional baseline environment trends. Do you have any comments on this baseline data?
Yes □	No □	Don't Know / No Opinion ⊠
If Yes is sele	ected, please	provide details here:

<b>Q41</b> . Are there any particular issues, problems or opportunities you would like to mention that have not been captured within the SEA?
Yes □ No □ Don't Know / No Opinion ⊠
If Yes is selected, please provide details here:
Other Impact Assessments  Q42. Please provide any comments on the findings of the Equality Impact
Assessment
None
Q43. Please provide any comments on the findings of the Island Communities Impact Assessment
None
Q44. Please provide any comments on the findings of the Fairer Scotland Duty Assessment
None

Q45. Please provide any comments on the Child Rights and We Assessment	ellbeing Impact
None	

# SECOND STRATEGIC TRANSPORT PROJECTS REVIEW (STPR2)

### **RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM**

Please Not	e this form must be completed ar	nd returned with your re	sponse	).	
	now we handle your personal data .gov.scot/privacy/	a, please see our privac	cy polic	y:	
Are you res	ponding as an individual or a Bus	iness / Organisation?			
☐ Individua	al				
Busines	s / Organisation				
Full name o	r Business / Organisation name				
Cairngorms	National Park Authority				
What is you	r address or organisations addres	ss?			
Please enter reside on.	your address below. If you reside or	a Scottish Island, please	state w	hich Island you	
14 The Sau	are		$\neg \mid$	Information on why we ask for your address:	
14 The Square  Grantown on Spey				A full postal address will help us to evaluate where respondents live, especially in rural and	
Postcode	PH26 3HG			island communities where in some cases, a postcode and/or local authority area wouldn't be enough to establish where the respondent resides.	
Please prov	ide your email address		Į.	respondent resides.	
murrayferg	uson@cairngorms.co.uk			Entering your email address allows us to send you a copy of your completed questionnaire.	
What is you	r Local Authority Area?	ne Highland Council			

	you confirm that you are authorised to respond anisation?	on behalf of your Business /
perm resp	sport Scotland would like your nission to publish your consultation onse. Please indicate your publishing erence:	Information for businesses and organisations:  The option 'Publish response only (without name) is available for individual respondents only. If this option is selected, the organisation name will still be published.  If you choose the option 'Do not publish response' your organisation name may still be listed as having responded to the consultation in, for example, the analysis report.
be a	Publish response with name/organisation  Publish response only (without name/organisation)  Do not publish response  will share your response internally with other Traddressing the issues you discuss. They may wis equire your permission to do so. Are you content in relation to this consultation exercise?	ansport Scotland policy teams who may sh to contact you again in the future, but
	Yes No	

**THANK YOU**